

Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Technology Innovation to Increase Hydropower Flexibility

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0002417

FOA Type: Modification 0003

CFDA Number: 81.087

FOA Issue Date:	09/30/2021
Informational Webinar:	10/13/2021
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	11/02/2021, 5:00pm ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	01/21/2022,5:00pm ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	03/11/2022, 5:00pm ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	April 2022
Expected Timeframe to begin Award Negotiations:	April 2022

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.

Modifications

All modifications to the FOA are [HIGHLIGHTED] in the body of the FOA.

Mod. No.	Date	Description of Modification
0001	10/07/2021	To correct the Replies to Reviewer Comments deadline as listed on the title page.
0002	10/15/2021	To clarify the definition of hydropower on page 4 and to update Section I.C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest.
0003	3/7/2022	To update the Replies to Reviewer Comments deadline as listed on the title page.

Table of Contents

Iable	e of Contents	
I.	Funding Opportunity Description	4
A	Background and Context	4
	i. Background and Purpose	4
	ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals	5
	iii. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion	7
В.	· ·	
C.	Applications Specifically Not of Interest	17
D.	. Authorizing Statutes	17
II.	Award Information	18
A	. Award Overview	18
	i. Estimated Funding	18
	ii. Period of Performance	18
	iii. New Applications Only	19
В.	EERE Funding Agreements	19
	i. Cooperative Agreements	19
III.	Eligibility Information	19
A	. Eligible Applicants	20
	i. Individuals	20
	ii. Domestic Entities	20
	iii. Foreign Entities	20
	iv. Incorporated Consortia	21
	v. Unincorporated Consortia	21
В.	Cost Sharing	22
	i. Legal Responsibility	22
	ii. Cost Share Allocation	22
	iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability	23
	iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	24
	v. Cost Share Verification	24
	vi. Cost Share Payment	
C.	Compliance Criteria	25
	i. Compliance Criteria	25
D.	. Responsiveness Criteria	25
E.		
	ii. Non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipien	
F.		
G		
IV.	Application and Submission Information	27
A		
	i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange	
В.		
C.		
	i. Concept Paper Content Requirements	29
D.	. Content and Form of the Full Application	31
	i. Full Application Content Requirements	31

	ii.	Technical Volume (required)	32
	iii.	Resumes (required)	37
	iv.	Letters of Commitment (required)	37
	٧.	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) (required)	37
	vi.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance (required)	
	vii.	Budget Justification Workbook (required)	37
	viii.	Summary/Abstract for Public Release (required)	38
	ix.	Summary Slide (required)	38
	х.	Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)	38
	xi.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)	39
	xii.	Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Foreign Work (if applicable)	39
	xiii.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments (required)	40
	xiv.	Data Management Plan (DMP) (required)	
	XV.	Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan (required)	42
E		ontent and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	
F		ost Selection Information Requests	
G		un and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Managem ${\sf System}$	
(:	,		
H		ubmission Dates and Times	
I.		itergovernmental Review	
J	. Fu	unding Restrictions	
	i.	Allowable Costs	
	ii.	Pre-Award Costs	
	iii.	Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)	
	iv.	Construction	
	٧.	Foreign Travel	
	vi.	Equipment and Supplies	
	vii.	Domestic Preference – Infrastructure Projects	
	viii.	Lobbying	
	ix.	Risk Assessment	
	х.	Invoice Review and Approval	48
٧.	App	lication Review Information	49
Δ	. Te	echnical Review Criteria	49
	i.	Concept Papers	
	ii.	Full Applications	
	iii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments	
В	. St	tandards for Application Evaluation	
C	. o	ther Selection Factors	52
	i.	Program Policy Factors	52
C). Ev	valuation and Selection Process	52
	i.	Overview	52
	ii.	Pre-Selection Interviews	52
	iii.	Pre-Selection Clarification	53
	iv.	Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters	54
	٧.	Selection	
E	. A	nticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates	54
VI.	Awa	rd Administration Information	54
Δ	. A	ward Notices	54
	i.	Ineligible Submissions	54
	ii.	Concept Paper Notifications	55
	iii.	Full Application Notifications	55
		0 " 1 " 1 " 1 " 50425 " 1 " 1 " 5040 " 1	

	iv.	Successful Applicants					
	٧.	Alternate Selection Determinations					
	vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants					
В.		dministrative and National Policy Requirements					
	i.	Registration Requirements					
	ii.	Award Administrative Requirements					
	iii.						
	iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting					
	٧.	National Policy Requirements					
	vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)					
	vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications Statement of Federal Stewardship					
	viii. ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement					
	IX. Х.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting					
	xi.	Intellectual Property Provisions					
	xii.	Reporting					
	xiii.	Go/No-Go Review					
	xiv.	Conference Spending					
	XV.	Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements					
	xvi.	Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty					
	xvii.						
	xviii.	Pending and Current Sources of Support					
	•	discolate					
VII.	Que	stions/Agency Contacts	64				
VIII.	Othe	r Information	65				
Α.		DA Modifications					
В.		overnment Right to Reject or Negotiate					
C.		ommitment of Public Funds					
D.		eatment of Application Information					
Ε.		valuation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel					
F.		otice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities					
G.		otice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability					
Η.		equirement for Full and Complete Disclosureetention of Submissions					
l.			_				
J. K.		tle to Subject Inventions overnment Rights in Subject Inventions					
L.		ghts in Technical Dataghts in Subject inventions					
L. M		pyright					
N.		port Control					
0		ersonally Identifiable Information (PII)					
Ρ.		nnual Independent Audits					
Q.		formational Webinar					
,		A – Cost Share Information					
• •							
		B – Waiver Requests and Approval Processes: 1. Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Reformance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)					
		C – Glossary					
Appe	endix I	D – Definition of Technology Readiness Levels	81				
Appe	endix I	E – List of Acronyms	82				

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Background and Context

i. Background and Purpose

The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) is issuing, on behalf of the Water Power Technologies Office (WPTO) through its <u>HydroWIRES</u>
<u>Initiative</u>, a Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) titled "Technology Innovation to Increase Hydropower Flexibility." The FOA seeks next-generation technologies from manufacturers, equipment vendors, and research organizations to improve the flexible capabilities of the U.S. hydropower fleet. The development of technical solutions to improve hydropower flexibility — either by improving performance across various dimensions of unit-level flexibility, or by reducing potential negative impacts of flexible operations — can improve hydropower's ability to integrate additional variable renewable resources and support power system decarbonization.

Hydropower is defined as using structures to create hydraulic head and a turbine to convert the potential and kinetic energy of falling water into electricity. Hydropower has provided the U.S. with sustainable, reliable, and affordable power for over 100 years, and its capabilities have continued to evolve based on available technologies and societal needs. In addition to the economic benefits of providing cost-competitive and clean electricity, the flexible nature of hydropower makes it among the most valuable forms of generation, capable of providing the full range of flexibility and essential reliability services required by the electrical bulk-power system. These flexible capabilities are particularly important to balance increasing levels of variable renewable generation. The ability of hydropower to provide these increasingly vital flexibility services is subject to technical and administrative constraints, however, which may limit the ability of the existing hydropower fleet to support the evolving needs of the grid while meeting the host of other obligations that the facilities are subject to. While much of the hydropower fleet has used its flexible capabilities for decades to balance variable generation and load and otherwise support the grid, significant opportunities remain to develop new technologies that expand these capabilities while managing associated constraints.

Technology innovations of interest in this FOA include those that can increase the flexibility of hydropower units, for example, through enabling expanded operating range, faster ramping and start-stops, and improved frequency and voltage control. Also of interest are innovations to reduce the negative impacts, such as accelerated machine wear-and-tear, associated with operating the unit

more flexibly. The FOA will solicit technology innovations that can advance to the prototype testing or demonstration stage, preferably including partnership with a hydropower owner or operator.

Innovations developed under this FOA will support WPTO's <u>HydroWIRES</u> <u>Initiative</u>, which seeks to understand, enable, and improve hydropower and pumped storage hydropower's (PSH) contributions to reliability, resilience, and integration in the rapidly evolving U.S. electricity system. The central hypothesis of HydroWIRES is that, as the electricity system undergoes rapid changes, the U.S. hydropower fleet is well-positioned to take on new roles by offering additional value streams, enhanced flexibility, new operational strategies, and innovative technology solutions. HydroWIRES supports these goals through development of industry- and National Lab-led modeling, analysis, tools, and targeted technology R&D for the benefit of the broader community.

Building a clean and equitable energy economy and addressing the climate crisis is a top priority of the Biden Administration. This FOA will advance the Biden Administration's goals to achieve carbon pollution-free electricity by 2035 and to "deliver an equitable, clean energy future, and put the United States on a path to achieve net-zero emissions, economy-wide, by no later than 2050"¹ to the benefit of all Americans. The Department of Energy is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering, catalyzing clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D), and ensuring environmental justice and inclusion of underserved communities.

The research and development (R&D) activities to be funded under this FOA will support the government-wide approach to the climate crisis by driving the innovation that can lead to the deployment of clean energy technologies, which are critical for climate protection. Specifically, this FOA will seek technology advancements that can increase the flexibility of the hydropower fleet, so that hydropower can better enable increased deployment of other sources of renewable generation to support the goals of carbon pollution-free electricity by 2035 and net-zero GHG emissions by 2050.

ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals

Flexibility may be broadly defined as the ability of a system or component to adapt to changing grid conditions while providing electricity safely, reliably,

¹ Executive Order 14008, "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad," January 27, 2021.

Questions about this FOA? Email https://example.com/HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

affordably, and in an environmentally responsible manner.² Hydropower³ has significant capabilities for flexible operation, making it well-positioned to contribute to system reliability and resilience in an evolving electricity system. Many hydroelectric plants are dispatchable, can alter generation output on demand, and can provide a range of essential reliability services as well as capacity (in the form of stored water and unit headroom) over time scales from sub-second inertial response to seasonal storage. Successful efforts under this FOA will develop improved technologies at the unit level that can both expand hydropower's flexible capabilities and be deployed and productively utilized throughout a substantial cross-section of the U.S. hydropower fleet.

This FOA is the first offered under the HydroWIRES Initiative for unit-level technology research and development. The FOA builds on a number of previous HydroWIRES and broader WPTO efforts to map out power system flexibility requirements and hydropower-specific capabilities. The Hydropower Value Study⁴ explored examples of the value hydropower provides in different regions of the US, including how the value provided is affected by constraints related to machines, water, and policy. The most recent 2021 Hydropower Market Report⁵ included an increased focus on provision of flexibility by hydropower, quantifying ramping capability of the fleet, load-following across market regions, and other aspects of flexibility. From the perspective of plant operations, the ongoing EPRIled Hydropower Flexibility Framework project is developing a tool to match plant capabilities to power system needs, potentially allowing operators to take advantage of existing flexibility in their plants that has not been utilized in the past. Other ongoing projects under the 2019 HydroWIRES FOA on Hydropower Operational Flexibility have developed new operational strategies to increase hydropower plant- and cascade-level flexibility using existing equipment. The ongoing Hydropower Fleet Intelligence project is focused on the impacts of different types of flexible operation on the remaining useful life and failure likelihood of different hydropower components. In the international arena, the IEA Hydropower Technology Collaboration Programme has published a series of white papers on matching hydropower flexibility to power system requirements as renewable penetrations increase, as well as surveying existing mechanisms for valuing this flexibility in countries around the world.

Questions about this FOA? Email HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

² Electric Power Research Institute (2014), Metrics for Quantifying Flexibility in Power System Planning, 3002004243, https://www.epri.com/#/pages/product/3002004243/?lang=en US

³ For the purposes of this FOA, "hydropower" includes pumped storage hydropower (PSH) as well as conventional reservoir and run-of-river hydropower, unless otherwise noted.

⁴ https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/hvdropower-value-study-v2.pdf

⁵ https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/us-hydropower-market-report-full-2021.pdf

⁶ https://www.ieahydro.org/news/category/annex-ix

Taken together, these previous efforts connect the need for additional flexibility in the power system with the capabilities of hydropower to meet these needs. As described in the HydroWIRES Initiative Research Roadmap⁷ and forthcoming WPTO Multi-Year Program Plan, these efforts explore the value of hydropower under evolving system conditions, the capabilities and constraints associated with hydropower plants, and optimization of hydropower operations and planning. Informed by these efforts, this current FOA will proceed to the next step of increasing hydropower flexibility through novel technology development.

iii. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

It is the policy of the Biden Administration that:

[T]he Federal Government should pursue a comprehensive approach to advancing equity⁸ for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality. Affirmatively advancing equity, civil rights, racial justice, and equal opportunity is the responsibility of the whole of our Government. Because advancing equity requires a systematic approach to embedding fairness in decision-making processes, executive departments and agencies (agencies) must recognize and work to redress inequities in their policies and programs that serve as barriers to equal opportunity.

By advancing equity across the Federal Government, we can create opportunities for the improvement of communities that have been historically underserved, which benefits everyone.⁹

As part of this whole of government approach, this FOA seeks to encourage the participation of underserved communities 10 and underrepresented groups.

⁷ https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/Default.aspx#Foald5eca46e9-c689-4994-93f3-a3617fad21a2

⁸ The term "equity" means the consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

⁹ Executive Order 13985, "Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government" (Jan. 20, 2021).

¹⁰ The term "underserved communities" refers to populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of

Applicants are highly encouraged to include individuals from groups historically underrepresented ^{11,12} in STEM on their project teams. As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from underrepresented groups in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities ¹³. The plan should include SMART (Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic and Time-Related) milestones supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions. This plan will be evaluated as part of the technical review process.

Further, Minority Serving Institutions ¹⁴, Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or

economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list of in the definition of "equity." E.O. 13985. For purposes of this FOA, as applicable to geographic communities, applicants can refer to economically distressed communities identified by the Internal Revenue Service as Qualified Opportunity Zones; communities identified as disadvantaged or underserved communities by their respective States; communities identified on the Index of Deep Disadvantage referenced at https://news.umich.edu/new-index-ranks-americas-100-most-disadvantagedcommunities/, and communities that otherwise meet the definition of "underserved communities" stated above. ¹¹ According to the National Science Foundation's 2019 report titled, "Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering", women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minority groups—blacks or African Americans, Hispanics or Latinos, and American Indians or Alaska Natives—are vastly underrepresented in the STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) fields that drive the energy sector. That is, their representation in STEM education and STEM employment is smaller than their representation in the U.S. population. https://ncses.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf19304/digest/about-this-report For example, in the U.S., Hispanics, African Americans and American Indians or Alaska Natives make up 24 percent of the overall workforce, yet only account for 9 percent of the country's science and engineering workforce. DOE seeks to inspire underrepresented Americans to pursue careers in energy and support their advancement into leadership positions. https://www.energy.gov/articles/introducing-minorities-energy-initiative

(1) [I]t is critical to our Nation's economic leadership and global competitiveness that the United States educate, train, and retain more scientists, engineers, and computer scientists; (2) there is currently a disconnect between the availability of and growing demand for STEM-skilled workers; (3) historically, underrepresented populations are the largest untapped STEM talent pools in the United States; and (4) given the shifting demographic landscape, the United States should encourage full participation of individuals from underrepresented populations in STEM fields.

¹² See also. Note that Congress recognized in section 305 of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act of 2017, Public Law 114-329:

¹³ See section IV.E.xviii

¹⁴ Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Other Minority Institutions) as educational entities recognized by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Education, and identified on the OCR's Department of Education U.S. accredited postsecondary minorities' institution list. See https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/edlite-minorityinst.html.

entities located in an underserved community that meet the eligibility requirements (See Section III) are encouraged to apply as the prime applicant or participate on an application as a proposed partner to the prime applicant. The Selection Official may consider the inclusion of these types of entities as part of the selection decision ¹⁵.

B. Topic Area

Topic Area	DOE Funding	Cost Share	# of Awards
Technology Innovation to Increase Hydropower Flexibility:	\$8,500,000	20%	Up to 6
Development of technical solutions to improve the flexible operation			
potential of hydropower units.			

The technical capabilities of hydropower make it well-suited to provide storage, flexibility, inertia, and other grid services that will be increasingly needed as additional variable resources come online. The ability of hydropower to provide flexibility across time scales is often bounded by constraints on machines and hydraulics, as well as policies such as limits on water flow to meet environmental requirements. Existing hydropower owners and operators face complex, multifaceted decisions in choosing how to operate their plants in the changing power system, including a need to balance tradeoffs associated with compensation for power system services, impact on machines, and license requirements.

Moreover, while hydropower can provide and be compensated for flexibility, owners and operators may face rapidly changing compensation opportunities as the grid evolves. Services required by the power system—such as ramping, frequency regulation, and other reserves—may vary significantly based on resource mix, market environment, grid topology, and other location-dependent factors. Understanding how hydropower can provide the most value in systems that include other types of flexible generation, storage, and load resources is critically important. Technology innovation to enhance hydropower's capabilities—including faster and more frequent ramping, more frequent starts and stops, and enhanced frequency and voltage control—should prioritize the highest-value services needed for the transition to a decarbonized power system.

Questions about this FOA? Email https://example.com/hydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

¹⁵ See section V.C.i for Program Policy Factors




Figure 1. Hydropower contributions to essential reliability services in California Independent System Operator (CAISO). Relative to its share of generating capacity in CAISO, hydropower contributes a much larger share of regulation and spinning reserves.

In various market regions across the U.S., the flexibility of hydropower has played and continues to play an important role in grid reliability. Figure 1 illustrates that in the CAISO region, which has experienced a dramatic increase in solar buildout in recent years, the percentages of frequency regulation (~25%) and spinning reserves (~60%) provided by hydropower are significantly higher than its share of generating capacity (~10%). ¹⁶ Similarly, a study of PJM has shown that hydropower provides a similarly outsized share of flexibility services in the region, including regulation, non-synchronized primary reserve, and day-ahead scheduling reserve. ¹⁷

¹⁶ Hydropower Value Study, https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/hydropower-value-study-v2.pdf

¹⁷ Hydropower Market Report, https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/us-hydropower-market-report-full-2021.pdf




Figure 2. One-hour ramps per installed MW of hydropower and natural gas by balancing authority in 2019. Ramps by hydropower are often larger on average, at the hourly timescale, than natural gas plants.

The ramping capabilities of hydropower are also widely utilized. A study of ramping events across 22 balancing authorities showed that hydropower contributes significantly to one-hour positive ramps (Figure 2). ¹⁸ At this time scale, the average ramp by hydropower (including PSH) is larger in most balancing authorities than the average ramp by a natural gas-fired plant. As variable renewable penetrations continue to increase, resources capable of flexibly ramping their power output may be increasingly at a premium. Ramping products to compensate this capability are under development in various market regions of the U.S. and worldwide. ¹⁹

¹⁸ Hydropower Market Report, https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/us-hydropower-market-report-full-2021.pdf

¹⁹https://www.ieahydro.org/media/0a8bfcb0/IEAHydro_ValuingFlexibilityinEvolvingElectricityMarkets_June2021.pdf




Figure 3. Hourly average inertia (MWs) contributed by all generating units for (a) Rocky Reach and (b) Rock Island run-of-river projects across dry, average, and wet years. Inertia response capabilities depend on water availability throughout the year, and the most generation during summer of wet years.

Hydropower flexibility also encompasses stability services on the sub-second time scale, such as synchronous inertia. While these services have historically been provided to the grid by hydropower and large thermal generators, increasing thermal retirements for economic and policy reasons, as well as widespread additions of inverter-based resources, may create even greater demand for them. As with other flexible capabilities of hydropower, however, provision of inertia is tightly linked to constraints beyond the power system. Figure 3 illustrates wide variation in inertia provision and unit operation depending on water availability in the Northwest. ²⁰

These examples illustrate the value of hydropower's flexibility to the power system, and recent developments make efforts to enhance this flexibility especially timely. There is a significant and sustained investment ongoing in

²⁰ Hydropower Value Study, https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/01/f82/hydropower-value-study-v2.pdf

refurbishments and upgrades of the hydropower fleet. More than \$7.8 billion have been invested in the domestic hydropower fleet to refurbish and upgrade plants. A majority of these investment are in turbine runners, generator rewinds, and governors. ²¹ New technologies to enable an expanded flexibility envelope could therefore be integrated into this latest round of modernization efforts without delay.

Scope and Technical Requirements

The objective of this FOA is to develop unit-level technologies to improve the ability of a hydropower plant to operate in a flexible fashion and/or to mitigate negative impacts on equipment of more flexible operation. Technology innovations should be broadly applicable to the domestic hydropower fleet to maximize the impact of the technology. The applicant should identify and justify the metrics by which flexibility can be assessed for their proposed innovation. While applications of interest may also improve other aspects of hydropower technology such as generation efficiency or environmental mitigation strategies, the primary focus should be on increasing flexibility.

The scope of innovations solicited under this FOA is defined broadly, but innovations should clearly map their technical capabilities to flexibility services required by the power system. Justification of the value of an innovative technology to the power system is also highly appropriate. Recent work through the XFLEX Hydro initiative, for example, has mapped power system service provision to specific plant-level advances planned in demonstration projects across Europe. ²² Example categories of power system flexibility with associated technology capabilities of interest are listed below, but these categories and capabilities are illustrative only; unit-level technology innovations to increase hydropower flexibility beyond those listed below are in scope for the FOA unless otherwise indicated.

Ramping and balancing: Extending the operating range of hydropower units can enable deeper and/or faster ramps, providing greater value to the power system as generation (e.g. solar) and load profiles change to increasingly require this capability. Valves, gates, and other equipment can be designed for faster ramping capability, and turbine rough zones can be reduced or mitigated. For pumped storage hydropower in particular, hydraulic short-circuit configurations and other advances can enable +/- 100% operating ranges and rapid switching between modes. Equipment is also being designed for increasing frequency of start-stops.

²¹ U.S. Hydropower Market Report, January 2021; https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2021/01/f82/us-hydropower-market-report-full-2021.pdf

²² XFLEX Hydro, https://xflexhydro.net/flexibility-technologies-and-scenarios-for-hydropower-report Questions about this FOA? Email <u>HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov</u> Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Frequency control and inertia: Increasing penetrations of inverter-based, variable resources on the grid may enable hydropower to provide greater value to the grid through inertial and fast frequency response. Physical inertial response is determined by the mass distribution and synchronous speed of the unit, and may be designed accordingly. Pumped storage units with simultaneous pumping and generation will provide inertial contributions from both pumps and generators. Unit governors may be equipped to provide automatic generation control (AGC), and various innovations in controls can increase the speed and accuracy of frequency support.
- Voltage control: Provision of reactive power by hydropower can serve an important role in maintaining voltage levels on the power system, both in normal operations and during contingency events. Hydropower units generally include controls to regulate reactive power, and some may be configured for synchronous condensing operation.
- Other services: Hydropower can also provide other services to the power system during normal and contingency operations, such as black start. Unit-level hydropower capabilities, including those gained by hybridizing hydropower with other storage technologies, ²³ may support provision of these services.

In addition to the technical merit of the proposed innovation, successful applications should also propose work consistent with the following principles to support the ultimate goals of the FOA: quantification of improvements, component reliability, cost modeling, ease of integration, broad applicability, and strength of team.

Successful applications should articulate not only the specific performance of the proposed unit-level technology, but also how this technology expands on the state of the art. Improvement over baseline performance of incumbent technologies should be rigorously quantified using engineering analysis and other industry-standard approaches. Applicable metrics may include ramp rates, response times, inertia constants, start-stop frequencies, mode-switching times, erosion rates, and many others. In addition to the performance characteristics, it is important that the dynamics of the holistic hydropower unit be taken into account. Change in a component characteristic has the potential to alter the overall performance and

²³ "Small Hydropower Proves to be an Ally for Rural Communities," August 2021, https://inl.gov/article/small-hydropower-proves-to-be-an-ally-for-rural-communities/

dynamics of the entire unit, and such changes should be recognized and quantified to the extent possible.

Reliability of the proposed innovation is also a key consideration hydropower sector due to the critical nature of the services provided, the high cost of an outage, and safety considerations. The reliability and predictability of the design life of any new solution is vital to successful industry uptake. Applications should consider unit reliability impacts associated with the proposed solution as part of a holistic consideration of the eventual path to market. Technical risks and mitigation options should be proposed as part of the scope.

While technology innovations under this FOA may range from relatively early-stage concepts to prototype-tested or near-commercial solutions, cost modeling is important to differentiate the most promising applications. To achieve the outcomes of this FOA, technology innovations will ultimately need to compete both from a cost and performance standpoint with the incumbent technologies and practices. Cost estimates and modeling should be planned in as much detail as possible as part of the scope.

Furthermore, the ability to readily integrate the proposed technology into the existing hydropower unit is important for the ultimate success of the proposed technology. If the cost of necessary modifications to the system incurs a significant additional cost, it could make the business case more difficult. Costs associated with integration could span categories such as civil and mechanical systems of the existing units; system controls; staff training requirements, and system outage time.

A further complexity to be addressed is the diversity of the U.S. hydropower fleet and the applicability of the solution to the variety of unit scales and configurations. A successful application should address the different types of hydropower units and the applicability to different system archetypes and internal variability within them. Any considerations associated with scaling the technology to meet system needs should be discussed.

Finally, successful applications should convene a team with the expertise, resources, connections, and project management acumen to develop the proposed technical solution and holistically assess the benefit of the technology to the overall hydropower community. The team should be well positioned to continue to develop the technology after the completion of the FOA period with strong commitments from the industry including utilities, original equipment manufacturers, and other appropriate stakeholders.

Anticipated Work to Be Performed

Projects awarded as part of the FOA may span relatively early-stage technology concepts through technologies with prototype testing experience. Work under the awards will significantly advance the technology solution from its initial state to a final state closer to deployment in the U.S. hydropower fleet. In general, the technology should be developed to the point at which critical data regarding the performance, reliability, and cost of the system can be collected. Advancement of solutions to the testing or field demonstration stage is preferred. Partnership with hydropower owner/operators as well as any additional engineering expertise required should be included in the proposed work. In addition to technology development, work under the project may also include physics-based modeling; data-driven analytics; cost and economic modeling; component reliability and integration assessments; or related activities.

Proposed work should also include mechanisms to engage the broader hydropower industry throughout the effort, as appropriate, to create awareness of the technology being developed and solicit feedback on concept design and performance metrics. Such engagement efforts are important to support the project itself, as well as to cultivate industry buy-in and markets for the technology.

Projects should include two budget periods separated by a Go/No-Go decision point. The division between the budget periods should be chosen at an appropriate review point, such as when lab testing is completed, but prior to field testing. Final results and results at the Go/No-Go decision point should be documented in detailed written reports.

WPTO intends to manage any award under this FOA as an integrated part of the HydroWIRES Initiative, both in the selection process and during the period of performance. This may include coordination with efforts by the DOE National Laboratories and other external partners.

Teaming Partner Mechanism (Optional)

WPTO encourages collaboration across sectors to ensure broad impact of work performed on hydropower operational flexibility. WPTO will compile a Teaming Partner List that can be used by potential applicants or entities interested in partnering with applicants who want to find partners. The Teaming Partner List is a tool to facilitate the formation of new project teams. Participation is not a requirement of this FOA and is completely voluntary and has no impact on the evaluation and selection process of applications.

Any organization that would like to be included on this list should submit the following information to HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov with the subject line "Teaming Partner Information": FOA, Organization Name, Contact Name, Contact Email, Contact Phone, Organization Type, Area of Technical Expertise, and Brief Description of Capabilities. By submitting the above Teaming Partner Information, you consent to the publication of the above-referenced information as part of the Teaming Partner List.

The Teaming Partner List will be made available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov under DE-FOA-0002417 The Teaming Partner List will be updated periodically until the close of the Full Application period to reflect new Teaming Partners who have provided their information. By facilitating this Teaming Partner List, EERE does not endorse or otherwise evaluate the qualifications of the entities that self-identify themselves for placement on the Teaming Partner List. EERE will not pay for the provision of any information, nor will it compensate any respondents for the development of such information.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix B.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.A. and I.B. of the FOA.
- Applications that are only relevant to marine or in-river hydrokinetic devices, as opposed to hydropower applications. Hydrokinetic devices are placed directly in a river, current, or tidal current to extract the kinetic energy from moving water are not of interest to this FOA. This FOA focuses on the use of hydropower as defined on Page 4 of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- Applications proposing purely analytical or software modeling studies that do not include technology development.
- Applications whose technology would require modifications to the hydropower unit that are unsafe for staff, structures, or the environment.

D. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute is the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA), Public Law 110-140, Section 643. Hydropower Research,

Development and Demonstration; 42 U.S.C. § 17213 (as amended by Sec. 3001 of the Energy Act of 2020, Public Law 116-260, Division Z, Dec. 27, 2020).

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make a total of approximately \$8.5M of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 2 to 6 awards under this FOA. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$1M and \$4M.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

Topic Area	Anticipated Number of Awards	Anticipated Minimum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Anticipated Maximum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Approximate Total Federal Funding Available for All Awards	Anticipated Period of Performance (months)
Technology Innovation to Increase Hydropower Flexibility	2-6	\$1M	\$4M	\$8.5M	18-36

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed. Before the expiration of the initial budget period(s), EERE may perform a down-select among different recipients and provide additional funding only to a subset of recipients.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run from 18 to 36 months in length, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be

contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision review. For a complete list, see Section VI.B.xiii. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, the extent milestone objectives are met, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See Section VI.B.ix of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Individuals

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

ii. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are not eligible to apply as either a prime recipient or subrecipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

iii. Foreign Entities

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the "Individuals" or "Domestic Entities" sections above, all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a prime recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States to be the prime recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the prime recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the prime recipient on an award). To do so, the applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a subrecipient.

iv. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States, please refer to "Domestic Entities" above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in "Foreign Entities" above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

v. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the prime recipient/consortium representative. The prime recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the prime recipient/consortium representative under <u>Section III.A.</u> of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

B. Cost Sharing

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices A and B to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i. of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the federal government.

The prime recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has

complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must meet all compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions, including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

iii. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.E. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All "Applications Specifically Not of Interest," as described in Section I.C. of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

ii. Non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

NonDOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

i. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

ii. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

iii. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

iv. Responsibility

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

v. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

An entity may submit more than one Concept Paper and Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project and provided that an eligible Concept Paper was submitted for each Full Application.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application.

At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, unless specifically stated otherwise. https://eere-Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions.
EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

A **Control Number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all application documents, as described below.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Calibri typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission <u>PRIOR</u> to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the applicant should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

<u>ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u>). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist applicants in resolving issues.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1 TechnicalVolume_Part_2

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description			
Cover Page	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Areas of Interest being addressed, roles, responsibilities, and organization matrix (including the technical and business points of contact along with all other key team members), and any statements regarding confidentiality.			
Technology Description	3 pages maximum	 Applicants are required to describe succinctly: The proposed work, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; The proposed work's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); 			

		 The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; How the proposed work will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application; The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; The key technical risks/issues associated with the development plan; and The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technical Description and Impacts.
Addendum	2 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including: • Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities. Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technical Description and Impacts.

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i. of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a "discouraged" notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification posted on EERE Exchange at the close of that phase.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE Exchange to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. Applicants will receive a control number upon clicking the "Create Concept Paper" button in EERE Exchange, and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., Control number Applicant Name Full Application).

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III. of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application. Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Component	File Format	Page Limit	File Name
Technical Volume	PDF		ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Technic
			alVolume
Resumes	PDF	1 page	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resume
		each	S
Letters of Commitment	PDF	1 page	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs
		each	
Statement of Project Objectives	MS Word		ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
SF-424	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424
Budget Justification Workbook	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget
			_Justification
Summary/Abstract for Public	PDF	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summa
Release			ry
Summary Slide	MS	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
	Powerpoint		

Subrecipient Budget Justification	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subreci
			pient_Budget_Justification
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
Activities			
Foreign Entities and Foreign Work	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
Waivers			
U.S. Manufacturing Plan	PDF		ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP
Data Management Plan	MS Word		ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP
Diversity Equity and Inclusion Plan	PDF	5	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1
TechnicalVolume Part 2

<u>EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties</u> <u>due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.</u>

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume (required)

The Technical Volume must be submitted in PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization TechnicalVolume".

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 30 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.ii of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content requirements:

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Area of Interest being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, description of the device concept with sketch and anticipated dimensions (if applicable) and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Project Overview (This section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	 The Project Overview should contain the following information: Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.
Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	 Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the proposed work, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed work and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results.



Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

•	Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state of the art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed work, the advantages of proposed work over the state of the art, and the overall impact on advancing the state of the art/technical baseline if the project is successful.
e Wo	orkplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical

Workplan and Market Transformation Plan (This section should constitute approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)

The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:

- Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (highlevel) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes.
- Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period.
- WBS and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as "we will then complete a proprietary process" is unacceptable). It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks.
- Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO.

- U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
- Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A Go/No-Go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. The applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to make the Go/No-Go decision. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered "SMART" and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone.
- End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO.
- Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points.
- Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team's proposed management plan, including the following:
 - The overall approach to and organization for managing the work
 - The roles of each Project Team member
 - Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among Project Team members
 - The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices
 - The approach to project risk management
 - A description of how project changes will be handled
 - If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control
 - How communications will be maintained among Project Team members
- Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following:
 - Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed work along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan
 - Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, U.S. manufacturing plan, and product distribution.



Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)

The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information:

- Describe the Project Team's unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients.
- Describe the Project Team's existing equipment and facilities that will enable/facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project.
- This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives.
- Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project.
- Attach one-page resumes for key participating team members as an appendix. Resumes do not count towards the page limit. Multi-page resumes are not allowed.
- Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable.
- Attach letters of commitment from all subrecipient/third party cost share providers as an appendix. Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit.
- Attach any letters of commitment from partners/end users as an appendix (1 page maximum per letter). Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit.
- For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly:
 - The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant;
 - Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant;
 - o How the various efforts will be integrated and managed;
 - Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction;
 - Publication arrangements;
 - o Intellectual Property issues; and
 - Communication plans

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion (Address in the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan)

The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan should contain the following information:

- Equity Impacts: the impacts of the proposed project on underserved communities, including social and environmental impacts.
- Benefits: The overall benefits of the proposed project, if funded, to underserved communities; and
- How diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project.

See Section IV.A.xvi for more information on the contents of the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan.

iii. Resumes (required)

Applicants are required to submit one-page resumes for key participating team members. Multi-page resumes are not allowed. Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Resumes".

iv. Letters of Commitment (required)

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third party cost share providers. If applicable, also include any letters of commitment from partners/end users (one-page maximum per letter). Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs".

v. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) (required)

Applicants are required to complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 10 pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization SOPO".

vi. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance (required)

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_424".

vii. Budget Justification Workbook (required)

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. Prime recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the

Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

viii. Summary/Abstract for Public Release (required)

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Summary".

ix. Summary Slide (required)

Applicants are required to provide a single slide summarizing the proposed project. This slide is used during the evaluation process.

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A summary of proposed work;
- A description of the proposed work's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, prime recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Save the Summary Slide in a single Microsoft Powerpoint file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Slide".

x. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification"

section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

xi. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Prime recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization SF-LLL".

xii. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Foreign Work (if applicable)

i. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.iii., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement.

ii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States.

Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver".

xiii. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments (required)

Pursuant to the DOE Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) dated September 9, 2013, each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

Each U.S. Manufacturing Plan must include a commitment that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States, unless the applicant can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible to do so (referred to hereinafter as "the U.S. Competitiveness Provision"). The applicant further agrees to make the U.S. Competitiveness Provision binding on any subawardee and any assignee or licensee or any entity otherwise acquiring rights to any subject invention, including subsequent assignees or licensees. A subject invention is any invention conceived of or first actually reduced to practice under an award.

Due to the lower technology readiness levels of this FOA, DOE does not expect the U.S. Manufacturing Plans to be tied to a specific product or technology. However, in lieu of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, an applicant may propose a U.S. Manufacturing Plan with more specific commitments that would be beneficial to the U.S. economy and competitiveness. For example, an applicant may commit specific products to be manufactured in the U.S., commit to a specific investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology. An applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a specific licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

If DOE determines, at its sole discretion, that the more specific commitments would provide a sufficient benefit to the U.S. economy and industrial competitiveness, the specific commitments will be part of the terms and conditions of the award. For all other awards, the U.S. Competitiveness Provision shall be incorporated as part of the terms and conditions of the award as the U.S. Manufacturing Plan for that award.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is also a requirement for the Class Patent Waiver that applies to domestic large business under this FOA (see Section VIII.K. Title to Subject Inventions).

Save the U.S. Manufacturing Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP".

xiv. Data Management Plan (DMP) (required)

Note: All research and development (R&D) awards must submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) according to the timeline selected in the approved FRD.

Applicants are required to submit a DMP with their Full Application.

An applicant may select one of the template Data Management Plans (DMP) listed below. Alternatively, instead of selecting one of the template DMPs below, an applicant may submit another DMP provided that the DMP, at a minimum, (1) describes how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, how the results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved and (2) has a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications. DOE Public Access Plan dated July 24, 2014 provides additional guidance and information on DMPs.

Option 1 (when protected data is allowed): For the deliverables under the award, the recipient does not plan on making the underlying research data supporting the findings in the deliverables publicly-available for up to five (5) years after the data were first produced because such data will be considered protected under the award. The results from the DOE deliverables can be validated by DOE who will have access, upon request, to the research data. Other than providing deliverables as specified in the award, the recipient does not intend to publish the results from the project. However, in an instance where a publication includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Option 2: For any publication that includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing

media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Save the DMP in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP".

xv. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan (required)

As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from groups underrepresented in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities (also see Section I.A.iii). The plan should include SMART milestones supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of actions that can serve as examples of ways the proposed project could incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion elements. These examples should not be considered either comprehensive or prescriptive. Applicants may include appropriate actions not covered by these examples.

- a. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as PI, co-PI, and/or other senior personnel;
- b. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as student researchers or post-doctoral researchers;
- c. Include faculty or students from Minority Serving Institutions as PI/co-PI, senior personnel, and/or student researchers, as applicable;
- d. Enhance or collaborate with existing diversity programs at your home organization and/or nearby organizations;
- e. Collaborate with students, researchers, and staff in Minority Serving Institutions;
- f. Disseminate results of research and development in Minority Serving Institutions or other appropriate institutions serving underserved communities;
- g. Implement evidence-based, diversity-focused education programs (such as implicit bias training for staff) in your organization;

h. Identify Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses and Veteran Owned Businesses to solicit as vendors and sub-contractors for bids on supplies, services and equipment.

Save the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP".

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

If replies to reviewer comments are applicable, EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to the comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will post the Reviewer Comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three (3) pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.

F. Post Selection Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information regarding the following (non-exhaustive list):

- Indirect cost information;
- Other budget information;
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable;
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable;
 and
- Environmental Questionnaire.

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR 25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the SAM at https://www.sam.gov before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid DUNS number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

All required submissions must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 — Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable federal cost principles for more information:

- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the federal award and **only** with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for their project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE.

Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth at 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

vii. Domestic Preference – Infrastructure Projects

As appropriate and to the extent consistent with law, Applicants shall ensure that, to the greatest extent practicable, iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products (items and construction materials composed in whole or in part of non-ferrous metals such as aluminum; plastics and polymer-based products such as polyvinyl chloride pipe; aggregates such as concrete; glass, including optical fiber; and lumber) used in the proposed project shall be produced in the United States. This requirement shall flow down to all sub-awards including all contracts, subcontracts and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project.

viii. Lobbying

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

ix. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any Office of Management and Budget (OMB)-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

x. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be

required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, describes how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (40%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors: Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state-of-the-art to the proposed advancement; and
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the
 proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including
 relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature
 with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (30%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

• Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations

including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product distribution.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (20%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

Criterion 4: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (10%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The quality and manner in which the measures incorporate diversity, equity and inclusion goals in the project; and
- Extent to which the project benefits underserved communities.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective September 2020, which is available at:

https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications);
- The degree to which the proposed project incorporates diversity, equity, and inclusion elements, including but not limited to team members from Minority Serving Institutions (e.g. Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/Other Minority Institutions), Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or members within underserved communities.
- The degree to which the proposed project avoids duplication/overlap with other publicly or privately funded work.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Pre-Selection Interviews

As part of the evaluation and selection process, EERE may invite one or more applicants to participate in Pre-Selection Interviews. Pre-Selection Interviews are distinct from and more formal than pre-selection clarifications (See Section V.D.iii of the FOA). The invited applicant(s) will meet with EERE representatives

to provide clarification on the contents of the Full Applications and to provide EERE an opportunity to ask questions regarding the proposed project. The information provided by applicants to EERE through Pre-Selection Interviews contributes to EERE's selection decisions.

EERE will arrange to meet with the invited applicants in person at EERE's offices or a mutually agreed upon location. EERE may also arrange site visits at certain applicants' facilities. In the alternative, EERE may invite certain applicants to participate in a one-on-one conference with EERE via webinar, videoconference, or conference call.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for travel and other expenses relating to the Pre-Selection Interviews, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

EERE may obtain additional information through Pre-Selection Interviews that will be used to make a final selection determination. EERE may select applications for funding and make awards without Pre-Selection Interviews. Participation in Pre-Selection Interviews with EERE does not signify that applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

iii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iv. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance underfederal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 CFR 200.206.

v. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the

applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE Exchange.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation)

and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov. This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission.

Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. This step is required to apply to this FOA. The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to

process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a DUNS number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the SAM at https://www.sam.gov. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at https://www.fedconnect.net. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect t Ready Set Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers, and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA may be required to provide information to DOE in order to satisfy requirements for foreign nationals' access to DOE sites, information, technologies, equipment, programs or personnel. A foreign national is defined as any person who is not a U.S. citizen by birth or naturalization. If a selected applicant (including any of its subrecipients,

Questions about this FOA? Email HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

contractors or vendors) anticipates involving foreign nationals in the performance of its award, the selected applicant may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to ensure compliance with the requirements for access approval. National laboratory personnel already cleared for site access may be excluded.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at https://www.energy.gov/nepa.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to

influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

- 2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations
 In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:
 - **a.** It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and
 - b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both forprofit and non-profit organizations.

- 3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations
 In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:
 - a. It does not and will not require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.
 - **b.** It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) "These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified

information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

- (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement (https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
- (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- **1.** EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.
- **2.** EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
- **3.** EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).
- **4.** EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

x. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that prime recipients and subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for ten (10) years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xi. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards.

xii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. This helpful EERE checklist can be accessed at https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms. See Attachment 2 Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, after clicking on "Model Cooperative Agreement" under the Award Package section.

xiii. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the EERE program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) EERE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application; and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xiv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xv. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

xvi. Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty

States, local governments, or other public entities may not condition sub-awards in a manner that would discriminate, or disadvantage sub-recipients based on their religious character.

xvii. Table of Personnel

If selected for award negotiations, the selected applicant must submit a list of personnel who are proposed to work on the project, both at the recipient and subrecipient level. The table should include the individuals' names, job titles, role in the project and their organization. Recipients will have an ongoing responsibility to notify DOE of changes to the personnel and submit an updated list during the life of the life of the award as there are changes to the personnel working on the project.

xviii. Pending and Current Sources of Support

Current and pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. If selected for award negotiations, the principal investigator and each senior/key person at the recipient and subrecipient level must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments,

whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support. The information may be provided in the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENcv), a cooperative venture maintained at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/, and is also available at https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/nsfapprovedformats/cps.pdf. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding
- The award or other identifying number
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research.
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs and cost share. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding.
- The award period (start date end date).
- The person-months of effort per year being dedicated to the award or activity
- If required to identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.
- Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to either the applicant institution or DOE.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: HydropowerFOA@ee.doe.gov. Questions must be submitted not later than 3

business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: https://eere-exchange.energy.gov. Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA. EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail.

If an application includes trade secrets or information that is commercial or financial, or information that is confidential or privileged, it is furnished to the

Government in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, EERE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

Full Applications, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S. Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Full Application, and other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: "Contains Trade Secrets, Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure." In addition, each line or paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including EERE contractors. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal

reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

I. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Full Applications and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

J. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

 Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses,

educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;

- All other parties: The federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);
- Class Patent Waiver:

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.
- DEC: Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of
 its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated
 into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and
 nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances
 exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for
 small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent
 necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. Any BayhDole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this
 DEC has the right to appeal it.

K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where prime recipients and subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. government retains certain rights.

i. Government Use License

The U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the government.

ii. March-In Rights

The U.S. government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

L. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

"Limited Rights Data": The U.S. government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under

government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated ("Protected Data"). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

M. Copyright

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government.

N. Export Control

The U.S. government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the U.S. to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as "Export Controls". To ensure compliance with Export Controls, it is the prime recipient's responsibility to determine when its project activities trigger Export Controls and to ensure compliance.

Export Controls may apply to individual projects, depending on the nature of the tasks. When Export Controls apply, the recipient must take the appropriate steps to obtain any required governmental licenses, monitor and control access to restricted information, and safeguard all controlled materials. Under no circumstances may foreign entities (organizations, companies or persons) receive access to export controlled information unless proper export procedures have been satisfied and such access is authorized pursuant to law or regulation.

O. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. The term "PII" refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying

information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother's maiden name. (See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security Numbers (SSNs) be included in the application**. Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See, the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. § 3551).

P. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

Q. Informational Webinar

EERE will conduct one informational webinar during the FOA process. It will be held after the initial FOA release but before the due date for Concept Papers.

Attendance is not mandatory and will not positively or negatively impact the overall review of any applicant submissions. As the webinar will be open to all applicants who wish to participate, applicants should refrain from asking questions or communicating information that would reveal confidential and/or proprietary information specific to their project. Specific dates for the webinar can be found on the cover page of the FOA.



Appendix A – Cost Share Information

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms "cost sharing" and "cost matching" are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term "cost sharing," as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here "cost matching" for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$) Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%) Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award

- 1. Cash Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
- 2. In-Kind Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.
- **3.** Funds from other federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.
- **4.** Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

- (A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:
 - (1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
 - (2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
 - (3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
 - (4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A–122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and
 - **b.** Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
 - (5) They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
 - **(6)** They are provided for in the approved budget.
- (B) Valuing and documenting contributions
 - (1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item

will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

- **a.** The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
- b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - **a.** Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
 - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:



- i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
- ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.
- (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
 - **a.** Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
 - **b.** The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

Appendix B — Waiver Requests and Approval Processes: 1. Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient; and 2. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.iii., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. A request to waive the Foreign Entity Participation as the prime recipient requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the prime recipient;
- Country of incorporation and the extent, if any, the entity is state owned or controlled;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the U.S. economy;
- How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
- How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the prime recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. ("foreign work");
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the U.S. economy;
- The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
- How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
- How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

APPENDIX C - GLOSSARY

Applicant – The lead organization submitting an application under the FOA.

Continuation application – A non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the Recipient must submit to EERE its continuation application, which includes the following information:

- i. A report on the Recipient's progress towards meeting the objectives of the project, including any significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.
- ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.
- iii. A description of any planned changes from the negotiated Statement of Project Objectives and/or Milestone Summary Table.

Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) – a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements

Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) - FFRDCs are public-private partnerships which conduct research for the United States government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/.

Go/No-Go Decision Points: – A decision point at the end of a budget period that defines the overall objectives, milestones and deliverables to be achieved by the recipient in that budget period. As of a result of EERE's review, EERE may take one of the following actions: 1) authorize federal funding for the next budget period; 2) recommend redirection of work; 3) discontinue providing federal funding beyond the current budget period; or 4) place a hold on federal funding pending further supporting data.

Project – The entire scope of the cooperative agreement which is contained in the recipient's Statement of Project Objectives.

Recipient or "Prime Recipient" – A non-federal entity that receives a federal award directly from a federal awarding agency to carry out an activity under a federal program. The term recipient does not include subrecipients.

Subrecipient – A non-federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a federal program; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other federal awards directly from a federal awarding agency. Also, a DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application. See section III.E.ii.

APPENDIX D - DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

TRL 1:	Basic principles observed and reported	
TRL 2:	Technology concept and/or application formulated	
TRL 3:	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept	
TRL 4:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment	
TRL 5:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment	
TRL 6:	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment	
TRL 7:	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment	
TRL 8:	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstrated	
TRL 9:	Actual system proven through successful mission operations	

APPENDIX E - LIST OF ACRONYMS

DEC Determination of Exceptional Circumstances DMP Data Management Plan DOE Department of Energy DOI Digital Object Identifier EERE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure WP Work Proposal	COI	Conflict of Interest
DMP Data Management Plan DOE Department of Energy DOI Digital Object Identifier EERE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
DOE Department of Energy DOI Digital Object I dentifier EERE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	_	· ·
DOI Digital Object Identifier EERE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
EERE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
FFATA Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
FOA Funding Opportunity Announcement FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		·
FOIA Freedom of Information Act FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
FFRDC Federally Funded Research and Development Center GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
IPMP Intellectual Property Management Plan M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		,
M&O Management and Operating MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
MPIN Marketing Partner ID Number MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
MYPP Multi-Year Program Plan NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
NDA Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	MYPP	
NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NNSA National Nuclear Security Agency OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure		
OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	NEPA	
OMB Office of Management and Budget OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	NNSA	National Nuclear Security Agency
OSTI Office of Scientific and Technical Information PII Personal Identifiable Information R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	ОМВ	, , ,
R&D Research and Development RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	OSTI	
RFI Request for Information RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	PII	Personal Identifiable Information
RFP Request for Proposal SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	R&D	Research and Development
SAM System for Award Management SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	RFI	Request for Information
SOPO Statement of Project Objectives SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	RFP	Request for Proposal
SPOC Single Point of Contact TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	SAM	System for Award Management
TIA Technology Investment Agreement TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
TRL Technology Readiness Level UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	SPOC	Single Point of Contact
UCC Uniform Commercial Code WBS Work Breakdown Structure	TIA	Technology Investment Agreement
WBS Work Breakdown Structure	TRL	Technology Readiness Level
	UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
WP Work Proposal	WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
	WP	Work Proposal