

**Department of Energy (DOE)**  
**Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)**

**Buildings Energy Efficiency Frontiers & Innovation  
Technologies (BENEFIT) – 2022/23**

**Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0002788**

**FOA Type: Initial**

**Assistance Listing Number: 81.086**

<b>FOA Issue Date:</b>	12/14/2022
<b>Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:</b>	02/07/2023 5:00pm ET
<b>Submission Deadline for Full Applications:</b>	04/05/2023 5:00pm ET
<b>Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:</b>	05/18/2023 5:00pm ET
<b>Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:</b>	July 2023
<b>Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations:</b>	September 2023

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>, EERE's online application portal.
- **Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)** - Each applicant (unless the applicant is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110) is required to: (1) Be registered in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid UEI number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

**NOTE: Due to the high demand of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If**

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process they should utilize the [HELP](#) feature on [SAM.gov](#). SAM.gov will work entity service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

## Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>I. Funding Opportunity Description .....</b>	<b>5</b>
A. Background and Context .....	5
i. Background and Purpose .....	5
ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals .....	5
iii. Application Elements .....	7
iv. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion .....	10
v. Teaming Partner List .....	12
B. Topic Areas .....	13
C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest .....	32
D. Authorizing Statutes .....	32
<b>II. Award Information .....</b>	<b>32</b>
A. Award Overview .....	32
vi. Estimated Funding .....	32
vii. Period of Performance .....	33
viii. New Applications Only .....	33
B. EERE Funding Agreements .....	33
i. Cooperative Agreements .....	33
ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs) .....	34
<b>III. Eligibility Information .....</b>	<b>34</b>
A. Eligible Applicants .....	34
i. Individuals .....	34
ii. Domestic Entities .....	34
iii. Foreign Entities .....	35
iv. Incorporated Consortia .....	35
v. Unincorporated Consortia .....	36
B. Cost Sharing .....	36
i. Legal Responsibility .....	37
ii. Cost Share Allocation .....	37
iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability .....	37
iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs .....	38
v. Cost Share Verification .....	38
vi. Cost Share Payment .....	38
C. Compliance Criteria .....	39
i. Compliance Criteria .....	39
D. Responsiveness Criteria .....	40
E. Other Eligibility Requirements .....	40
i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient .....	40
F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review .....	42
G. Questions Regarding Eligibility .....	42
<b>IV. Application and Submission Information .....</b>	<b>42</b>
A. Application Process .....	42
i. Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE .....	43
B. Application Forms .....	44

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

C.	Content and Form of the Concept Paper.....	44
i.	Concept Paper Content Requirements .....	44
D.	Content and Form of the Full Application .....	46
i.	Full Application Content Requirements.....	46
ii.	Technical Volume.....	48
iii.	Resumes.....	52
iv.	Letters of Commitment.....	53
v.	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO).....	54
vi.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance.....	54
vii.	Budget Justification Workbook.....	54
viii.	Summary/Abstract for Public Release .....	55
ix.	Summary Slide .....	55
x.	Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable).....	55
xi.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable) .....	56
xii.	Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable).....	56
xiii.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required) .....	56
xiv.	Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity and Foreign Work (if applicable) .....	57
xv.	Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan .....	57
xvi.	Current and Pending Support .....	58
E.	Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments .....	61
F.	Post Selection Information Requests.....	62
G.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM).....	62
H.	Submission Dates and Times .....	63
I.	Intergovernmental Review .....	63
J.	Funding Restrictions .....	63
i.	Allowable Costs.....	63
ii.	Pre-Award Costs.....	63
iii.	Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver) .....	64
iv.	Construction .....	65
v.	Foreign Travel .....	65
vi.	Equipment and Supplies .....	65
vii.	Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects.....	66
viii.	Lobbying.....	67
ix.	Risk Assessment.....	67
x.	Invoice Review and Approval.....	68
xi.	<b>Foreign Collaboration Considerations .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>Application Review Information .....</b>	<b>69</b>
A.	Technical Review Criteria.....	69
i.	Concept Papers .....	69
ii.	Full Applications.....	70
iii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments.....	72
B.	Standards for Application Evaluation .....	72
C.	Other Selection Factors .....	72
i.	Program Policy Factors .....	72
D.	Evaluation and Selection Process .....	73
i.	Overview .....	73
ii.	Pre-Selection Clarification.....	73
iii.	Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters .....	74
iv.	Selection .....	75
E.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates.....	75
<b>VI.</b>	<b>Award Administration Information.....</b>	<b>75</b>

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

A.	Award Notices.....	75
i.	Ineligible Submissions.....	75
ii.	Concept Paper Notifications.....	75
iii.	Full Application Notifications.....	76
iv.	Successful Applicants.....	76
v.	Alternate Selection Determinations.....	76
vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants.....	76
B.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	77
i.	Registration Requirements.....	77
ii.	Award Administrative Requirements.....	78
iii.	Foreign National Participation.....	78
iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting.....	78
v.	National Policy Requirements.....	78
vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).....	79
vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications.....	79
viii.	Statement of Federal Stewardship.....	81
ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement.....	81
x.	Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP).....	82
xi.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting.....	83
xii.	Intellectual Property Provisions.....	83
xiii.	Reporting.....	83
xiv.	Go/No-Go Review.....	83
xv.	Conference Spending.....	84
xvi.	Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements.....	84
xvii.	Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty.....	85
xviii.	Participants and Collaborating Organizations.....	85
xix.	Current and Pending Support.....	85
xx.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments.....	85
xxi.	Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance.....	86
xxii.	Data Management Plan (DMP).....	87
xxiii.	Project Management Plan (PMP).....	87
xxiv.	Software Commercialization or Open Source Software Distribution Plan.....	88
xxv.	Fraud, Waste and Abuse.....	88
xxvi.	Human Subjects Research.....	89
<b>VII.</b>	<b>Questions/Agency Contacts.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>Other Information.....</b>	<b>89</b>
A.	FOA Modifications.....	89
B.	Government Right to Reject or Negotiate.....	90
C.	Commitment of Public Funds.....	90
D.	Treatment of Application Information.....	90
E.	Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel.....	91
F.	Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities.....	91
G.	Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability.....	91
H.	Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure.....	92
I.	Retention of Submissions.....	92
J.	Title to Subject Inventions.....	92
K.	Government Rights in Subject Inventions.....	93
L.	Rights in Technical Data.....	94
M.	Copyright.....	95
N.	Export Control.....	95
O.	Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment.....	95

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

---

P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII).....	96
Q. Annual Independent Audits.....	96
<b>Appendix A – Demand Side Management and Grid Services.....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Appendix B – Cost Share Information .....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Appendix C – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage .....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Appendix D – Waiver Requests For: 1. Foreign Entity Participation; and 2. Foreign Work.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Appendix E – Software Commercialization or Open Source Software Distribution Plan.....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Appendix F – Required Use of American Iron, Steel, Manufactured Products, and Construction Materials .....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Appendix G – Glossary .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Appendix H – Definition of Technology Readiness Levels.....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Appendix I – List of Acronyms.....</b>	<b>122</b>

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov) .*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

## I. Funding Opportunity Description

### A. Background and Context

#### i. Background and Purpose

Building a clean and equitable energy economy and addressing the climate crisis is a top priority of the Biden Administration. This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) will advance the Biden Administration's goals to achieve carbon pollution-free electricity by 2035 and to "deliver an equitable, clean energy future, and put the United States on a path to achieve net-zero emissions, economy-wide, by no later than 2050<sup>1</sup> to the benefit of all Americans." The Department of Energy is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering, catalyzing clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D), and ensuring environmental justice and inclusion of underserved communities.

The research and development (R&D) activities to be funded under this FOA will support the government-wide approach to the climate crisis by driving the innovation that can lead to the deployment of clean energy technologies, which are critical for climate protection. Specifically, this FOA will support the development, validation, and demonstration of novel building technologies and retrofit practices in high-priority technology areas including air conditioning, space heating, water heating, thermal and battery storage, plug loads and lighting, and the building envelope that have significant potential for equitable carbon savings, through building electrification, energy efficiency, and demand flexibility with utmost affordability at its core.

#### ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals

The Building Technologies Office (BTO) aims to decarbonize the building stock by making suitable technologies that exist today more accessible to all communities and addressing gaps where building decarbonization will require new innovations. While most of the building stock can be decarbonized by 2050 using current technologies, new technologies are required to decarbonize significant building segments, as well as to ensure that decarbonization can also reduce customer energy burdens. Energy efficiency measures remain essential to ensuring that decarbonization is maximally cost effective. Technology advances can reduce the total cost of decarbonization, and technology deployment initiatives can identify technology gaps and needs. The long-term RDD&D

---

<sup>1</sup> Executive Order 14008, "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad," January 27, 2021.

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

---

investments this FOA makes will reduce the cost of building decarbonization and increase the quality of life for building occupants. Specifically, this FOA will support the development, validation, and demonstration of novel building technologies and retrofit practices in high-priority technology areas including air conditioning, space heating, water heating, thermal and battery storage, plug loads and lighting, and the building envelope that have significant potential for equitable carbon savings, through building electrification, energy efficiency, and demand flexibility with utmost affordability at its core.

BTO has issued the BENEFIT FOA on a regular basis since 2014. The 2022/23 BENEFIT FOA will invest up to \$15.35M - \$45.2M across 5 topic areas to allow all interested parties to research and develop high-impact, cost-effective technologies and practices that will reduce carbon emissions, improve flexibility and resilience, as well as lower energy costs.

Topic 1: Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning and Water Heating: Technologies with improved materials, components, equipment design and engineering, lower cost manufacturing processes, and easier installation.

Topic 2: Thermal Energy Storage (TES): Development and validation of next generation plug-and-play TES products with improved cost and performance and ease of installation to accelerate adoption of TES in HVAC applications.

Topic 3: Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS): Development, validation, and demonstration of product innovations that reduce the cost of BESS integration, improve the coordination between distributed BESS and the electrical grid, as well as help meet building decarbonization targets.

Topic 4: Plug Loads/Lighting: Integration of plug load controls with connected lighting systems in commercial buildings with minimal cost and complexity to support building electrification.

Topic 5: Opaque Building Envelope: Development, validation, and demonstration of high-impact, affordable. opaque building envelope retrofit and diagnostic technologies.

The 2022/23 BENEFIT FOA permits a broader range of applications, which will help BTO to select the highest-impact awards and fulfill the most important needs for innovation. Applicants to this FOA may consider including field validation as part of their approach to verify technologies and integration practices. Field validation may be used to identify gaps in design and in-field performance.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



### iii. Application Elements

FOA applications will be selected based on technical merit, impact, teaming arrangements, and DEI considerations. Full evaluation criteria can be found in [Section V.A.](#)

All applications are expected to identify the baseline technology or approach, describe the current technology or market deficiencies, and characterize/quantify current performance and cost parameters. Where applicable, the applicant should identify any relevant regulations, efficiency standards, building codes or other barriers which impact the proposed technology and/or approach. The applicant should identify any positive or negative impacts that the proposed technology and/or approach could have on technology integration. To the extent possible, technology integration should be considered in energy savings, affordability, demand flexibility, and occupant comfort evaluations described below.

All applications should clearly detail a pathway for overcoming the identified technology and market deficiencies through their approach. This includes a thorough discussion of the proposed technical approach including quantified energy metrics, cost characteristics, and impact on occupant comfort and quality of life<sup>2</sup>. Applicants should clearly state all technical assumptions and provide appropriate data, data analysis, and/or modeling/simulation results to support the proposed approach. Key project risks and mitigation strategies should also be detailed.

Applications are expected to address the specific metrics or goals identified in the subtopic area descriptions below. In most subtopic areas, applicants are expected to address energy savings (technical energy savings potential), affordability (cost of conserved energy), demand flexibility, and occupant comfort. Guidance on energy savings and affordability calculations and addressing demand flexibility and occupant comfort considerations are provided below.

**Energy Savings Calculations:** Where required, applicants must provide the Primary Energy Savings Technical Potential (TBtu). The Primary Energy Savings Technical Potential is calculated from Eq. (1):

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Primary Energy Savings} \\ \text{Technical Potential} \\ \text{(TBtu)} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \% \text{ Energy Savings} \\ \text{Over Typical New} \\ \text{Technology} \end{array} \right] \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{2040 Energy} \\ \text{Market Size} \\ \text{(TBtu)} \end{array} \right] \quad (1)$$

<sup>2</sup> BTO recognizes that topics that focus on early-stage R&D will not necessarily be able at this time to quantify all future cost, cost-effectiveness, comfort, and other product-specific characteristics.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Where applicable, applicants are encouraged to develop an energy conservation measure (ECM) for their proposed approach using BTO's free calculation tool: Scout (<https://scout.energy.gov/>). Applicants can calculate energy savings using Scout by defining a custom ECM for their technology. Scout installation instructions ([https://scout-bto.readthedocs.io/en/latest/installation\\_guide.html](https://scout-bto.readthedocs.io/en/latest/installation_guide.html)) and tutorials (<https://scout-bto.readthedocs.io/en/latest/tutorials.html>) document how to set up and use Scout to calculate energy savings from custom ECMs. Calculations of the energy savings technical potential should be conducted for the year 2040.

Applicants may use other tools or methodologies to calculate their technical energy savings potential, such as BTO's free calculation tool: Baseline Energy Calculator (<https://scout.energy.gov/baseline-energy-calculator.html>). Applicants are required to fully detail the baselines, methodology, and assumptions in determining the energy savings potential such that their calculations can be critiqued for proper validation by BTO.

**Affordability Calculations:** Where required, applicants must calculate affordability. Applicants are encouraged to use [Scout](#) to calculate the estimated cost of conserved energy (CCE) based on the analysis of their energy savings calculation.

Applicants may use other tools or methodologies to calculate affordability. For example, applicants proposing technology innovations might calculate the cost effectiveness of a technology, as measured by the Simple Payback. This is applicable only to technology innovations, and not to other innovations such as design tools or enabling technologies for which primary energy savings and/or payback are difficult to describe. Applicants should compute the Simple Payback for their proposed technology innovation per Eq. 2:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Simple} \\ \text{Payback} \\ \text{(Yr)} \end{array} \right] = \frac{\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Incremental Initial} \\ \text{Cost of Proposed} \\ \text{Technology at Scale (\$)} \end{array} \right]}{\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cost} \left( \frac{\$}{\text{Yr}} \right) \\ \text{Savings} \end{array} \right]} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Incremental Initial} \\ \text{Cost of Proposed} \\ \text{Technology at Scale (\$)} \end{array} \right]}{\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Unit Energy Consumed by} \\ \text{Typical New Technology} \\ \text{Per Year (kWh/Yr)} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Energy} \left( \frac{\$}{\text{kWh}} \right) \\ \text{Cost} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \% \text{ Energy Savings} \\ \text{Over Typical New} \\ \text{Technology} \end{array} \right]}$$

where the Incremental Initial Cost of Proposed Technology at Scale (\$) is computed from

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Incremental Initial} \\ \text{Cost of Proposed} \\ \text{Technology at Scale (\$)} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Unit Cost of} \\ \text{Proposed Technology} \\ \text{at Scale (\$)} \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Unit Cost of} \\ \text{Typical New} \\ \text{Technology (\$)} \end{array} \right] \quad (3)$$

Applicants should describe and provide supporting documentation for what they consider to be an acceptable maximum payback (in years which can vary significantly depending on the end use).

In all cases, applicants are expected to fully describe the “next best alternative” technology or practice (e.g., the baseline or state of the art) against which this solution’s affordability is being compared, and any assumptions regarding economies of scale or learning curves that are determinative in the cost of their proposed solution such that their calculations can be properly validated by BTO.

When considering affordability, applicants are encouraged to include costs that are related to the entire scope “cradle-to-grave” impacts of their approach. For example, when considering the construction process, applicants could consider the costs associated with the acquisition and transportation of materials and equipment, design costs, and labor.

**Demand Flexibility:** Where required, applicants are expected to detail the ability of the proposed approach to provide one or more demand-side management strategies. Priority demand-side management strategies and related grid services, definitions, and key characteristics are provided in [Appendix A](#) – Demand Side Management and Grid Services. Applicants should fully detail how

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

demand flexibility will be addressed and quantify the extent that their solution will improve demand flexibility through one or more of the grid services identified in [Appendix A](#). Additional demand side management strategies and technology specific considerations are included in the Overview of Research Challenges and Gap GEB Technical Report<sup>3</sup>.

In detailing the demand flexibility potential of their approach, applicants should fully describe the baseline, the load change characteristics of the proposed approach (i.e., duration of energy change, ramp time to provide energy change, and magnitude of energy change), the market size, and the adoption assumptions such that their calculations can be critiqued for proper validation by BTO.

**Occupant Comfort:** For the purposes of this funding opportunity, occupant comfort can be defined as all aspects of the human interacting with the building space. It includes, but is not limited to, aspects of the conditioned space such as air quality, temperature, and humidity. It includes visual aspects relevant to quality of light and task-specific lighting. Applicants to this funding opportunity are expected to discuss any potential effects, both positive and negative, to occupant comfort affected by their proposed approach.

#### iv. **Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion**

It is the policy of the Biden Administration that:

[T]he Federal Government should pursue a comprehensive approach to advancing equity<sup>4</sup> for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality. Affirmatively advancing equity, civil rights, racial justice, and equal opportunity is the responsibility of the whole of our Government. Because advancing equity requires a systematic approach to embedding fairness in decision-making processes, executive departments and agencies (agencies) must recognize and work to redress inequities in their policies and programs that serve as barriers to equal opportunity.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/pdfs/75470.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> The term “equity” means the consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

By advancing equity across the Federal Government, we can create opportunities for the improvement of communities that have been historically underserved, which benefits everyone.<sup>5</sup>

As part of this whole of government approach, this FOA seeks to encourage the participation of underserved communities<sup>6</sup> and underrepresented groups. Applicants are highly encouraged to include individuals from groups historically underrepresented<sup>7,8</sup> in STEM on their project teams. As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from underrepresented groups in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities. (See [Section IV.D.xv.](#)). The plan should include at least one SMART (Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic and Time-Related) milestone per

---

<sup>5</sup> Executive Order 13985, “Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government” (Jan. 20, 2021).

<sup>6</sup> The term “underserved communities” refers to populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list of in the definition of “equity.” E.O. 13985. For purposes of this FOA, as applicable to geographic communities, applicants can refer to economically distressed communities identified by the Internal Revenue Service as Qualified Opportunity Zones; communities identified as disadvantaged or underserved communities by their respective States; communities identified on the Index of Deep Disadvantage referenced at <https://news.umich.edu/new-index-ranks-americas-100-most-disadvantaged-communities/>, and communities that otherwise meet the definition of “underserved communities” stated above.

<sup>7</sup> According to the National Science Foundation’s 2019 report titled, “Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering”, women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minority groups—blacks or African Americans, Hispanics or Latinos, and American Indians or Alaska Natives—are vastly underrepresented in the STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) fields that drive the energy sector. That is, their representation in STEM education and STEM employment is smaller than their representation in the U.S. population. <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf19304/digest/about-this-report> For example, in the U.S., Hispanics, African Americans and American Indians or Alaska Natives make up 24 percent of the overall workforce, yet only account for 9 percent of the country’s science and engineering workforce. DOE seeks to inspire underrepresented Americans to pursue careers in energy and support their advancement into leadership positions. <https://www.energy.gov/articles/introducing-minorities-energy-initiative>

<sup>8</sup> See also. Note that Congress recognized in section 305 of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act of 2017, Public Law 114-329:

(1) [I]t is critical to our Nation’s economic leadership and global competitiveness that the United States educate, train, and retain more scientists, engineers, and computer scientists; (2) there is currently a disconnect between the availability of and growing demand for STEM-skilled workers; (3) historically, underrepresented populations are the largest untapped STEM talent pools in the United States; and (4) given the shifting demographic landscape, the United States should encourage full participation of individuals from underrepresented populations in STEM fields.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

budget period supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions. This plan will be evaluated as part of the technical review process, and incorporated into the award if selected.

Further, Minority Serving Institutions<sup>9</sup>, Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or entities located in an underserved community that meet the eligibility requirements (See [Section III.](#)) are encouraged to apply as the prime applicant or participate on an application as a proposed partner to the prime applicant. The Selection Official may consider the inclusion of these types of entities as part of the selection decision (See [Section V.C.i.](#)).

**v. Teaming Partner List**

BTO is compiling a “teaming” partner list to facilitate widespread participation in this FOA. This list allows organizations with expertise in the topics to express their interest to potential applicants and to explore potential partnerships. The Teaming Partner List is available on <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov> under this FOA (DE-FOA-0002788). Any organization that would like to be included on this list should submit the following information to the FOA email box ([BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov)) with the subject line “BENEFIT 2022/23 FOA: Teaming Partner Information”:

- Organization Name
- Contact Name
- Contact Email
- Contact Phone #
- Contact Website/Social Media
- Organization Type
- FOA Topic Area(s) of Interest
- Area of Technical Expertise (bulleted list)
- Brief Description of Capabilities and/or Demonstration/Field Validation Site Offering (can optionally include diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) strengths and practices). If offering a Demonstration/Field Validation Site, please include the below information:
  - Quantity of Properties
  - Location of Properties
  - Property Type
  - Climate Zone(s)
  - Any Additional Notes

---

<sup>9</sup> Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Other Minority Institutions as educational entities recognized by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Education, and identified on the OCR's Department of Education U.S. accredited postsecondary minorities' institution list. See <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/edlite-minorityinst.html>.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- Description of Need in a Teaming Partner and/or Demonstration/Field Validation Site

By submitting a request to be included in the Teaming Partner List, the requesting organization consents to the publication of the above-referenced information. Each organization should provide a generic point of contact e-mail address to receive queries. If a direct personal e-mail address is provided, the requesting organization consents to its publication. By facilitating this Teaming Partner List, EERE does not endorse or otherwise evaluate the qualifications of the entities that self-identify themselves for placement on the Teaming Partner List.

Teams that include representation from diverse entities such as, but not limited to: Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/Other Minority Institutions (OMIs)<sup>10</sup>, or through linkages with Opportunity Zones<sup>11</sup>, are encouraged.

## **B. Topic Areas**

Detailed topic area descriptions are provided below.

Please note that awards may not be made in all areas, and the distribution will depend on the number and quality of proposals received. In all cases, the proposed project structure should include a clear validation and demonstration of the capabilities of the product, technology, or approach.

---

### **Topic 1: Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) and Water Heating (WH)**

BTO seeks to accelerate the development of next generation heating, ventilation, air-conditioning, and refrigeration (HVAC&R), water heating and appliance technologies. These represent more than half of the total energy used in U.S. residential and commercial buildings. HVAC is the largest energy end-use for U.S.

---

<sup>10</sup> Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including HBCUs/OMIs as educational entities recognized by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Education, and identified on the OCR's Department of Education U.S. accredited postsecondary minorities' institution list. See <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/edlite-minorityinst.html>.

<sup>11</sup> Opportunity Zones were added to the Internal Revenue Code by section 13823 of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, codified at 26 U.S.C. 1400Z-1. The list of designated Qualified Opportunity Zones can be found in IRS Notices [2018-48 \(PDF\)](#) and [2019-42 \(PDF\)](#). Further, a visual map of the census tracts designated as Qualified Opportunity Zones may also be found at [Opportunity Zones Resources](#). Also see, [frequently asked questions](#) about Qualified Opportunity Zones.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



buildings, consuming approximately 38% (14.3 Quads) of total building energy in 2021, 35% of building electricity use, and a very large share of peak power demand. Water heating (3.6 Quads) is primarily a residential function (81% of all water heating energy use). Commercial water heating occurs primarily in hotels, hospitals, and food service buildings.

The HVAC/WH sub-program focuses on developing technologies with improved materials and components, improving equipment design and engineering, developing lower cost manufacturing processes, and enabling easier installation. The research and development activities in this sub-program often include crosscutting technologies, and the sub-program justifies its integrated and multidisciplinary R&D efforts across several end uses.

To reduce emissions and advance energy efficiency, the sub-program pursues solutions that are systems-oriented to optimize energy use in the entire building. These efforts will result in innovative, energy-saving components and technologies for HVAC/WH that have the potential to fully replace or be integrated with conventional technologies, often across several end uses. Improved HVAC/WH technologies offer a significant opportunity for energy and emission savings. Energy savings can be realized not only in individual end uses, but in optimizing and reducing building energy use through integrated systems. This requires improving the design and sizing of systems and integrating them into the building design.

The HVAC/WH sub-program uses the strategies outlined below to develop and advance affordable, cost-effective technologies that improve system energy consumption. R&D includes both early-stage research that advances traditional approaches and concepts, as well as the development of next-generation technologies that “leapfrog” existing technologies and practices. BTO aims to introduce next-generation technologies with the simplest applications first for the highest probability of success. BTO can utilize these approaches in more complex technologies with confidence through subsequent investments. For example, the sub-program would consider implementing advanced, non-vapor compression heat pump technologies into refrigeration systems before rollout to space-conditioning applications.

Applications are expected to address and quantify the following:

- Energy savings, affordability, demand flexibility, and occupant comfort (Application Elements section I.A.iii)
- Description of the manner and extent by which their approach advances the state of the art

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



---

**Subtopic 1A: Components R&D for Residential and Commercial HVAC/WH Air-Source Heat Pumps**

**Description:** This subtopic will focus on advancing Residential and Commercial HVAC/WH air-source heat pump components R&D that relies on a vapor compression cycle. These refrigerants include both natural refrigerants and fluorinated gases (F-gases) equipment. The basic components of a heat pump system will be the focus of this subtopic: compressors, heat exchangers (evaporator and condenser), expansion, and reversing valves. Please note that subcomponents including motors (for compressors and fans used to move air across heat exchangers) and component level controls are in scope of this topic.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** The target outcomes are lower cost and higher performing air source heat pumps and heat pump water heaters that surpass ENERGY STAR levels by at least 10% while having payback periods less than or equal to 5 years.

**Explanation of Need:** With the administration's goals for electrification and reduced greenhouse gas emissions, along with states, such as California and New York, setting goals for increased electrification, the market penetration rate for heat pumps is expected to increase substantially over the next few years. Increasing heat pump adoption rates will require advancing all air source heat pumping components including commercial size units.

Putting the U.S. on a pathway to achieve a carbon-free electricity sector by 2035 and a 100% clean energy economy by 2050 requires developing low global warming potential (GWP) equipment less than or equal to 150 ( $GWP \leq 150$ ) and long term  $GWP \leq 10$  (stretch goal) equipment that are cost effective and more efficient than today's units. Next generation systems will also support the American Innovation and Manufacturing (AIM) Act of 2020, which targets an 85% HFC phasedown by 2035. BTO is also addressing concerns over refrigerant leaks with R&D focusing on refrigerant leak detection and sensor development. Reducing refrigerant leaks for HVAC and water heating equipment has the potential to increase lifetime equipment operating efficiency, address safety issues, decrease equipment and operating cost, and most importantly support hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) phasedown and greenhouse gas reduction goals.

This subtopic includes (but is not limited) to the development of the following key heat pump system components and sub-components, natural refrigerants (CO<sub>2</sub>, propane, etc.), and fluorinated gases (F-gases) equipment:

- Compressors
  - Developed to take advantage of new refrigerants

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- 
- Advanced designs for heat pump water heaters for residential units (including 120V units, focusing on recovery rate and first-hour ratings) and larger commercial units
  - Advanced motor technologies
  - Heat exchangers (evaporator and condenser)
    - Moves beyond passive designs (existing designs) into smart and active designs (like header designs that can adapt to loading conditions and refrigerant blends)
    - Advanced fan designs that work well with new heat exchanger designs
    - Developed with low-GWP refrigerant and high temperature glide effects as drivers
    - Developed with variable-speed compressors and their capacity requirements, partial loads including longer run times and other characteristics
    - Advanced wrapped-around condenser designs for heat pump water heaters for residential units (including 120V units) and larger commercial units
  - Expansion and reversing valves
    - Enhance control and modulation
    - Developed with variable-speed compressors and their capacity requirements, partial loads and other characteristics including longer run times

Reducing refrigerant leaks for HVAC and water heating equipment has the potential to increase lifetime equipment operating efficiency, address safety issues, decrease equipment and operating cost via:

- Low-GWP refrigerant leak detection systems and sensors – enables field detection of leaks to enable repair and determine composition for re-charging
- Brazing and Joining Technologies and Processes
- Advanced Component Design and Materials
- Installation, Operation, and Maintenance

#### **Subtopic 1B: HVAC/WH Cost Compression Solutions**

**Description:** This subtopic will focus on reducing the costs (product and installation) to address more equitable heat pump and heat pump water heater solutions to increase market penetration, especially in cold, very cold regions, multifamily applications, and low-income neighborhoods. Solutions are expected to use a refrigerant with less than or equal to 750 global warming potential (GWP).

**Goal/Target Outcome:** The target outcomes are lower-installed cost heat pumps and heat pump water heaters. Heat pumps shall meet Department of Energy minimum efficiency standards and have a demonstrated installation time of less than 3-hours for a typical residential system swap-out. Heat pump water heaters shall meet a Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) of 3.5 and have a demonstrated

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

installation time of fewer than 2 hours when replacing tankless or tank fossil fuel-based water heaters less than 150 gallons. For systems that are not explicitly addressed (e.g., unitized systems, integrated systems, mechanical system pods, etc.), applicants shall justify relevant performance and installation time metrics as compared to conventional systems.

**Explanation of Need:** Investigations have shown that heat pumps, especially in cold and very cold regions, have installed costs that are up to 50% higher than those in other regions. And heat pump water heaters in all regions are approximately \$1000 - \$1300 higher than conventional water heater installations. There are also few solutions for multifamily housing. Addressing installation constraints and reducing product and installation costs will help drive the speed and scale of market adoption, especially within low-income neighborhoods.

#### **Subtopic 1C: Commercial Low GWP Cold Climate Rooftop Heat Pump**

**Description:** The goal of this subtopic is to develop a commercial Low-GWP rooftop unit (RTU) for cold climates that uses a refrigerant with a GWP less than or equal to 150. A clear commercialization plan that engages an industrial partner would be ideal in commercializing the RTU and early market entry.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** A cold climate rooftop heat pump capable of operation to minus 15°F using a refrigerant with a GWP of less than or equal to 150, with a stretch goal of 5 (see table below for performance and cost targets). This would enable the US to meet future HFC target reductions of 85% and ensure US competitiveness in the global market.

**Explanation of Need:** Commercial RTUs in the 10-20 ton range are typically comprised of electric air conditioning with a gas furnace. In support of the Biden administration's goals for electrification and reduced GHG emissions, advanced Low-GWP cold climate air source heat pumps for commercial applications are needed that can maintain both capacity and coefficients of performance (COP) down to very low ambient temperatures. These system designs could include multi-stage units, alternative refrigerants including natural refrigerants, and other innovative approaches that maintain performance and cost competitiveness. In low-rise commercial buildings, there are few if any options for high efficiency heating, even with high efficiency cooling products. Consequently, resistance heating is sometimes the only option in cold climates. Cold climate heat pumps would address this need and provide an opportunity for substantial energy savings. Cold climate heat pumps for residential single-family applications are currently under development and a few have been introduced for cooling capacities of 5 tons and below. However, no such products are available for commercial rooftop applications. It may be possible to extend the technologies currently under

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

development for residential applications into larger capacities, or new approaches requiring further research and development may be necessary.

Beyond electrification, additional GHG emission reductions can be achieved if the existing refrigerant, R410A, is replaced with a much lower GWP refrigerant and the unit operates at low ambient temperatures to reduce the amount of electrical resistance heat. With the administration's goals for electrification and reduced greenhouse gas emissions, along with states such as California and New York setting goals for increased electrification, the market penetration rate for heat pumps is expected to increase substantially over the next few years. Low-GWP refrigerants can include natural refrigerants (CO<sub>2</sub>, propane, etc.) as well as fluorinated gases (F-gases).

Applications must demonstrate how the following performance and cost targets will be met:

<b>Commercial Low GWP Cold Climate Rooftop Heat Pump</b>	
<b>Requirements</b>	<b>Target</b>
COP at 47°F / Maximum % capacity degradation from nominal (47°F)	≥ 4 / 0%
COP at 5°F / Maximum % capacity degradation from nominal (47°F)	≥ 2.75 / 5%
COP at -15°F / Maximum % capacity degradation from nominal (47°F)	≥ 2.5 / 15%
Air Discharge Temperature at -15°F	>90°F
Simple payback period*	≤ 5 years
Global Warming Potential (GWP) refrigerant	≤ 150

\* Projects are requested to have a simple payback period (from Minimum Efficiency Standard Units) at full commercial production rates no greater than 5 years; it is understood that the economics vary depending on climate and electricity costs and supporting calculations should clearly present assumptions made.

#### **Subtopic 1D: Commercial Heat Pump Water Heater Development and Demonstration**

**Description:** This subtopic seeks to fund the demonstration of heat pump technologies that provide central water heating solutions in medium (25,000–200,000 ft<sup>2</sup>), and large commercial buildings (>200,000 ft<sup>2</sup>) and large multifamily

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

buildings. Residential heat pump water heaters used in a commercial application are not eligible for this subtopic.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** Successful proposals should include a clear description of projected greenhouse gas emission savings, and the path to scalability, which may include a description of market segmentation and opportunity, pilot size including number of buildings and their characteristics, design, validation objectives, success metrics and the pathway to broader deployment within the commercial sector. Projects should result in the collection and dissemination of performance data (capacity, supply temperature, and recovery rates) and best practices (for energy consumption, installation and operating costs, and other benefits) from the installation, instrumentation, and verification of technologies in one or more occupied, operational medium or large commercial buildings.

**Explanation of Need:** Commercial buildings have a wide range of water heating energy consumption, from high consumption in buildings such as inpatient healthcare, food service, and lodging to lower consumption in offices and warehouses. Commercial heat pump water heater manufacturers have stated they see demand for commercial heat pump water heater systems for new construction and replacement installations growing in the next couple of years.

Preferred proposals will include near commercial and emerging heat pump technologies that provide central water heating solutions for medium to large commercial or large multifamily buildings and one of the following:

- Solutions that are ready for demonstration in occupied and operational buildings and display a high potential to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions across the U.S. building stock
- Solutions that address system implementation issues including, but not limited to, storage size and reducing piping losses

Preferred application components include:

- Teams that include energy utilities, building owners/operators, builders, tenants, and/or technology providers
- Projects demonstrating commitments from medium to large commercial or multifamily building host sites ready for technology installation
- Project objectives, deliverables and a data collection strategy that support the potential for follow-on deployment of new technologies, and enable non-federal entities to implement energy efficiency and beneficial electrification programs

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

## Topic 2: Thermal Energy Storage (TES)

Thermally driven loads make up over 53% of primary energy used in buildings, contribute to over 55% of all CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and are among the primary drivers of peak loads in the building sector. Thermal Energy Storage (TES) can play a significant role in shifting and shedding building loads while facilitating heating electrification and building, and grid decarbonization.

BTO seeks the development and validation of next generation TES technologies to facilitate heating electrification, building and grid decarbonization, and grid and occupant resilience. Key drivers in making TES systems economically viable are the costs to integrate thermal storage with equipment or the envelope. These costs include the storage material, the heat exchanger, and the integration costs. This TES topic focuses on developing plug-and-play TES modules with improved cost and performance and ease of installation to accelerate adoption of TES in space conditioning applications.

### **Plug-and-Play Modular Thermal Energy Storage Systems Development**

BTO seeks the development and validation of next generation, low-cost, field installable plug-and-play modular TES for combined space heating and cooling applications that is easily connected with the HVAC system or the building envelope through thermal loops and can easily scale to the appropriate size for the application (climate zone, building type, etc.). A modular design using pre-packaged HVAC equipment or envelope system connected to a separate thermal storage module with a secondary loop can allow for more flexibility in operation through clever manifolding and valving.

The TES module should be designed and engineered to minimize installation complexity and effort (including weight and volume, complexity, and cost) and avoid costly custom on-site installations and maximize efficiency, power delivery, and utilization at high discharge rates using advanced heat exchangers, state of charge (SOC) measurement, and predictive controls, with a system goal to shift 30-50% of space conditioning loads over 4 hours.

The target outcomes are lower cost and higher performing TES modules that can be easily connected to HVAC equipment or envelope systems through quick-connect and/or universal fittings to make installation more 'plug and play' and able to discharge in 4 hours utilizing at least 95% of its stored capacity at an installed system capital cost of under \$40/kWh thermal at scale (compared to a non-TES baseline). The TES module should have a minimum 90% retained storage capacity over the estimated 15- to 20-year lifetime of typical residential and commercial HVAC equipment. Applicants targeting commercial roof top unit heat pump applications,

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



the TES module design must consider typical commercial roof loading capacity limitations when designing the TES modules.

To enhance interoperability and further simplify installation, TES ready connection points should be designed and engineered into the HVAC equipment or envelope system to facilitate easier integration and improved performance of the overall TES-HVAC/envelope system. Such quick-connect and/or universal fittings would make installation more ‘plug and play.’ Modifications to provide these TES-ready connectors to the HVAC equipment should not exceed 2-5% of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) costs. TES connected through the building envelope allows collecting heat or coolness from the exterior of the building envelope, storing it in stand-alone modular TES and using the stored heat or coolness through the interior side of exterior building envelopes or through partition walls or ceilings. Technologies that allow controlled and improved utilization of stored heat or coolness when and where needed while minimizing standby and transmission losses would make these envelope-connected systems more viable.

The TES module needs to effectively interface with the HVAC equipment or envelope system and controls to allow for optimized TES dispatch. To optimize the cost and performance of the TES module, effective design and integration of low-cost heat exchangers, state of charge measurement and controls are needed.

1. The modular TES system should utilize low cost, highly effective heat exchangers that are designed specifically for the TES medium to maximize efficiency, power delivery for charging and discharging, and utilization of available storage capacity when discharged in 4 hours and minimize any added refrigerant charge that may be required. The TES module should be field installable using low-cost, low-tech equipment and low-skill labor, and the HVAC equipment and envelope systems should allow for simpler connections to the TES modules.
2. Advanced controls are essential for optimal TES energy efficiency and load shifting or shedding performance, reducing required TES sizing, first costs and payback period. As such, the thermal storage systems are expected to:
  - a. Accurately measure the state of charge (SOC) of the thermal battery, including
    - i. Energy in and out of the system,
    - ii. Thermal losses over time, and
    - iii. Any reduction in capacity that occurs over the lifetime of the system.
  - b. Accurately and in a computationally efficient manner control charging and discharging operation of TES, including

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

- 
- i. Account for disturbances in weather, building internal thermal loads, and transient performance characteristic of TES and building thermal system, and
  - ii. Optimize and automate when and how much TES will be charged and discharged so that the benefits (energy cost, CO2 emissions, and peak demand reduction) of TES can be maximized.

For this topic area, BTO seeks engagement with demonstration partners, including utilities, building owners, OEMs, and national laboratories, as appropriate, to conduct field demonstrations and validations of the modular TES systems to collect data of their performance and to cement next stage plans for commercialization and deployment of these plug-and-play TES modules and TES-ready HVAC equipment and envelope systems.

Applications are expected to address and quantify the following:

- Energy savings, affordability, demand flexibility, and occupant comfort (Application Elements section I.A.iii)
- Description of the manner and extent by which their approach advances the state of the art

### **Topic 3: Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)**

#### **Subtopic 3A: Innovative BESS Integration and Coordination Strategies**

This topic seeks product innovations that reduce cost, increase energy efficiency and resilience of buildings, and improve grid integration of battery energy storage systems (BESS) in residential and commercial buildings. Innovations should provide benefits for either (1) easier integration with buildings or (2) improved coordination of charging behavior across BESS or between BESS and the electrical grid. Proposals to this topic may target either benefit or (ideally) both. The BESS can either be centralized in a building or distributed among or within end uses.

Batteries installed in electric vehicles are approaching \$100/kWh, down by an order of magnitude over the last decade. By contrast, home BESS costs exceed \$600/kWh for several reasons specific to their installation, including permitting, inspection, interconnection, labor, and expensive ancillary hardware (such as bidirectional inverters), in addition to unique customer acquisition costs. The cost of a battery pack and inverter will vary by capacity, whereas the other costs noted are more consistent across typical installations. Integration cost compression may therefore target a system of smaller, distributed batteries in the built environment, or find creative ways to reduce costs associated with customization and labor (such as

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



integrating batteries with building components or appliances at the factory, or standardizing aspects of commissioning and installation).

A system of distributed, smaller batteries is inherently more complex than a single, large BESS. In either case, coordination with the electrical grid enhances benefits to building owners and grid operators, such as load shifting during peak demand hours or charging during lower rate periods. A distributed system may be networked and coordinated internal to the building as well. Additionally, a BESS will have greater capability as a resiliency asset if it is aware of which loads are critical to maintain during blackouts or brownouts. These coordinating features add cost and complexity to the BESS, but their advantages may result in shorter payback periods for building owners and reduced emissions over the BESS lifetime. (Proposals targeting validation of the economic and emission benefits as well as energy resilience should consider applying instead to Topic 3B.) It is the goal of this topic to reduce the marginal cost of these benefits as much as possible to ensure the full utilization of BESS capabilities in buildings.

Both stationary and non-stationary home battery systems of any size are eligible for this topic. Vehicle-to-building or vehicle-to-grid battery systems are not eligible. Proposed BESS should be compliant with the National Electric Code and all relevant safety standards (i.e., UL 9540, NFPA 855). BTO strongly encourages proposals under this topic that will improve the viability of the whole-building electrification retrofits through load management and peak shaving.

### **Subtopic 3B: Net-zero Emissions BESS Validation and Analysis**

This topic seeks validation and analysis activities that align the economic and energy resiliency benefits of home battery energy storage systems (BESS) with emissions reduction. Home BESS are effectively net loads by virtue of their inefficiency, and their raw materials, manufacturing, shipping, and disposal can be significant sources of embodied carbon. However, by charging and discharging at appropriate times, batteries can provide the flexibility to avoid marginal emissions associated with elevated demand on the grid. Therefore, whether a home battery system is a net source of emissions over its lifetime depends strongly on operational behavior and electricity generation sources (which vary seasonally and regionally).

Operational optimization of a behind-the-meter BESS is inherently complex: power capacity, grid-mix and transmission losses, utility time-of-use rates, weather, building occupant behavior, and access to on-site generation can all have bearing on battery operation. The presence of controls and grid signals (price, emissions, etc.) can result in markedly different associated emissions or economic benefit. Whether such benefits are aligned or a tradeoff will vary regionally and longitudinally as renewable power generation sources come online.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

The goal of this topic is to advance understanding of the complexity and tradeoffs outlined above through realistic validation activities that support in-depth technoeconomic and lifecycle analyses. Validation activities of the BESS should include a real-world setting or suitable testbed and should include an accounting of avoided emissions in real time based on charging and discharging times and grid mix, ideally with no noticeable impact on end-use behavior or building occupants. Analysis should accompany validation, with thoughtful application of lifecycle carbon impacts, geographic diversity, and technoeconomic feasibility for net-zero emissions BESS operation. Competitive applications will pursue both validation and analysis tasks in a complementary manner, i.e., data generated by validation work is incorporated and generalized through analysis under varying scenarios or conditions.

Both stationary and non-stationary home battery systems are eligible for this topic, including bidirectional electric vehicle charging applications. Validation activities should be compliant with the National Electric Code and all relevant safety standards (i.e., UL 9540, NFPA 855). In addition to satisfying the baseline criteria for validation and analysis, exceptional applications may target BESS architectures that address adoption barriers such as cost, form factor, or ease of installation (proposals that prioritize these features should consider applying instead to Topic 3A). For this topic area, BTO strongly encourages teaming between manufacturers, utilities, energy service companies, and researchers at national labs and universities for this work.

Applications are expected to address and quantify the following:

- Energy savings, affordability, demand flexibility, and occupant comfort (Application Elements section I.A.iii)
- Description of the manner and extent by which their approach advances the state of the art

## **Topic 4: Plug Loads/Lighting**

### **Integrating Connected Lighting with Plug Load Control to Support Building Electrification**

This topic seeks to make data and interfaces associated with connected lighting systems (CLS) available and actionable for plug loads in buildings with minimal cost and complexity. Rather than targeting whole-building automation systems, which are generally more costly and complex to specify and maintain than CLS, this approach aims to incorporate plug loads and lighting into a shared control environment with similar functionality to a standalone CLS.

Energy use associated with plug loads in buildings is substantial and growing. In 2021, miscellaneous electric loads in aggregate accounted for 44% of delivered

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

electricity in residential and commercial buildings, and projections of future energy use see this amount exceeding 50% by 2050. Many of these loads are “always on” to maintain network connectivity, indicate operating status, provide low-latency responsiveness to user input, power a display (such as a digital clock), or condition AC electricity supplied from the wall for device specifications. Collectively, such devices can contribute significantly to baseload electricity use in buildings, and the minimum power draw a building reaches while unoccupied.

The baseload of a building sets a floor for electricity use that constrains building electrification: without costly infrastructure upgrades, higher baseloads limit the capacity of a building to electrify other end uses (such as furnaces, boilers, vehicles, or major appliances) based on electrical panel or utility service limits. This impact is pronounced in low-income households, where plug loads typically constitute a greater portion of the overall building load relative to dwellings with higher square footage (and thus higher HVAC demand).

HVAC contributions to baseload electricity use may be necessary to maintain adequate temperature, humidity, or indoor air quality throughout the day. By contrast, building occupants generally do not always require plug load functionality, especially in vacant rooms (or entire buildings). In this sense, plug load control should be nominally similar to lighting controls utilizing occupancy detection. There are additional similarities between plug load control opportunities and existing CLS functions: tunable lighting systems can adapt light levels to meet daylighting conditions or occupant preferences. Likewise, many plug loads can be engineered to enter low-power modes at appropriate times rather than powering down entirely. A fully integrated solution could use CLS occupancy data throughout a building to anticipate needs for plug load devices in real time, maintaining low or no power at most times while providing low latency response when devices are most likely to be used.

Solutions to this topic area should be minimally invasive and only marginally more expensive or complex compared to current CLS products, both in terms of installation and operation. The control solution should ideally address devices that are already networked without additional hardware, taking advantage of relevant communication and interoperability protocols. Data obtained from CLS sensors should inform plug load behavior, either by turning off devices or ensuring they are in a low-power state whenever feasible. User interfaces should extend best practices for lighting controls to plug loads, with design for ease of use and clarity. Software tools developed under this topic should ideally be open-source and accessible to a broad user base “out of the box.” Applications may target residential or commercial

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

buildings, and applicants should provide estimates of energy savings potential specific to the building sector of their proposal targets.

Applicants may wish to refer to the [2022 Solid-State Lighting R&D Opportunities](#) document, which provides additional information on integration needs for connected lighting.

Applications are expected to address and quantify the following:

- Energy savings, affordability, and occupant comfort (Application Elements section I.A.iii)
- Description of the manner and extent by which their approach advances the state of the art

### **Topic 5: Opaque Building Envelope**

BTO seeks innovative solutions in advanced opaque building envelopes. There are three subtopic areas of interest:

1. R-5+ Insulated Cladding for Residential Field Applied Applications,
2. Cost Compression Solutions for Building Insulation Retrofit Technologies, and
3. Air Leakage Diagnostic and Air Sealing Technologies.

Applications must address and quantify the following:

- Energy savings, affordability (installed cost considering raw material, manufacturing, installation costs), and occupant comfort (Application Elements section I.A.iii)
- Description of the manner and extent by which their approach advances the state of the art

#### **Subtopic 5A: R5+ Insulated Cladding for Residential Field Applied Applications**

BTO seeks the development and validation of cost-effective and durable insulated cladding solutions for typical siding products (e.g., vinyl, wood, fiber cement, engineered wood), in the same form factor as commercially available products. The designs should focus on at least R-5 performance with effective rain screen and be easy to install with consideration of interfaces (windows, roof to wall, wall to foundation, construction penetrations). The goal is to develop and commercialize an R5+ drop-in replacement for uninsulated, finish only siding with a maximum installed thickness of 1-1/2" (ideally 1-1/8") and installed product cost premium (including addition of an air control layer) of \$1/ft<sup>2</sup>, leading to estimated HVAC energy savings of 15-25%. The vision is to have a product that can be bought at a local hardware store that has R-5 thermal resistance performance with similar looks and functionality to siding today.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

Labor costs for adding traditional exterior insulation and accounting for associated construction such as moving windows add significantly to the overall cost of an envelope retrofit project. Additionally, slow, labor-intensive installation leads to infrequent insulation upgrades to existing buildings. As a result, products that are amenable to quick and easy installation compared to existing approaches, and minimize occupant disturbance, will have a greater energy savings impact. BTO therefore sees high-performing insulated cladding products that are installed just like traditional uninsulated siding products as a path to improving the performance of the existing U.S. housing stock.

Today, approximately 2 million homes are resided each year with minimal insulation such as “fan fold” foam board offering R-1 performance. Insulated vinyl siding improves this performance to roughly R-2.5 to R-3, but installed cost tends to have a high price premium and performance falls short of at least R-5 continuous insulation that is routinely installed in new construction per building code requirements. BTO seeks innovative solutions that offer at least R-5 insulating cladding solutions for one or all of the typical siding products, including vinyl, wood, fiber cement, engineered wood, etc., in the same general form factor as current commercially available siding products in order to leverage the existing workforce routinely installing siding products today. The additional R-value added by the cladding system should be equivalent to adding a layer of continuous insulation of identical R-value, i.e., a cladding system adding R-5 should increase the overall wall assembly R-value by R-5. The designs should focus on at least R-5 performance with effective rain screen performance and be easy to install with consideration of interfaces including fenestration, roof to wall, wall to foundation, and typical construction penetrations. The overall system should ensure that proper moisture and air control be applied, and potentially have the air control layer as part of the insulated cladding system itself, while ensuring the building will have long term performance without degradation. Finally, cladding selection is driven by aesthetic considerations, which need to be considered during the development process. The total installed re-cladding system price premium over existing cladding systems should be affordable based on life-cycle-cost basis with preferred simple pay back of less than 15 years when installed on homes in cold climates that have R-11 cavity insulation.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** BTO seeks field validations of the R-5+ insulated cladding product to collect data of their performance, document information on ease, speed, and cost of installation, and cement next stage plans for commercialization and deployment. Applicants should articulate their plans to hit or exceed the following cost and performance targets, including cost-effective strategies for adding air control layers either to the insulated cladding product itself or separately to the building envelope prior to cladding installation.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Parameters	Targets
Min thermal performance (R-value)	$\geq 5$
Max installed thickness (in)	$\leq 1\text{-}1/2$ (ideally $1\text{-}1/8$ )
Installed product cost premium (including addition of air control layer) (\$/ft <sup>2</sup> )	$\leq 1^*$

\*Represents cost premium of over uninsulated siding installed cost baseline range of \$3-7/ft<sup>2</sup>.

### **Subtopic 5B: Cost Compression Solutions for Building Insulation Retrofit Technologies**

To meet the Biden administration's climate change goals, the U.S. must decarbonize the American building stock by 2050 which requires retrofitting 80% of the existing building stock. Based on a multi-national lab analysis, 50% of these single-family retrofits and 38% of multifamily retrofits will require an insulation upgrade of at least R-20 to achieve a decarbonized building stock. To meet the scale of envelope retrofits required to meet decarbonization goals, there is a need for insulation retrofit techniques to be made more affordable to reduce the barrier to entry for energy retrofits and address the administration's energy equity goals.

Additionally, integration with roofs, foundations, windows, protrusions, and corners are areas of significant difficulty for continuous insulation and panelized insulation systems. These difficulties compound as insulation requirements increase and wall layers become thicker, often requiring specialized tools and knowledge to fabricate bespoke components. These challenges often translate to inflated time and labor costs for exterior insulation systems.

BTO seeks demonstrated process or technology solutions to lower the costs of (a) field applied continuous insulation retrofits and (b) panelized insulation retrofits and address barriers to equitable uptake of envelope energy efficiency improvements, especially in multifamily and low-income housing.

#### **A. Continuous Insulation Retrofit Solutions**

BTO seeks demonstrated process or technology innovations to reduce both the production and installation costs of building insulation retrofits to address more equitable uptake in envelope energy efficiency improvements with a focus on solutions to penetration and interface details (e.g., windows, doors, roofs, foundations, panel seams, and typical penetrations). Solutions should be developed and demonstrated in a lab or field environment and capable of addressing most building irregularities, especially out-of-square or out-of-plane components.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



---

**Goal/Target Outcome:** BTO seeks the validation of strategies to lower the cost of wall continuous insulation retrofits (including continuous insulation, control layers, interface details, furring strips and cladding) with an installed cost target of less than \$5/ft<sup>2</sup> for R-15+ (at scale), including the air, water, and thermal control layers and cladding.

### **B. Panelized Retrofit Solutions**

BTO seeks demonstrated process or technology innovations to reduce both the production and installation costs of panelized or overclad building insulation retrofits to address more equitable uptake in envelope energy efficiency improvements, especially in multifamily and low-income neighborhoods. Demonstrated solutions can be improvements (e.g. raw material costs, manufacturing, installation, etc.) upon existing panelized approaches or can be new technology innovations that address cost targets at scale while remaining applicable to especially out-of-square or out-of-plane building components.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** BTO seeks the validation of strategies to lower the cost of panelized wall insulation retrofits with an installed cost target of \$10/ft<sup>2</sup> for R-25+ (at scale), including the air, water, and thermal control layers, cladding, and integration details (e.g., flashing, corners, seams, penetrations).

### **Subtopic 5C: Air Leakage Diagnostic and Air Sealing Technologies**

Air leakage through the building envelope in the U.S. accounts for about 4 quads of energy annually, costing approximately \$10 billion per year. In aggregate, infiltration accounted for higher energy losses than any other component of the building envelope, including fenestration. Improving airtightness is essential to meet the country's goal of becoming carbon-neutral by 2050. As the R-value of commercial and residential building envelopes continues to improve, the relative contribution of air leakage to heating and cooling loads is ever-increasing.

Air leakage has also been identified as a significant cause of moisture damage in buildings. Numerous research studies identify air leakage as a significant cause of condensation problems and conclude air leakage is one of the primary moisture sources that increase the risk of durability problems. All these studies reinforce the need for investment in efforts that improve air control.

More than 70% of the existing buildings were built before the first energy codes were enacted. While many of these buildings have some level of airtightness incorporated into their designs, there are still many buildings with no designed air control. The buildings from this era are at air leakage levels two to ten times above what is required by the current energy code.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

BTO seeks the development and validation of (a) higher fidelity, portable air leakage diagnostic technologies that can be used to identify the location and quantify the extent of infiltration/exfiltration in occupied buildings and (b) advanced air-sealing technologies designed specifically for use in existing, occupied buildings.

Applicants may wish to refer to the [Opaque Envelopes: Pathway to Building Energy Efficiency and Demand Flexibility R&D Opportunities Report](#), which provides additional information on envelope diagnostic and remediation technologies.

#### **A. Novel Air Leakage Diagnostic Technologies**

BTO seeks applications to develop higher fidelity, portable air leakage diagnostic technologies that can be used to identify the location and quantify the extent of infiltration/exfiltration through the building envelope and deliver accurate and fast results, ideally using a standard laptop or tablet, regardless of outdoor weather conditions. Of particular interest are non-intrusive methods (i.e., methods that can be employed when the building is occupied) and those also suitable for medium (25,000–200,000 ft<sup>2</sup>) and large commercial buildings (>200,000 ft<sup>2</sup>), where existing methods are infeasible. Novel infiltration diagnostic technologies are a key enabler of advanced air-sealing approaches that address the energy savings opportunity associated with infiltration. Please note that applications utilizing blower door tests are not of interest.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** BTO seeks validation of fast and accurate air leakage diagnostic technologies that identify the specific locations and quantify the extent of air leakage through the envelope (infiltration and exfiltration) in occupied buildings that have the potential to reach diagnostic speeds of 1 second per ft<sup>2</sup> envelope area (represents a 10-fold increase in speed) and per test costs of less than \$100 (20-50% reduction from today's costs).

#### **B. Advanced Air-Sealing Technologies for Existing Buildings**

There are many strategies to improve the air tightness of building envelopes. While many of these strategies are straight forward to adopt during new construction, their application to existing buildings are usually more cumbersome and expensive. The challenges for applying these strategies to existing buildings include the amount of labor required, site conditions varying greatly from project to project, and difficulties in quantitatively valuing the non-energy benefits.

BTO seeks the development of advanced, cost-effective air-sealing retrofit technologies designed specifically for use in existing, occupied buildings, which comprise more than 98% of the current building stock. Air-sealing technologies seek to mitigate infiltration and exfiltration of air and other flows. To be suitable and



cost-effective for existing buildings, these air-sealing technologies should minimize or eliminate the need for envelope disassembly and reduce installation complexity, and thus occupant disruption. Technologies that can substantially reduce air sealing retrofit costs are needed, particularly ones that address otherwise hard-to-reach and enclosed leakage points at interfaces between envelope components (e.g., walls, roof, ceiling, foundation) and penetrations.

**Goal/Target Outcome:** BTO seeks validation of novel air sealing retrofit technologies to collect data of their ability to reduce air-leakage in existing, occupied buildings, especially at interfaces and hard to remediate areas, and document information on ease, speed, and cost of the air-sealing measures. Applicants should articulate their plans to meet or exceed the following cost and performance targets. Air sealing solutions that rely more on manual approaches will likely not meet cost and performance targets and are thus not of interest.

Parameters	Long-term Targets
<b>Existing residential buildings</b>	
Final air leakage rate in air exchange per hour at 50 pascals (ACH50)	$\leq 1^*$
Total cost per square foot envelope area (\$/ft <sup>2</sup> )	$\leq 1.22^*$
<b>Existing commercial buildings</b>	
Final air leakage rate in cubic feet per minute at 75 Pascals (CFM75)	$\leq 0.2$
Total cost per square foot envelope area (\$/ft <sup>2</sup> )	$\leq 0.53$

\*Existing residential buildings often rely on poor air sealing to provide adequate ventilation. For air sealing retrofit technologies that can achieve results less than 5 ACH50 in existing homes, adding or modifying mechanical ventilation equipment will be required to ensure adequate ventilation. The additional cost to maintain indoor air quality should be considered part of the retrofit cost, and air sealing remediation that yields these levels of air sealing should be offered as a package with any modifications required to ensure indoor air quality. The most cost-efficient energy savings from building envelope retrofits might suggest a smaller reduction in air leakage rates, reducing the need for mechanical ventilation and associated costs.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See [Section IV.J.iii.](#) and [Appendix D.](#)

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

## C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See [Section III.D.](#) of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in [Section I.A.iii.](#) and [I.B.](#) of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).

## D. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute is the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005) § 911(a)(2)(b), 42 U.S.C. § 16191.

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

# II. Award Information

## A. Award Overview

### vi. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make a total of approximately \$15.35M - \$45.2M of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. The federal share of individual awards for each subtopic is identified in table 1.

Topic	Subtopic	Award Duration	Federal \$\$ per Award (estimated)	Total Federal Funding (estimated)	Cost Share Minimum
Topic 1: HVAC/WH	Subtopics A-C	Up to 36 months	Up to \$2.5M	\$5.5-17.5M	20%
	Subtopic D				50%
Topic 2: TES	All	Up to 36 months	Up to \$2.5M	\$2.5-5.0M	20%
Topic 3: BESS	All	Up to 36 months	Up to \$1.5M	\$3.0-6.0M	20%
Topic 4: Plug Loads/Lighting	All	Up to 24 months	Up to \$0.6M	\$0.6-1.2M	20%
Topic 5: Opaque Envelope	All	Up to 36 months	Up to \$1.5M	\$3.75-15.5M	20%
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>Up to \$45.2M</b>	

Table 1: Topic Area Award and Funding Summary

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed.

**vii. Period of Performance**

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length (with Topic 4 up to 24 months), comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision review. For a complete list, see [Section VI.B.xy](#). At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, the extent milestone objectives are met, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

**viii. New Applications Only**

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

**B. EERE Funding Agreements**

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States government.

**i. Cooperative Agreements**

EERE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See [Section VI.B.x](#) of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

**ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)**

In most cases, FFRDCs are funded independently of the remainder of the project team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC project team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the prime recipient for the project will remain the prime recipient for the project.

**III. Eligibility Information**

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

**A. Eligible Applicants**

**i. Individuals**

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

**ii. Domestic Entities**

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

### **iii. Foreign Entities**

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the “Individuals” or “Domestic Entities” sections above, all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a prime recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States to be the prime recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the prime recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the prime recipient on an award). To do so, the applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. [Appendix D](#) lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a subrecipient.

### **iv. Incorporated Consortia**

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States, please refer to “Domestic Entities” above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in “Foreign Entities” above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

**v. Unincorporated Consortia**

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the prime recipient/consortium representative. The prime recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the prime recipient/consortium representative under [Section III.A.](#) of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

**B. Cost Sharing**

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs (i.e., the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) for research and development projects and 50% of the total allowable costs for demonstration and commercial application projects and must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.) Applications submitted under all subtopics, except HVAC/WH subtopic 1D, must meet the 20% minimum requirement. HVAC/WH subtopic 1D must meet the 50% minimum requirement for demonstration projects.

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as [Appendices B and C](#) to this FOA.

**i. Legal Responsibility**

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

**ii. Cost Share Allocation**

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

**iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability**

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in [Section IV.J.i.](#) of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the federal government.



The prime recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

**iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs**

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

**v. Cost Share Verification**

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to [Appendix B](#) of the FOA.

**vi. Cost Share Payment**

EERE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

## C. Compliance Criteria

**Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must meet all compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions,** including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE eXCHANGE; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

### i. Compliance Criteria

#### i. *Concept Papers*

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in [Section IV.C.](#) of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in this FOA.

#### ii. *Full Applications*

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in [Section IV.D.](#) of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the “Submit” button in EERE eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

iii. *Replies to Reviewer Comments*

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in [Section IV.E.](#) of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE eXCHANGE by the deadline stated in the FOA.

## **D. Responsiveness Criteria**

All “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in [Section I.C.](#) of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

## **E. Other Eligibility Requirements**

### **i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient**

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity’s application subject to the following guidelines:

i. *Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs*

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

ii. *Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs*

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

iii. *Funding, Cost Share and Subaward with FFRDCs*

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award. DOE/NNSA FFRDCs participating as a subrecipient on a project will be funded directly through the DOE field work proposal (WP) process. Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC participating as a subrecipient will be funded through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is excluded from the award, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

Unless instructed otherwise by the DOE CO for the DOE award, all FFRDCs are required to enter into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) or, if the role of the DOE/NNSA FFRDC is limited to technical assistance and intellectual property is not anticipated to be generated from the DOE/NNSA FFRDC's work, a Technical Assistance Agreement (TAA), with at least the prime recipient before any project work begins. Any questions regarding the use of a CRADA or TAA should be directed to the cognizant DOE field intellectual property (IP) counsel.

The CRADA or TAA is used to ensure accountability for project work and provide the appropriate management of intellectual property (IP), e.g., data protection and background IP. The CRADA or TAA must be agreed upon by all parties and submitted to DOE or other sponsoring agency, when applicable, for approval, or submitted to DOE for notice under the Master Scope of Work process, when applicable, using any DOE or other sponsoring agency approved CRADA or TAA template without substantive changes by the time the award is made to the prime recipient.

iv. *Responsibility*

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

v. *Limit on FFRDC Effort*

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant as measured by proportion of total project costs proposed.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

## **F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review**

An entity may submit more than one Concept Paper and Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project and provided that an eligible Concept Paper was submitted for each Full Application. All concept papers and applications must be for a stand-alone project that is not dependent or contingent upon another application submitted to this or any other FOA.

## **G. Questions Regarding Eligibility**

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

# **IV. Application and Submission Information**

## **A. Application Process**

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. **Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application.**

At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of [Section III](#) of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of [Section III](#). All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>, unless specifically stated otherwise. **EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE eXCHANGE, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions.** EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

A **Control Number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE eXCHANGE application process. This control number must be included with all application documents, as described below.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Calibri typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.**

Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE eXCHANGE, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in [Section V.A.ii.](#) of the FOA.

**i. Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE**

EERE eXCHANGE is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The “Apply” and “Submit” buttons will automatically disable at the defined

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE eXCHANGE, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the applicant should contact the EERE eXCHANGE helpdesk for assistance ([EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov)). The EERE eXCHANGE helpdesk and/or the EERE eXCHANGE system administrators will assist applicants in resolving issues.

## B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE eXCHANGE. To access these materials, go to <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. Files in excess of 50MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 50MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

**ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_TechnicalVolume\_Part\_1**

**ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_TechnicalVolume\_Part\_2**

## C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

### i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see [Section III.](#) of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description
<b>Cover Page</b>	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic/Subtopic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.
<b>Technology Description</b>	2 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly:

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative;</li><li>• The proposed technology's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met);</li><li>• The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges;</li><li>• How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application;</li><li>• The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;</li><li>• The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and</li><li>• The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project.</li></ul>
<b>Addendum</b>	1 page maximum	<p>Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan;</li><li>• Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity;</li><li>• Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and</li><li>• Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities.</li><li>• Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.</li></ul>

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in [Section V.A.i.](#) of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a "discouraged" notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.



---

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification posted on EERE eXCHANGE at the close of that phase.

While the content and form of the Concept Paper does not require proposing a cost share amount during this concept paper submission phase, the EERE Exchange system will require entering a proposed cost share as a step in the submission process. Any proposed cost share at the Concept Paper stage of the application process can be updated or amended at the time of Full Application submission.

## **D. Content and Form of the Full Application**

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE eXCHANGE website at <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/>, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE eXCHANGE to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant.

### **i. Full Application Content Requirements**

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see [Section III.](#) of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

NOTE: The applicant is no longer required to follow any specific file naming convention other than to ensure the file format and extension of the uploaded file is consistent with the requirements below. The EERE Exchange system will automatically rename the file once it has been successfully uploaded.

Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Component	File Format	Page Limit	File Name (Exchange Generated)
Technical Volume	PDF	20	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Technical Volume
Resumes	PDF	2 pages each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes
Letters of Commitment	PDF	1 page each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs
Statement of Project Objectives	MS Word	5	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
SF-424	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424
Budget Justification Workbook	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification
Summary/Abstract for Public Release	PDF	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary
Summary Slide	MS Powerpoint	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
DOE Work Proposal for FFRDC, if applicable (see DOE O 412.1A, Attachment 3)	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP
Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
Foreign Entity and Foreign Work Waivers	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
Diversity Equity and Inclusion Plan	PDF	3	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP
Current and Pending Support	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CPS

**Note:** The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. Files in excess of 50MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 50MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

**ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_TechnicalVolume\_Part\_1**  
**ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_TechnicalVolume\_Part\_2**

**EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 50MB.**

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

## ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in [Section V.A.ii.](#) of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_TechnicalVolume”.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 20 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see [Section V.A.ii.](#) of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content requirements:

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
<b>Cover Page</b>	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, names of the senior/key personnel and their organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.

<p><b>Project Overview</b> (Approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Project Overview should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Background:</b> The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application.</li> <li>• <b>Project Goal:</b> The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal.</li> <li>• <b>DOE Impact:</b> The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact</b> (Approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Technical Description should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Relevance and Outcomes:</b> The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project.</li> <li>• <b>Feasibility:</b> The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results.</li> <li>• <b>Innovation and Impacts:</b> The applicant should describe the current state-of-the-art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state-of-the-art/technical baseline if the project is successful.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Workplan and Market Transformation Plan</b> (Approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Project Objectives:</b> The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes.</li> <li>• <b>Technical Scope Summary:</b> The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-</li> </ul>

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	<p>Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>WBS and Task Description Summary:</b> The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as “we will then complete a proprietary process” is unacceptable). It is the applicant’s responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks.</li> <li>• <b>Milestone Summary:</b> The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO.</li> <li>• <b>Go/No-Go Decision Points:</b> The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A Go/No-Go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. At a minimum, each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. See <a href="#">Section VI.B.xv</a>. The applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to evaluate the project at the Go/No-Go decision point. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are</li> </ul>
--	--

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	<p>considered “SMART” and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). At a minimum, each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO.</li> <li>• Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points.</li> <li>• Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects: Within the first 2 pages of the Workplan, include a short statement on whether the project will involve the construction, alteration, and/or repair of infrastructure in the United States. See <a href="#">Appendix F</a> for applicable definitions and other information to inform this statement.</li> <li>• Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team’s proposed management plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The overall approach to and organization for managing the work</li> <li>○ The roles of each project team member</li> <li>○ Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among project team members</li> <li>○ The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices</li> <li>○ The approach to project risk management</li> <li>○ A description of how project changes will be handled</li> <li>○ If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control</li> <li>○ How communications will be maintained among project team members</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan</li> <li>○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, and product distribution.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	---

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

<b>Technical Qualifications and Resources</b> (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)	<p>The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Describe the project team’s unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients.</li><li>• Describe the project team’s existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project.</li><li>• This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives.</li><li>• Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project.</li><li>• Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable.</li><li>• For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and senior/key personnel;</li><li>○ Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and senior/key personnel;</li><li>○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed;</li><li>○ Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction;</li><li>○ Publication arrangements;</li><li>○ Intellectual Property issues; and</li><li>○ Communication plans</li></ul></li></ul>
--	---

### iii. Resumes

A resume provides information that can be used by reviewers to evaluate the individual’s skills, experience, and potential for leadership within the scientific community. Applicants are required to submit two-page resumes for the Principal Investigator and all Senior/Key Personnel that include the following:

1. Contact Information;
2. Education and training: Provide institution, major/area, degree, and year for undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral training;
3. Research and Professional Experience: Beginning with the current position, list professional/academic positions in chronological order with a brief description. List all current academic, professional, or institutional appointments, foreign or domestic, at the applicant institution or elsewhere,

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



whether or not remuneration is received, and, whether full-time, part-time, or voluntary;

4. Awards and honors;
5. A list of up to 10 publications most closely related to the proposed project. For each publication, identify the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article title, book or journal title, volume number, page numbers, year of publication, and website address if available electronically. Patents, copyrights, and software systems developed may be provided in addition to or substituted for publications. An abbreviated style such as the Physical Review Letters (PRL) convention for citations (list only the first author) may be used for publications with more than 10 authors; and
6. Synergistic Activities: List up to five professional and scholarly activities related to the proposed effort.

Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Resumes".

As an alternative to a resume, it is acceptable to use the biographical sketch format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The biographical sketch format may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, and is also available at <https://nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/nsfapprovedformats/biosketch.pdf>. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

#### **iv. Letters of Commitment**

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third-party cost share providers. If applicable, the letter must state that the third party is committed to providing a specific minimum dollar amount or value of in-kind contributions allocated to cost sharing. The following information for each third party contributing to cost sharing should be identified: (1) the name of the organization; (2) the proposed dollar amount to be provided; and (3) the proposed cost sharing type – (cash or in-kind contributions). Each letter must not exceed 1 page. Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_LOCs".

Letters of support or endorsement for the project from entities that do not have a substantive role in the project are not accepted.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

**v. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)**

Applicants are required to complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. The SOPO must not exceed 5 pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_SOPO".

Note: SOPOs must not include confidential, proprietary, business sensitive, or privileged information.

Note: Past FOAs have included milestones in the SOPO. Applicants whose full applications are selected for award negotiations will be required to submit a Project Management Plan (PMP)(see [Section VI.B.xxiv.](#)). For this FOA, milestones will be incorporated into the PMP rather than the SOPO. However, all applications must fully detail their proposed milestones in the technical volume of the Full Application (see [Section IV.D.ii.](#)).

**vi. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance**

Applicants are required to complete the SF-424 Application for Federal Assistance. This form is available on EERE eXCHANGE under this FOA posting at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms>, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_424".

**vii. Budget Justification Workbook**

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. Prime recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

“ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Budget\_Justification”.

**viii. Summary/Abstract for Public Release**

Applicants must submit a one-page summary of their project that is suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Summary”.

**ix. Summary Slide**

Applicants must provide a single slide summarizing the proposed project. The Summary Slide template must include the following information:

- A technology summary;
- A description of the technology’s impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project’s key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, prime recipient, Principal Investigator, and senior/key personnel information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Save the Summary Slide in a single Microsoft Powerpoint file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Slide”.

**x. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)**

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the “Budget Justification” section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

file using the following convention for the title  
"ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Subrecipient\_Budget\_Justification".

**xi. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)**

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE WP in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1A, Work Authorization System, Attachment 3, available at: <https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-chg1-AdmChg> Save the WP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_WP".

**xii. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)**

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_FFRDCAuth".

**xiii. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)**

Prime recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_SF-LLL".

---

**xiv. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity and Foreign Work (if applicable)****i. Foreign Entity Participation:**

As set forth in [Section III.A.iii.](#), all recipients must qualify as domestic entities. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. [Appendix D](#) lists the necessary information that must be included in a waiver request.

**ii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)**

As set forth in [Section IV.J.iii.](#), all work for the projects selected under this FOA must be performed in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. [Appendix D](#) lists the necessary information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_Waiver”.

**xv. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan**

As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from groups underrepresented in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities (also see [Section I.A.iv.](#)). The plan should include at least one SMART milestone per Budget Period supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions, and will be incorporated into the award if selected. The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan should contain the following information:

- Equity Impacts: the impacts of the proposed project on underserved communities, including social and environmental impacts.
- Benefits: The overall benefits of the proposed project, if funded, to underserved communities; and
- How diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of actions that can serve as examples of ways the proposed project could incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion elements. These examples should not be considered either comprehensive or

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

prescriptive. Applicants may include appropriate actions not covered by these examples.

- a. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as PI, co-PI, and/or other senior personnel;
- b. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as student researchers or post-doctoral researchers;
- c. Include faculty or students from Minority Serving Institutions as PI/co-PI, senior personnel, and/or student researchers, as applicable;
- d. Enhance or collaborate with existing diversity programs at your home organization and/or nearby organizations;
- e. Collaborate with students, researchers, and staff in Minority Serving Institutions;
- f. Disseminate results of research and development in Minority Serving Institutions or other appropriate institutions serving underserved communities;
- g. Implement evidence-based, diversity-focused education programs (such as implicit bias training for staff) in your organization;
- h. Identify Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses and Veteran Owned Businesses to solicit as vendors and sub-contractors for bids on supplies, services and equipment.

The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan must not exceed 3 pages. Save the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_DEIP".

#### **xvi. Current and Pending Support**

Current and pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. As part of the application, the principal investigator or lead project manager and senior/key personnel at the applicant and subrecipient level must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All connections with foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

- The award or other identifying number
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs and cost share. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding
- The award period (start date – end date)
- The person-months of effort per year being dedicated to the award or activity

To identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.

Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to either the applicant institution or DOE. Supporting documents of any identified source of support must be provided to DOE on request, including certified translations of any document.

PIs and senior/key personnel must provide a separate disclosure statement listing the required information above regarding current and pending support. Each individual must sign and date their respective disclosure statement and include the following certification statement:

I, [Full Name and Title], certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information contained in this Current and Pending Support Disclosure Statement is true, complete and accurate. I understand that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, misrepresentations, half-truths, or omissions of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 287, and 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3730 and 3801-3812). I further understand and agree that (1) the statements and representations made herein are material to DOE's funding decision, and (2) I have a responsibility to update the disclosures during the period of performance of the award should circumstances change which impact the responses provided above.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



The information may be provided in the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, and is also available at <https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/nsfapprovedformats/cps.pdf>. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats. If the NSF format is used, the individual must still include a signature, date, and a certification statement using the language included in the paragraph above.

Save the Current and Pending Support in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber\_LeadOrganization\_CPS".

### **Definitions:**

**Current and pending support** – (a) All resources made available, or expected to be made available, to an individual in support of the individual's RD&D efforts, regardless of (i) whether the source is foreign or domestic; (ii) whether the resource is made available through the entity applying for an award or directly to the individual; or (iii) whether the resource has monetary value; and (b) includes in-kind contributions requiring a commitment of time and directly supporting the individual's RD&D efforts, such as the provision of office or laboratory space, equipment, supplies, employees, or students. This term has the same meaning as the term Other Support as applied to researchers in NSPM-33: For researchers, Other Support includes all resources made available to a researcher in support of and/or related to all of their professional RD&D efforts, including resources provided directly to the individual or through the organization, and regardless of whether or not they have monetary value (e.g., even if the support received is only in-kind, such as office/laboratory space, equipment, supplies, or employees). This includes resource and/or financial support from all foreign and domestic entities, including but not limited to, gifts provided with terms or conditions, financial support for laboratory personnel, and participation of student and visiting researchers supported by other sources of funding.

**Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program** – An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to relocate physically to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at United States research facilities or receipt of federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to United States entities. Compensation could take many forms including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.

**Senior/key personnel** – an individual who contributes in a substantive, meaningful way to the scientific development or execution of a research, development and demonstration (RD&D) project proposed to be carried out with DOE award.<sup>12</sup>

## **E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments**

DOE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments (Reply). The Reply must not exceed three (3) pages. If a Reply is more than three (3) pages in length, DOE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages. Applicants may use the Reply to respond to one or more comments or to supplement their Full Application. The Reply may include text, graphs, charts, or data.

DOE will post the reviewer comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their Reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit a Reply.

Applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. DOE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

---

<sup>12</sup> Typically, these individuals have doctoral or other professional degrees, although individuals at the masters or baccalaureate level may be considered senior/key personnel if their involvement meets this definition. Consultants, graduate students, and those with a postdoctoral role also may be considered senior/key personnel if they meet this definition.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

## **F. Post Selection Information Requests**

If selected for award negotiations, DOE reserves the right to require that selected applicants provide additional or clarifying information regarding the application submissions, the project, the project team, the award requirements, and any other matters related to anticipated award. The following is a nonexhaustive list of examples information that may be required:

- Personnel proposed to work on the project and collaborating organizations (See [Section VI.B.xix](#). Participants and Collaborating Organizations);
- Current and Pending Support (See [Sections IV.D.xvi.](#) and [VI.B.xx.](#) Current and Pending Support);
- An Intellectual Property Management Plan describing how the project team/consortia members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with federal intellectual property laws, regulations, and policies in accordance with [Section VI.B.xi.](#) Intellectual Property Management Plan;
- A Data Management Plan describing how all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work will be digitally accessible at the time of publications, in accordance with [Section VI.B.xxiii.](#);
- Indirect cost information;
- Other budget information;
- Letters of Commitment third parties contributing to cost share, if applicable;
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
- Information for the DOE Office of Civil Rights to process assurance reviews under 10 CFR 1040;
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable; and
- Environmental Questionnaire;
- Project Management Plan (See [Section VI.B.xxiv.](#));
- Software Commercialization or Open Source Software Distribution Plan (See [Section VI.B.xxv.](#)).

## **G. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)**

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR 25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid UEI in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

**NOTE: Due to the high demand of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process they should utilize the HELP feature on SAM.gov. SAM.gov will work entity service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).**

## **H. Submission Dates and Times**

All required submissions must be submitted in EERE eXCHANGE no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

## **I. Intergovernmental Review**

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

## **J. Funding Restrictions**

### **i. Allowable Costs**

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles. Pursuant to 2 CFR 910.352, the cost principles in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (48 CFR 31.2) apply to for-profit entities. The cost principles contained in 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E apply to all entities other than for-profits.

### **ii. Pre-Award Costs**

Applicants selected for award negotiations (selectee) must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the

---

federal award and only with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the DOE Contracting Officer.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

#### **1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-Award Costs**

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for their project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override the requirement to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

### **iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)**

#### **1. Requirement**

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).  
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

All work performed under EERE awards must be performed in the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

**2. Failure to Comply**

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

**3. Waiver**

To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to DOE. [Appendix D](#) lists the necessary information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.

Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

**iv. Construction**

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

**v. Foreign Travel**

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 U.S.C. § 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a United States flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

**vi. Equipment and Supplies**

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth at 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

**vii. Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects**

Pursuant to the Build America Buy America Act, subtitle IX of BIL (Buy America, or “BABA”), federally assisted projects that involve infrastructure work, undertaken by applicable recipient types, require that:

- All iron, steel, and manufactured products used in the infrastructure work are produced in the United States; and
- All construction materials used in the infrastructure work are manufactured in the United States.

Whether a given project must apply this requirement is project-specific and dependent on several factors, such as the recipient’s entity type, whether the work involves “infrastructure,” as that term is defined in Section 70914 of the BIL, and whether the infrastructure in question is publicly owned or serves a public function.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult [Appendix F](#) of this FOA to determine whether their project may have to apply this requirement, both to make an early determination as to the need of a waiver, as well as to determine what impact, if any, this requirement may have on the proposed project’s budget.

Please note that, based on implementation guidance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued on April 18, 2022, the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a “non-Federal entity,” e.g., a State, local government, Indian tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or nonprofit organization. Subawards should conform to the terms of the prime award from which they flow; in other words, for-profit prime recipients are not required to flow down these Buy America requirements to subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are non-Federal entities as defined above. Conversely, prime recipients which are non-Federal entities must flow the Buy America requirements down to all subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are for-profit entities. Finally, for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient: (1) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



produced products and (2) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and manufactured products domestically that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation. Applicants may seek waivers of these requirements in very limited circumstances and for good cause shown. Further details on requesting a waiver can be found in [Appendix F](#) and the terms and conditions of an award.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult [Appendix F](#) for more information.

#### **viii. Lobbying**

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

#### **ix. Risk Assessment**

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.206, DOE will conduct an additional review of the risk posed by applications submitted under this FOA. Such risk assessment will consider:

1. Financial stability;
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in 2 CFR 200 as amended and adopted by 2 CFR 910;
3. History of performance;
4. Audit reports and findings; and
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

DOE may make use of other publicly available information and the history of an applicant's performance under DOE or other federal agency awards.

Depending on the severity of the findings and whether the findings were resolved, DOE may elect not to fund the applicant.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR Part 180, and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

Further, as DOE invests in critical infrastructure and funds critical and emerging technology areas, DOE also considers possible vectors of undue foreign influence in evaluating risk. If high risks are identified and cannot be sufficiently mitigated, DOE may elect to not fund the applicant.

**x. Invoice Review and Approval**

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

**xi. Foreign Collaboration Considerations**

- a. Consideration of new collaborations with foreign organizations and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with advanced written notification of any potential collaboration with foreign organizations or governments in connection with its DOE-funded award scope. The recipient will then be required to await further guidance from DOE prior to contacting the

proposed foreign organization or government regarding the potential collaboration or negotiating the terms of any potential agreement.

- b. Existing collaborations with foreign organizations and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with a written list of all existing foreign collaborations in which has entered in connection with its DOE-funded award scope.
- c. Description of collaborations that should be reported: In general, a collaboration will involve some provision of a thing of value to, or from, the recipient. A thing of value includes but may not be limited to all resources made available to, or from, the recipient in support of and/or related to the DOE award, regardless of whether or not they have monetary value. Things of value also may include in-kind contributions (such as office/laboratory space, data, equipment, supplies, employees, students). In-kind contributions not intended for direct use on the DOE award but resulting in provision of a thing of value from or to the DOE award must also be reported. Collaborations do not include routine workshops, conferences, use of the recipient's services and facilities by foreign investigators resulting from its standard published process for evaluating requests for access, or the routine use of foreign facilities by awardee staff in accordance with the recipient's standard policies and procedures.

## V. Application Review Information

### A. Technical Review Criteria

#### i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

#### **Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)**

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, describes how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA and selected Topic Area.

## ii. **Full Applications**

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

### **Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)**

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

#### Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state-of-the-art to the proposed advancement; and
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

#### Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

### **Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (25%)**

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

#### Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

#### Identification of Technical Risks

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

- Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

#### Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

#### Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, and product distribution.

### **Criterion 3: Team and Resources (15%)**

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

### **Criterion 4: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (10%)**

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The quality and manner in which the measures incorporate diversity, equity and inclusion goals in the project; and
- Extent to which the project benefits underserved communities.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

**iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments**

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

**B. Standards for Application Evaluation**

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective October 2021, which is available at: [DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY GUIDE TO FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE October 2021](#)

**c. Other Selection Factors****i. Program Policy Factors**

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty; and
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications);
- The degree to which the proposed project incorporates diversity, equity, and inclusion elements, including but not limited to team members from Minority Serving Institutions (e.g. Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/Other Minority Institutions), Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or members within underserved communities.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- The degree to which the proposed project will employ procurement of U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials.

**Diversity (other than technological)**

- The degree to which the proposed project collectively represents diverse types and sizes of applicant organizations.

**Optimize Funding**

- The degree to which the proposed project avoids duplication/overlap with other publicly or privately funded work.

**Complementary Efforts**

- The degree to which the proposed project supports complementary efforts or projects, which, when taken together, will best achieve the research goals and objectives.

**Market Impact**

- The degree to which the proposed project enables new and expanding market segments.

**EE/Deployment**

- The degree to which the project's solution or strategy will maximize deployment or replication.

**Tech Transfer**

- The degree to which the project promotes increased coordination with nongovernmental entities for demonstration of technologies and research applications to facilitate technology transfer.

## **D. Evaluation and Selection Process**

### **i. Overview**

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

### **ii. Pre-Selection Clarification**

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

### **iii. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters**

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently the [Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System \(FAPIIS\)](#)) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 CFR 200.206.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

**iv. Selection**

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

**E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates**

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

**VI. Award Administration Information**

**A. Award Notices**

**i. Ineligible Submissions**

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

**ii. Concept Paper Notifications**

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE eXCHANGE. DOE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notifications.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to [Section IV.J.ii.](#) of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

---

**iii. Full Application Notifications**

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

**iv. Successful Applicants**

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to [Section IV.J.ii.](#) of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

**v. Alternate Selection Determinations**

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

**vi. Unsuccessful Applicants**

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

## B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

### i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

#### 1. EERE Funding Opportunity Exchange (eXCHANGE)

Register and create an account on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>. This account will allow the user to apply to any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE eXCHANGE. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.** The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.**

#### 2. System for Award Management

Register with the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov>. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

#### 3. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at <https://www.fedconnect.net>. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at [https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect\\_Ready\\_Set\\_Go.pdf](https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf).

#### 4. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

**5. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents**

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE eXCHANGE and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

**ii. Award Administrative Requirements**

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

**iii. Foreign National Participation**

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA and project participants (including subrecipients and contractors) who anticipate involving foreign nationals in the performance of an award, may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to satisfy requirements for foreign national participation. A "foreign national" is defined as any person who is not a United States citizen by birth or naturalization. The volume and type of information collected may depend on various factors associated with the award. DOE concurrence may be required before a foreign national can participate in the performance of any work under an award.

DOE may elect to deny foreign national's participation in the award. Likewise, DOE may elect to deny a foreign national's access to a DOE sites, information, technologies, equipment, programs or personnel.

**iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting**

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

**v. National Policy Requirements**

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

**vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)**

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at <https://www.energy.gov/nepa>.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

Applicants selected for award negotiations will be required to enter their environmental questionnaire electronically at <https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/>.

**vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications****1. Lobbying Restrictions**

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

**2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations**

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

- a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and
- b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

### **3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations**

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:

a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

b. It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

(1) *“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”*

(2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf>), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf>),

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.



---

or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

- (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

**viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship**

EERE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

**ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement**

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.
2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- 
4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.
  5. EERE promotes and facilitates technology transfer activities, including disseminating Technology Office results through presentations and publications.
  6. EERE participates in project management planning activities, including risk analysis, to ensure EERE Technology Office requirements or limitations are considered in performance of the work elements.

**x. Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP)**

As a quarter 1 milestone if selected for award, applicants must submit an executed IPMP between members of the consortia or team.

The award will set forth the treatment of and obligations related to intellectual property rights between EERE and the individual members. The IPMP should describe how the members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with federal intellectual property laws, regulations, and policies (see [Sections VIII.K.-VIII.N.](#) of this FOA for more details on applicable federal intellectual property laws and regulations). Guidance regarding the contents of IPMP is available from EERE upon request.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of items that the IPMP may cover:

- The treatment of confidential information between members (e.g., the use of NDAs);
- The treatment of background intellectual property (e.g., any requirements for identifying it or making it available);
- The treatment of inventions made under the award (e.g., any requirements for disclosing to the other members on an application, filing patent applications, paying for patent prosecution, and cross-licensing or other licensing arrangements between the members);
- The treatment of data produced, including software, under the award (e.g., any publication process or other dissemination strategies, copyrighting strategy or arrangement between members);
- Any technology transfer and commercialization requirements or arrangements between the members;
- The treatment of any intellectual property issues that may arise due to a change in membership of the consortia or team; and
- The handling of disputes related to intellectual property between the members.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

**xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting**

In order to ensure that prime recipients and subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for ten (10) years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

**xii. Intellectual Property Provisions**

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

**xiii. Reporting**

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement.

**xiv. Go/No-Go Review**

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the EERE program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) EERE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application; and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

**xv. Conference Spending**

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

**xvi. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements**

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

**xvii. Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty**

States, local governments, or other public entities may not condition sub-awards in a manner that would discriminate, or disadvantage sub-recipients based on their religious character.

**xviii. Participants and Collaborating Organizations**

If selected for award negotiations, the selected applicant must submit a list of personnel who are proposed to work on the project, both at the recipient and subrecipient level and a list of collaborating organizations prior to award. Recipients will have an ongoing responsibility to notify DOE of changes to the personnel and collaborating organizations, and submit updated information during the life of the award.

**xix. Current and Pending Support**

If selected for award negotiations, within 30 days of the selection notice, the selectee must submit 1) current and pending support disclosures and resumes for any new PIs or senior/key personnel and 2) updated disclosures if there have been any changes to the current and pending support submitted with the application. Throughout the life of the award, the Recipient has an ongoing responsibility to submit 1) current and pending support disclosure statements and resumes for any new PI and senior/key personnel and 2) updated disclosures if there are changes to the current and pending support previously submitted to DOE. Also see [Section IV.D.xvi](#).

**xx. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments**

A primary objective of DOE's multi-billion dollar research, development, and demonstration investments is to cultivate new research and development ecosystems, manufacturing capabilities, and supply chains for and by United States industry and labor. Therefore, in exchange for receiving taxpayer dollars to support an applicant's project, the applicant must agree to a U.S. Competitiveness provision requiring that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States unless the Recipient can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible. Award terms, including the specific U.S. Competitiveness Provision applicable to the various types of recipients and projects, are available at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

Please note that a subject invention is any invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of work under an award. An invention is any

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

invention or discovery which is or may be patentable. The recipient includes any awardee, recipient, sub-awardee, or sub-recipient.

As noted in the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, if an entity cannot meet the requirements of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, the entity may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision. For example, the entity may propose modifying the language of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision in order to change the scope of the requirements or to provide more specifics on the application of the requirements for a particular technology. As another example, the entity may request that the U.S. Competitiveness Provision be waived in lieu of a net benefits statement or United States manufacturing plan. The statement or plan would contain specific and enforceable commitments that would be beneficial to the United States economy and competitiveness. Examples of such commitments could include manufacturing specific products in the United States, making a specific investment in a new or existing United States manufacturing facility, keeping certain activities based in the United States or supporting a certain number of jobs in the United States related to the technology. DOE may, in its sole discretion, determine that the proposed modification or waiver promotes commercialization and provides substantial United States economic benefits, and grant the request. If granted, DOE will modify the award terms and conditions for the requesting entity accordingly.

More information and guidance on the waiver and modification request process can be found in the DOE Financial Assistance Letter on this topic, available at <https://www.energy.gov/management/pf-2022-09-fal-2022-01-implementation-doe-determination-exceptional-circumstances-under>. Additional information on DOE's Commitment to Domestic Manufacturing for DOE-funded R&D is available at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/us-manufacturing>.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is implemented by DOE pursuant to a Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) under the Bayh-Dole Act and DOE Patent Waivers. See [Section VIII.J](#), Title to Subject Inventions of this FOA for more information on the DEC and DOE Patent Waivers.

## **xxi. Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance**

The DOE interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance (COI Policy)<sup>13</sup> is applicable to all non-Federal entities applying for, or that receive, DOE funding by means of a financial assistance award (e.g., a grant, cooperative agreement, or technology investment agreement) and, through the implementation of this policy by the entity, to each Investigator who is planning to participate in, or is

---

<sup>13</sup> DOE's interim COI Policy can be found at [PF 2022-17 FAL 2022-02 Department of Energy Interim Conflict of Interest Policy Requirements for Financial Assistance](#).

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



participating in, the project funded wholly or in part under the DOE financial assistance award. The term “Investigator” means the PI and any other person, regardless of title or position, who is responsible for the purpose, design, conduct, or reporting of a project funded by DOE or proposed for funding by DOE. Recipients must flow down the requirements of the interim COI Policy to any subrecipient non-federal entities. Further, for DOE funded projects, the recipient must include all financial conflicts of interest (FCOI) (i.e., managed and unmanaged/ unmanageable) in their initial and ongoing FCOI reports.

It is understood that non-federal entities and individuals receiving DOE financial assistance awards will need sufficient time to come into full compliance with DOE’s interim COI Policy. To provide some flexibility, DOE allows for a staggered implementation. Specifically, prior to award, applicants selected for award negotiations must: ensure all Investigators complete their significant financial disclosures; review the disclosures; determine whether a FCOI exists; develop and implement a management plan for FCOIs; and provide DOE with an initial FCOI report that includes all FCOIs (i.e., managed and unmanaged/ unmanageable). Recipients will have 180 days from the date of the award to come into full compliance with the other requirements set forth in DOE’s interim COI Policy. Prior to award, the applicant must certify that it is, or will be within 180 days of the award, compliant with all requirements in the COI Policy.

**xxii. Data Management Plan (DMP)**

Each applicant whose Full Application is selected for award negotiations will be required to submit a DMP during the award negotiations phase. A DMP explains how, when appropriate, data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved in order to validate the results of the proposed work or how the results could be validated if the data is not shared or preserved. The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications.

**xxiii. Project Management Plan (PMP)**

Applicants whose full applications are selected for an award must submit a Project Management Plan. The initial PMP is due as a first quarter deliverable. At a minimum, the PMP will be updated and submitted as part of the continuation application prior to the initiation of each budget period. The Recipient will manage and implement the project in accordance with the PMP. The PMP template is available on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



---

**xxiv. Software Commercialization or Open Source Software Distribution Plan**

Applicants whose full applications are selected for an award, and whose technical approach includes development of software, will have the option to either commercialize that software or distribute it as open source. Awardees will be required to submit a Software Commercialization Plan or an Open Source Software Distribution Plan during the first year of the project, at a time determined during award negotiation. This plan describes how software produced under this FOA will be distributed. Guidance for preparing an Open Source Software Distribution Plan is included in [Appendix E](#) of the FOA.

**xxv. Fraud, Waste and Abuse**

The mission of the DOE Office of Inspector General (OIG) is to strengthen the integrity, economy and efficiency of the Department's programs and operations including deterring and detecting fraud, waste, abuse and mismanagement. The OIG accomplishes this mission primarily through investigations, audits, and inspections of DOE activities to include grants, cooperative agreements, loans, and contracts.

The OIG maintains a Hotline for reporting allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement. To report such allegations, please visit <https://www.energy.gov/ig/ig-hotline>.

Additionally, recipients of DOE awards must be cognizant of the requirements of [2 CFR 200.113 Mandatory disclosures](#), which states:

The non-Federal entity or applicant for a Federal award must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Non-Federal entities that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in appendix XII of 2 CFR Part 200 are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM (currently FAPIIS). Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in [2 CFR 200.339](#). (See also [2 CFR part 180](#), [31 U.S.C. § 3321](#), and [41 U.S.C. § 2313](#).) [[85 FR 49539](#), Aug. 13, 2020]

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) are encouraged to allocate sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated for personnel and data infrastructure needs to support performance management and program evaluation needs including but not limited to

independent program and project audits to mitigate risks for fraud, waste, and abuse.

**xxvi. Human Subjects Research**

Research involving human subjects, biospecimens, or identifiable private information conducted with DOE funding is subject to the requirements of DOE Order 443.1C, Protection of Human Research Subjects, 45 CFR Part 46, Protection of Human Subjects (subpart A which is referred to as the “Common Rule”), and 10 CFR Part 745, Protection of Human Subjects. Additional information on the DOE Human Subjects Research Program can be found at: [HUMAN SUBJECTS Human Subjects Pr... | U.S. DOE Office of Science \(SC\) \(osti.gov\)](#).

## **VII. Questions/Agency Contacts**

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov). Questions must be submitted no later than 3 business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE eXCHANGE at: <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov>. **Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA.** EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE eXCHANGE website should be submitted to: [EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov).

## **VIII. Other Information**

### **A. FOA Modifications**

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE eXCHANGE website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

## **B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate**

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

## **C. Commitment of Public Funds**

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

## **D. Treatment of Application Information**

Applicants should not include business sensitive (e.g., commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential), trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail.

If an application includes business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, it is furnished to the federal government (government) in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, DOE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

If an applicant chooses to submit business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, the applicant must provide two copies of the submission (e.g, Concept Paper, Full Application). The first copy should be marked, "non-confidential" with the information believed to be confidential deleted. The second copy should be marked "confidential" and must clearly and conspicuously identify the business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information and must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information and may use or disclose such information for any purpose as authorized by law.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

The cover sheet of the Full Application, and other applicant submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information:

**Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:**

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance agreement between the submitter and the government. The government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source.  
[End of Notice]

In addition, (1) the header and footer of every page that contains business sensitive, trade secrets, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information must be marked as follows: “Contains Business Sensitive, Trade Secrets, Proprietary, or Otherwise Confidential Information Exempt from Public Disclosure,” and (2) every line or paragraph containing such information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting. DOE will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat it according to its determination.

**E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel**

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including EERE contractors. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

**F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities**

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

**G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability**

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

## **H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure**

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

## **I. Retention of Submissions**

EERE expects to retain copies of all Full Applications and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

## **J. Title to Subject Inventions**

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;
- All other parties: The federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);
- Class Patent Waiver:

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States.

- Advance and Identified Waivers: For an applicant not covered by a Class Patent Waiver or the Bayh-Dole Act, the applicant may request a patent waiver that will

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.

- DEC: On June 07, 2021, DOE approved a DETERMINATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES (DEC) UNDER THE BAYH-DOLE ACT TO FURTHER PROMOTE DOMESTIC MANUFACTURE OF DOE SCIENCE AND ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES. In accordance with this DEC, all awards, including sub-awards, under this FOA shall include the U.S. Competitiveness Provision in accordance with [Section VI.B.xxi](#). U.S. Manufacturing Commitments of this FOA. A copy of the DEC can be found at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/determination-exceptional-circumstances-decs>. Pursuant to 37 CFR § 401.4, any nonprofit organization or small business firm as defined by 35 U.S.C. 201 affected by any DEC has the right to appeal it by providing written notice to DOE within 30 working days from the time it receives a copy of the determination.
- DOE may issue and publish on the website above further DEC's prior to the issuance of awards under this FOA. DOE may require additional submissions or requirements as authorized by any applicable DEC.

## **K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions**

Where prime recipients and subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the United States government retains certain rights.

### **i. Government Use License**

The United States government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the government.

### **ii. March-In Rights**

The United States government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

## **L. Rights in Technical Data**

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

“Limited Rights Data”: The United States government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The United States government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated (“Protected Data”). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

For this FOA, selectees and recipients may request an extended period of protection (more than five years and not to exceed thirty years) if reasonably required for commercialization for specific categories of data first produced under the resulting

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



awards in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 3710a(c)(7)(B)(ii) and the Energy Policy Acts of 1992 and 2005. Further direction will be provided during the negotiation process upon request.

## **M. Copyright**

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government. In addition, for those awards requiring distribution of software as Open-Source Software (OSS), the additional information in [Appendix E](#) must be addressed during the first year of award if selected for award.

## **N. Export Control**

The United States government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the United States to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as “Export Controls”. All recipients and subrecipients are responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable United States Export Control laws and regulations relating to any work performed under a resulting award.

The recipient must immediately report to DOE any export control violations related to the project funded under the DOE award, at the recipient or subrecipient level, and provide the corrective action(s) to prevent future violations.

## **O. Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment**

As set forth in 2 CFR 200.216, recipients and subrecipients are prohibited from obligating or expending project funds (federal funds and recipient cost share) to procure or obtain; extend or renew a contract to procure or obtain; or enter into a contract (or extend or renew a contract) to procure or obtain equipment, services, or systems that uses *covered telecommunications equipment or services* as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. As described in Section 889 of Public Law 115-232, *covered telecommunications equipment* is telecommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities).

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

See Public Law 115-232, Section 889, 2 CFR 200.216, and 2 CFR 200.471 for additional information.

## **P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)**

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. The term “PII” refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother’s maiden name. See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf>

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security Numbers (SSNs) be included in the application.** Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See, the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. § 3551).

## **Q. Annual Independent Audits**

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

## APPENDIX A – DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT AND GRID SERVICES

Demand-Side Management Strategies	Grid Services	Definition	Key Characteristics	
Efficiency	Generation: Energy Generation: Capacity Transmission and Distribution (T&D): Non-Wires Solutions	Persistent reduction in load. Interval data are needed for measurement and verification purposes, but this is not a dispatchable service.	Typical duration	Continuous
			Load change	Long-term decrease
			Response time	N/A
			Event frequency	Lifetime of equipment
Load Shed	Contingency Reserves	Load reduction for a short time to make up for a shortfall in generation.	Typical duration	Up to 1 hr
			Load change	Short-term decrease
			Response time	<15 min
			Event frequency	20 times per year
	Generation: Energy Generation: Capacity T&D: Non-Wires Solutions	Load reduction during peak periods in response to grid constraints or based on time-of-use pricing structures.	Typical duration	30 mins to 4 hrs
			Load change	Short-term decrease
			Response time	30 min to 2 hrs
Load Shift	Generation: Capacity T&D: Non-Wires Solutions	Load shifting from peak to off-peak periods in response to grid constraints or based on	Event frequency	<100 hrs per year/seasonal
			Typical duration	30 mins to 4 hrs
			Load change	Short-term shift
			Response time	<1 hour
			Event frequency	<100 hrs per year/seasonal

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Demand-Side Management Strategies	Grid Services	Definition	Key Characteristics	
		time-of-use pricing structures. <sup>14</sup>		
	Avoid Renewable Curtailment	Load shifting to increase energy consumption at times of excess renewable generation output. This type of load shifting is not a dispatchable service but can be indicated through time-of-use pricing structures.	Typical duration	2 to 4 hrs
			Load change	Short-term shift
			Response time	N/A
Modulate	Frequency Regulation	Load modulation in real time to closely follow grid signals. Advanced telemetry is required for output signal transmission to grid operator; also expected to be able to receive automatic control signal.	Event frequency	Daily
			Typical duration	Seconds to minutes
			Load change	Rapid increase/decrease
			Response time	<1 min
	Ramping	Load modulation to offset short term variable renewable generation output changes. <sup>15</sup>	Event frequency	Continuous
			Typical duration	Seconds to minutes
			Load change	Rapid increase/decrease
			Response time	Seconds to minutes
			Event frequency	Continuous

Mapping Demand-Side Management Strategies to Grid Services<sup>16</sup>

Grid Services	Potential Avoided Cost	Potential Market Size
Addressable by Demand-Side Management in Buildings		
Generation Services		
Generation: Energy	Power plant fuel, operation, maintenance, and startup and shutdown costs	<b>Large.</b> The market potential for reducing generation operations is large because it is a service in every regional transmission organization (RTO) and independent system operator (ISO). Reducing generation operations involves optimizing operation conditions and utilizing lowest-cost generation. For buildings, energy efficiency has the greatest potential to reduce generation operations. Demand response also has moderate potential, though the market size is limited by peak/off-peak price spread and hourly marginal costs, which vary by RTO/ISO (and some utilities) and change over time.
Generation: Capacity	Capital costs for new generating facilities	<b>Large.</b> Deferred generation capacity investment results primarily from peak demand reduction. The size of the market varies by region based on

<sup>14</sup> Time-of-use pricing that specifically incentivizes energy use at times when renewable generation output is high and electricity prices are low.

<sup>15</sup> This is not currently offered as a grid service by any RTOs/ISOs.

<sup>16</sup> Grid-interactive Efficient Buildings Technical Report Series Overview of Research Challenges and Gaps (<https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/pdfs/75470.pdf>)

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Grid Services	Potential Avoided Cost	Potential Market Size Addressable by Demand-Side Management in Buildings
	and associated fixed operation and maintenance costs	the marginal generation costs and system load profiles. Buildings can play a large role in reducing the peak demand because they are the primary driver of peak electricity demand. Buildings can contribute to this service by both lowering the overall need for generation through energy efficiency as well as providing short-term load reduction to address system peaks. For buildings, demand response has the greatest potential to address capacity needs.
<b>Ancillary Services</b>		
Contingency Reserves <sup>17</sup>	Power plant fuel, operation, maintenance, and associated opportunity costs	<b>Moderate.</b> The market for contingency reserves is significantly smaller than those for generation capacity or generation operations, making up less than 3% of U.S. peak demand (Ela et al. 2011; Denholm et al. 2015). Despite the small market, buildings are well positioned to provide contingency reserve products by reducing demand for short periods of time.
Frequency Regulation	Power plant fuel, operation, maintenance, and opportunity costs <sup>18</sup> associated with providing frequency regulation	<b>Small.</b> Each RTO/ISO requires less than 1,000 megawatts (MW) of frequency regulation—less than 1% of total U.S. generation capacity (Denholm et al. 2015; Tacka 2016). In addition to the small market, demand-side resources are expected to compete against cost-effective distributed supply-side resources that provide frequency regulation. In some RTO/ISOs, generators are required to provide frequency regulation, but rules are changing to allow distributed resources to participate. Multiple technologies (variable frequency drives, water heaters, batteries, solar inverters) can provide frequency regulation.
Ramping	Power plant fuel, operation, maintenance, and startup and shutdown costs	<b>Small.</b> Ramping services are an emerging market that is currently not offered in most RTO/ISOs. Ramping services include resources that offset rapid changes in generation output. It is expected to grow as more variable renewable generation is added to the grid. Buildings can provide quick response ramping services from technologies that can dispatch/store electricity (batteries) and can be cycled to offset generation shortfalls (HVAC).
<b>Delivery Services</b>		
Non-Wires Solutions <sup>19</sup>	Capital costs for T&D equipment upgrades	<b>Moderate.</b> Opportunities to defer or avoid the need for investments in T&D infrastructure are highly location dependent. Further, the resource is expected to be located electrically downstream from the transmission or distribution equipment to provide this service. Buildings can provide non-wires solutions in a variety of ways, including energy efficiency, demand response, distributed generation, voltage support, and energy storage.
Voltage Support	Capital costs for voltage control equipment (e.g., capacitor banks,	<b>Small.</b> Payments available for voltage support (or reactive power compensation) from demand-side resources vary significantly depending on the utility context and the size. Multiple building technologies can provide limited voltage support, including rooftop solar inverters and battery inverters, though they are expected to compete against cost-

<sup>17</sup> Including reserves products with various timescales, including spinning/nonspinning reserves and other reserves products that exist in some regions.

<sup>18</sup> E.g., not selling power in order to be ready for up-regulation.

<sup>19</sup> Also referred to as deferred T&D upgrades or non-wires alternatives.

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Grid Services	Potential Avoided Cost	Potential Market Size
		Addressable by Demand-Side Management in Buildings
	transformers, smart inverters)	effective supply-side resources, including transformers, fixed capacitor banks, and line regulators.

Potential Grid Services Provided by Demand-Side Management in Buildings<sup>20</sup>

---

<sup>20</sup> <https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/pdfs/75470.pdf>

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

## APPENDIX B – COST SHARE INFORMATION

### Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms “cost sharing” and “cost matching” are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term “cost sharing,” as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here “cost matching” for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

### How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost  
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)  
Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)  
Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

### What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*



- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

### **General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award**

1. **Cash Cost Share** – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
2. **In-Kind Cost Share** – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.
3. **Funds from other federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share.** This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.
4. **Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award.** The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

---

## DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

**(A) Acceptable contributions.** All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- (1)** They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
- (2)** They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
- (3)** They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
- (4)** They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
  - a.** For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A-122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and
  - b.** Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5)** They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6)** They are provided for in the approved budget.

**(B) Valuing and documenting contributions**

- (1)** Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

- a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
  - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
  - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
  - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- 
- i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
    - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.
  - (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
    - a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
    - b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

## APPENDIX C – SAMPLE COST SHARE CALCULATION FOR BLENDED COST SHARE PERCENTAGE

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2,000,000 in federal funds with four tasks requiring different non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

### Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost)

Task 1 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (non-federal share)

### Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)

Task 2 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (non-federal share)

### Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (non-federal share)

### Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (non-federal share)

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal Share	% Federal Share	\$ Non-Federal Share	% Non-Federal Share	Total Project Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (non-federal)

Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (federal)

---

## **APPENDIX D – WAIVER REQUESTS FOR: 1. FOREIGN ENTITY PARTICIPATION; AND 2. FOREIGN WORK**

### **1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient**

As set forth in [Section III.A.iii.](#), all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

#### **Waiver Criteria**

Foreign entities seeking to participate in a project funded under this FOA must demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE that:

- a. Its participation is in the best interest of the United States industry and United States economic development;
- b. The project team has appropriate measures in place to control sensitive information and protect against unauthorized transfer of scientific and technical information;
- c. Adequate protocols exist between the United States subsidiary and its foreign parent organization to comply with export control laws and any obligations to protect proprietary information from the foreign parent organization;
- d. The work is conducted within the United States and the entity acknowledges and demonstrates that it has the intent and ability to comply with the U.S. Competitiveness Provision (see [Section VI.B.xxi.](#)); and
- e. The foreign entity will satisfy other conditions that may be deemed necessary by DOE to protect United States government interests.

#### **Content for Waiver Request**

A Foreign Entity waiver request must include the following:

- a. Information about the entity: name, website, point of contact, and proposed type of involvement in the project;
- b. Country of incorporation, the extent of the ownership/level control by foreign entities, whether the entity is state owned or controlled, a summary of the ownership breakdown of the foreign entity and the percentage of ownership/control by foreign entities, foreign shareholders, foreign state or foreign individuals;
- c. The rationale for proposing a foreign entity participate (must address criteria above);

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---



- d. A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the United States economy;
  - How the project will benefit United States research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
  - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- e. A description of how the foreign entity's participation is essential to the project;
- f. A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
- g. Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the United States, the applicant must also complete a separate request foreign work waiver).

DOE may also require:

- A risk assessment with respect to IP and data protection protocols that includes the export control risk based on the data protection protocols, the technology being developed and the foreign entity and country. These submissions could be prepared by the project lead (if not the prime recipient), but the prime recipient must make a representation to DOE as to whether it believes the data protection protocols are adequate and make a representation of the risk assessment – high, medium or low risk of data leakage to a foreign entity.
- Additional language be added to any agreement or subagreement to protect IP, mitigate risk or other related purposes.

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

DOE's decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

## 2. **Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)**

As set forth in [Section IV.J.iii.](#), all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request for a foreign work waiver must include the following:

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).  
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

- 
- The rationale for performing the work outside the United States (“foreign work”);
  - A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the United States;
  - An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
  - A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the United States economy;
  - The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
  - How the foreign work will benefit the United States, including manufacturing contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
  - How the foreign work will promote manufacturing of products and/or services in the United States;
  - A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
  - The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
  - The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
  - The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work. Information about the entity(ies) involved in the work proposed to be conducted outside the United States. (i.e., entity seek a waiver and the entity(ies) that will conduct the work).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

## **APPENDIX E – SOFTWARE COMMERCIALIZATION OR OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION PLAN**

Applicants whose full applications are selected for an award in which open source software distribution must submit a plan during the first year of award describing how software produced under this FOA will be distributed. For a DOE National Laboratory or a FFRDC, the data rights clause, including rights and requirements pertaining to computer software, in its M&O Contract shall apply and shall take precedence over any requirement set forth in this Appendix. The plan must include the following elements:

1. A complete description of any existing software that will be modified or incorporated into software produced under this FOA, including a description of the license rights. The license rights must allow the modified or incorporated software to be distributed as open source.
2. A discussion of the open source license that the applicant plans to use for the software it plans to produce under the FOA, and how that choice furthers the goals of this FOA. The discussion must also address how the license conforms to the conditions listed below.
3. A method for depositing the software in a source code repository.
4. A method for sharing and disseminating the software and other information to team members or others when multiple parties will contribute to the development of the software or the FOA requires that the software or other information be shared or disseminated to others.

Open Source Definition: Open source licenses must conform to all of the following conditions:

### **Free Redistribution**

The license shall not restrict any party from selling or giving away the software as a component of an aggregate software distribution containing programs from several different sources. The license shall not require a royalty or other fee for such sale. The rights attached to the software must apply to all to whom the software is redistributed without the need for execution of an additional license by those parties.

### **Source Code**

The program must include source code, and must allow distribution in source code as well as compiled form. Where some form of a product is not distributed with source code, there must be a well-publicized means of obtaining the source code for no more than a reasonable reproduction cost preferably, i.e., downloading via the Internet without charge. The source code must be the preferred form in which a programmer would modify the program.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

Deliberately obfuscated source code and intermediate forms such as the output of a preprocessor or translator are not allowed.

### **Derived Works**

The license must allow modifications and derived works, and permit the option of distributing the modifications and derived works under the same terms as the license of the original software.

### **Integrity of the Author's Source Code**

The license may restrict source-code from being distributed in modified form only if the license allows the distribution of "patch files" with the source code for the purpose of modifying the program at build time. The license must explicitly permit distribution of software built from modified source code. The license may require derived works to carry a different name or version number from the original software.

### **No Restriction Against Fields of Endeavor**

The license must not restrict anyone from making use of the program in a specific field of endeavor. For example, it may not restrict the program from being used in a business, or from being used for genetic research.

### **License Must Not Be Specific to a Product or Technology**

The rights attached to the program must not depend on the program's being part of a particular software distribution. If the program is extracted from that distribution and used or distributed within the terms of the program's license, all parties to whom the program is redistributed should have the same rights as those that are granted in conjunction with the original software distribution. No provision of the license may be predicated on any individual technology or style of interface.

### **License Must Not Restrict Other Software**

The license must not place restrictions on other software that is distributed along with the licensed software. For example, the license must not insist that all other programs distributed on the same medium must be open-source software.

### **Examples of Acceptable Licenses**

Apache License, 2.0

<http://www.apache.org/licenses>

The 2.0 version of the Apache License was approved by the Apache Software Foundation (ASF) in 2004. The goals of this license revision were to reduce the number of frequently asked questions, to allow the license to be reusable without modification by any project (including non-ASF projects), to allow the license to be included by reference instead of listed in every file, to clarify the license on submission of contributions, to require a patent license on

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

contributions that necessarily infringe the contributor's own patents, and to move comments regarding Apache and other inherited attribution notices to a location outside the license terms

The result is a license that is compatible with other open source licenses, while remaining true to and supportive of collaborative development across both nonprofit and commercial organizations.

All packages produced by the ASF are implicitly licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0, unless otherwise explicitly stated.

GNU General or Public License (GPLv3)

<http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html>

The GNU General Public License (GNU GPL or simply GPL) is the most widely used free software license, originally written by Richard Stallman for the GNU Project.

The GPL is the first copyleft license for general use, which means that derived works must be distributed under the same license terms. Under this philosophy, the GPL grants the recipients of a computer program the rights of the free software definition and uses copyleft to ensure the freedoms are preserved, even when the work is changed or additions are made. This aspect distinguishes the GPL from permissive free software licenses, including the BSD licenses. The license's copyright disallows modification of the license. Copying and distributing the license is allowed because the GPL requires recipients to get "a copy of this License along with the Program". According to the GPL FAQ, anyone can make a new license using a modified version of the GPL as long as he or she uses a different name for the license, does not mention "GNU", and removes the preamble, though the preamble can be used in a modified license if permission to use it is obtained from the Free Software Foundation (FSF).

GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License (LGPLv3) <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl.html>

The GNU Lesser General Public License (formerly the GNU Library General Public License) or LGPL is a free software license published by the Free Software Foundation (FSF). It was designed as a compromise between the strong-copyleft GNU General Public License or GPL and permissive licenses such as the BSD licenses and the MIT License. The GNU Library General Public License (as the LGPL was originally named) was published in 1991, and adopted the version number 2 for parity with GPL version 2. The LGPL was revised in minor ways in the 2.1 point release, published in 1999, when it was renamed the GNU Lesser General Public License to reflect the FSF's position that not all libraries should use it. Version 3 of the LGPL was published in 2007 as a list of additional permissions applied to GPL version 3.

The LGPL places copyleft restrictions on the program governed under it but does not apply these restrictions to other software that merely link with the program. There are, however, certain other restrictions on this software.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

The LGPL is primarily used for software libraries, although it is also used by some stand-alone applications, most notably Mozilla and OpenOffice.org.

The MIT License (MIT)

<http://opensource.org/licenses/MIT>

The MIT License is a free software license originating at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). It is a permissive license, meaning that it permits reuse within proprietary software provided all copies of the licensed software include a copy of the MIT License terms. Such proprietary software retains its proprietary nature even though it incorporates software under the MIT License. The license is also GPL-compatible, meaning that the GPL permits combination and redistribution with software that uses the MIT License.

Software packages that use one of the versions of the MIT License include Expat, PuTTY, the Mono development platform class libraries, Ruby on Rails, Lua (from version 5.0 onwards), and the X Window System, for which the license was written.

Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)

<http://www.mozilla.org/MPL/2.0/>

The Mozilla Public License (MPL) is a free and open source software license. Version 1.0 was developed by Mitchell Baker when she worked as a lawyer at Netscape Communications Corporation and version 1.1 at the Mozilla Foundation. Version 2.0 was developed in the open, overseen by Baker and led by Louis Villa. The MPL is characterized as a hybridization of the modified BSD license and GNU General Public License.

The MPL is the license for the Mozilla Application Suite, Mozilla Firefox, Mozilla Thunderbird and other Mozilla software. The MPL has been adapted by others as a license for their software, most notably Sun Microsystems, as the Common Development and Distribution License for OpenSolaris, the open source version of the Solaris 10 operating system, and by Adobe, as the license for its Flex product line.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

## APPENDIX F – REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS, AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS BUY AMERICA REQUIREMENTS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

### A. Definitions

For purposes of the Buy America requirements, based both on the statute and OMB Guidance Document dated April 18, 2022, the following definitions apply:

**Construction materials** includes an article, material, or supply—other than an item of primarily iron or steel; a manufactured product; cement and cementitious materials; aggregates such as stone, sand, or gravel; or aggregate binding agents or additives—that is or consists primarily of:

- non-ferrous metals;
- plastic and polymer-based products (including polyvinylchloride, composite building materials, and polymers used in fiber optic cables);
- glass (including optic glass);
- lumber; or
- drywall.

**Infrastructure** includes, at a minimum, the structures, facilities, and equipment for, in the United States, roads, highways, and bridges; public transportation; dams, ports, harbors, and other maritime facilities; intercity passenger and freight railroads; freight and intermodal facilities; airports; water systems, including drinking water and wastewater systems; electrical transmission facilities and systems; utilities; broadband infrastructure; and buildings and real property. Infrastructure includes facilities that generate, transport, and distribute energy.

Moreover, according to the OMB guidance document:

When determining if a program has infrastructure expenditures, Federal agencies should interpret the term “infrastructure” broadly and consider the definition provided above as illustrative and not exhaustive. When determining if a particular construction project of a type not listed in the definition above constitutes “infrastructure,” agencies should consider whether the project will serve a public function, including whether the project is publicly owned and operated, privately operated on behalf of the public, or is a place of public accommodation, as opposed to a project that is privately owned and not open to the public. Projects with the former qualities have greater indicia of infrastructure, while projects with the latter quality have fewer. Projects consisting solely of the purchase, construction, or improvement of a private home for personal use, for example, would not constitute an infrastructure project.



---

The Agency, not the applicant, will have the final say as to whether a given project includes infrastructure, as defined herein. Accordingly, in cases where the “public” nature of the infrastructure is unclear, but the other relevant criteria are met DOE strongly recommends that applicants complete their full application with the assumption that Buy America requirements will apply to the proposed project.

**Project** means the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States.

**B. Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects (“Buy America” requirements)**

In accordance with Section 70914 of the BIL, none of the project funds (includes federal share and recipient cost share) may be used for a project for infrastructure unless:

- (1) all iron and steel used in the project are produced in the United States--this means all manufacturing processes, from the initial melting stage through the application of coatings, occurred in the United States;
- (2) all manufactured products used in the project are produced in the United States—this means the manufactured product was manufactured in the United States; and the cost of the components of the manufactured product that are mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States is greater than 55 percent of the total cost of all components of the manufactured product, unless another standard for determining the minimum amount of domestic content of the manufactured product has been established under applicable law or regulation; and
- (3) all construction materials are produced in the United States—this means that all manufacturing processes for the construction material occurred in the United States.

The Buy America requirements only apply to articles, materials, and supplies that are consumed in, incorporated into, or affixed to an infrastructure project. As such, it does not apply to tools, equipment, and supplies, such as temporary scaffolding, brought to the construction site and removed at or before the completion of the infrastructure project. Nor does the Buy America requirements apply to equipment and furnishings, such as movable chairs, desks, and portable computer equipment, that are used at or within the finished infrastructure project, but are not an integral part of the structure or permanently affixed to the infrastructure project.

These requirements must flow down to all sub-awards, all contracts, subcontracts, and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project, except where the prime recipient is a for-profit entity. Based on guidance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a State, local government, Indian tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or nonprofit organization.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

For additional information related to the application and implementation of these Buy America requirements, please see OMB Memorandum M-22-11, issued April 18, 2022:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/M-22-11.pdf>

Note that for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

### **C. Waivers**

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient: (1) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-produced products and (2) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and manufactured products domestically that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation.

In limited circumstances, DOE may waive the application of the Buy America requirements where DOE determines that:

- (1) applying the Buy America requirements would be inconsistent with the public interest;
- (2) the types of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality; or
- (3) the inclusion of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials produced in the United States will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25 percent.

If an applicant or recipient is seeking a waiver of the Buy America requirements, it may submit a waiver request after it has been notified of its selection for award negotiations. A waiver request must include:

- A detailed justification for the use of “non-domestic” iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials to include an explanation as to how the non-domestic item(s) is essential to the project
- A certification that the applicant or recipient made a good faith effort to solicit bids for domestic products supported by terms included in requests for proposals, contracts, and nonproprietary communications with potential suppliers

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

- 
- Applicant/Recipient name and Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)
  - Total estimated project cost, DOE and cost-share amounts
  - Project description and location (to the extent known)
  - List and description of iron or steel item(s), manufactured goods, and construction material(s) the applicant or recipient seeks to waive from Domestic Content Procurement Preference requirement, including name, cost, country(ies) of origin (if known), and relevant PSC and NAICS code for each
  - Waiver justification including due diligence performed (e.g., market research, industry outreach) by the applicant or recipient
  - Anticipated impact if no waiver is issued

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

Waiver requests are subject to public comment periods of no less than 15 days and must be reviewed by the Made in America Office. There may be instances where an award qualifies, in whole or in part, for an existing waiver described at [Build America, Buy America | Department of Energy](#).

DOE's decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

---

## APPENDIX G – GLOSSARY

**Applicant** – The lead organization submitting an application under the FOA.

**Continuation application** – A non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the Recipient must submit to EERE its continuation application, which includes the following information:

- i. A report on the Recipient’s progress towards meeting the objectives of the project, including any significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.
- ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.
- iii. A description of any planned changes from the negotiated Statement of Project Objectives and/or Milestone Summary Table.

**Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA)** – a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see <https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements>

**Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC)** - FFRDCs are public-private partnerships which conduct research for the United States government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/>.

**Go/No-Go Decision Points**: – A decision point at the end of a budget period that defines the overall objectives, milestones and deliverables to be achieved by the recipient in that budget period. As of a result of EERE’s review, EERE may take one of the following actions: 1) authorize federal funding for the next budget period; 2) recommend redirection of work; 3) discontinue providing federal funding beyond the current budget period; or 4) place a hold on federal funding pending further supporting data.

**Project** – The entire scope of the cooperative agreement which is contained in the recipient’s Statement of Project Objectives.

*Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).*

*Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

---

Recipient or “Prime Recipient” – A non-federal entity that receives a federal award directly from a federal awarding agency to carry out an activity under a federal program. The term recipient does not include subrecipients.

Subrecipient – A non-federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a federal program; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other federal awards directly from a federal awarding agency. Also, a DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity’s application. See [Section III.E.i.](#)

## APPENDIX H – DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

TRL 1:	Basic principles observed and reported
TRL 2:	Technology concept and/or application formulated
TRL 3:	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept
TRL 4:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment
TRL 5:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment
TRL 6:	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment
TRL 7:	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment
TRL 8:	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstrated
TRL 9:	Actual system proven through successful mission operations

## APPENDIX I – LIST OF ACRONYMS

BTO	Building Technologies Office
COI	Conflict of Interest
DEC	Determination of Exceptional Circumstances
DEI	Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion
DMP	Data Management Plan
DOE	Department of Energy
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
EERE	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FFATA	Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
IPMP	Intellectual Property Management Plan
M&O	Management and Operating
MPIN	Marketing Partner ID Number
MSI	Minority-Serving institution
MYPP	Multi-Year Program Plan
NDA	Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Agency
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OSTI	Office of Scientific and Technical Information
PII	Personal Identifiable Information
PMP	Project Management Plan
R&D	Research and Development
RFI	Request for Information
RFP	Request for Proposal
SAM	System for Award Management
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
SPOC	Single Point of Contact
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics
TIA	Technology Investment Agreement
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WP	Work Proposal

Questions about this FOA? Email [BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov](mailto:BENEFIT22@ee.doe.gov).

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email [EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov](mailto:EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov) Include FOA name and number in subject line.