

Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Efficient Carbon Utilization in Algal Systems

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0001908
FOA Type: Initial
CFDA Number: 81.087

FOA Issue Date:	5/03/2018
Submission Deadline for Letters of Intent:	05/30/2018
	5:00pm ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	06/27/2018
	5:00pm ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	08/3/2018
	5:00pm ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	August 2018
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations	September 2018

- Applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by 5:00pm ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the Selection.
- Applicants must be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov before submitting an application and must provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in the application. Failure to comply may result in a determination that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award, and that determination could be used as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.



Table of Contents

I.	Fı	anding Opportunity Description	1
,	۹.	Description/Background	1
6	3.	Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest	8
(Ξ.	Applications Specifically Not of Interest	8
[Ο.	Authorizing Statutes	9
II.	A	ward Information	10
,	۸.	Award Overview	10
	i.	Estimated Funding	10
	ii.	_	
	iii	. New Applications Only	10
	3.	EERE Funding Agreements	10
	i.	Cooperative Agreements	11
	ii.	Funding Agreements with FFRDCs	11
III.	El	igibility Information	11
,	۸.	Eligible Applicants	12
-	i.	Individuals	
	ii.	Domestic Entities	12
	iii		
	iv		
	٧.		
6	3.	Cost Sharing	14
	i.	Legal Responsibility	14
	ii.	Cost Share Allocation	15
	iii	. Cost Share Types and Allowability	15
	iv	. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	16
	٧.	Cost Share Verification	16
	vi	. Cost Share Payment	16
(Σ.	Compliance Criteria	17
	i.	Compliance Criteria	17
[).	Responsiveness Criteria	18
E	Ξ.	Other Eligibility Requirements	18
	i.	Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development	
		Centers Included as a Subrecipient	
F	·.	Limitation on Number of Full Applications Eligible for Review	
(Ĵ.	Questions Regarding Eligibility	19
IV.	Α	pplication and Submission Information	20
,	۹.	Application Process	
	i.	Additional Information on EERE Exchange	21
	3.	Application Forms	
	i.	Content and Form of the Letter of Intent	
(Ξ.	Content and Form of the Full Application	23
	i	Full Application Content Requirements	23

	ii.	Technical Volume	25
	iii.	Statement of Project Objectives	30
	iv.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	30
	٧.		
	vi.		
	vii		
	vii	·	
	ix.		
	х.		
	xi.		
	xii		
	xii		
	Xi۱		
D		Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	
E.		Post-Award Information Requests	
F.		Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management	
G.		Submission Dates and Times	
Н		Intergovernmental Review	
I.		Funding Restrictions	
1.	i.	Allowable Costs	
	i. ii.	Pre-Award Costs	
	iii.		
	iv.		
	٧.		
	vi.		
	vii	, 0	
	vii		
	ix.	Invoice Review and Approval	41
V.	Αŗ	oplication Review Information	41
Α		Technical Review Criteria	41
	i.	Full Applications	
В		Standards for Application Evaluation	
C.		Other Selection Factors	
0.	i.	Program Policy Factors	
D		Evaluation and Selection Process	
	і.	Overview	
	ii.		
	iii.		
	iv.		
E.		Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates	
		·	
VI.	A۱	ward Administration Information	45
Α		Award Notices	45
	i.	Ineligible Submissions	46
	ii.	Letters of Intent Notifications	46
	iii.	Full Application Notifications	46
	iv.		
	٧.		

	vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	47
В.		Administrative and National Policy Requirements	
	i.	Registration Requirements	
	ii.	Award Administrative Requirements	48
	iii.	Foreign National Access to DOE Sites	48
	iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting	49
	٧.	National Policy Requirements	
	vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	49
	vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications	50
	viii	Statement of Federal Stewardship	52
	ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement	52
	х.	Intellectual Property (IP) Management Plan	53
	xi.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting	54
	xii.	Intellectual Property Provisions	54
	xiii.	. Reporting	54
	xiv.	Go/No-Go Review	55
	XV.	Conference Spending	56
	xvi.	. UCC Financing Statements	56
	xvii	i. BETO Program Requirements	57
VII.	Qu	estions/Agency Contacts	57
VIII.	Otl	her Information	57
A.		FOA Modifications	58
В.		Government Right to Reject or Negotiate	
C.		Commitment of Public Funds	
D.		Treatment of Application Information	58
E.		Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	
F.		Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities	
G.		Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability	
Н.		Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)	60
1.		Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	60
J.		Retention of Submissions	60
K.		Title to Subject Inventions	61
L.		Government Rights in Subject Inventions	62
	i.	Government Use License	62
	ii.	March-In Rights	62
M		Rights in Technical Data	62
N.		Copyright	
0.		Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	
Ρ.		Annual Independent Audits	64
Appe	ndi	x A – Cost Share Information	65
Appe	ndi	x B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage	70
		x C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance	
tne U	nite	ed States	72
	1.	Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient	72
	2.	Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States	72



Appendix D - Data Management Plan	74
Appendix E – DOE Technology Readiness Levels	78



I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Description/Background

The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) is issuing, on behalf of the Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO), a Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) DE-FOA-0001908, entitled "Efficient Carbon Utilization in Algal Systems."

BETO's mission is to accelerate the development of a bioeconomy that can strengthen U.S. energy security, economic viability, and environmental quality. Since 2009, BETO has used its statutory authority under the Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005, §932(c) to implement an algal biofuels program: the Advanced Algal Systems (AAS) Program (also called the Algae Program and the Program). Advanced biofuels and bioproducts made from algae have the potential to enhance energy security, create domestic jobs, enable energy affordability, and spur the advancement of the bioeconomy. The objective of the Advanced Algal Systems Program is to accelerate the commercialization of algal biofuels by overcoming barriers identified in the 2010 National Algal Biofuels Technology Roadmap, updated in June 2016¹. BETO's Algae Program is implementing a long-term applied research and development strategy to support the bioeconomy by lowering the costs of production for algal biofuels and bioproducts.

BETO's strategy, described in detail in the BETO Multi-Year Program Plan², for supporting the research and development (R&D) of algal biofuels requires significant advances across the algal biofuels supply chain to achieve target modeled, mature minimum fuel selling prices (MFSP). The Algae Program's strategy for lowering the cost of production (cost) of algal biofuels and bioproducts requires significant increases in algal biomass productivity and yield to achieve target modeled, mature minimum fuel selling prices. There are many factors that contribute significantly to increasing productivity and yield in order to and lower the cost of algal biofuels and bioproducts, the Program has provided funding to research and address these factors through projects selected from funding opportunities such as: DE-FOA-0000123 – Development of Algal/Advanced Biofuel Consortia (issued in 2010); DE-FOA-0000615 – Advancements in Sustainable Algae Production (issued in 2012); DE-FOA-000811, and DE-FOA-0001471 – Advancements in Algal Biomass Yield (ABY, Phase 1 – issued in 2013; ABY, Phase 2 – issued in 2016); DE-FOA-0001162 – Targeted Algal Biofuels and Bioproducts (TABB – issued in 2014); and the latest, DE-FOA-0001628 – Productivity Enhanced Algae and Tool-kits (PEAK – issued in 2017). The Program also relies on feedback and stakeholder input through workshops such as

2016 National Algal Biofuels Technology Review at http://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/downloads/2016-national-algal-biofuels-technology-review

² 2016 BETO Multi-Year Program Plan is accessible at: http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/03/f30/mypp beto march2016 2.pdf



the 'Algae Cultivation for Carbon Capture and Utilization Workshop'³; the 2017 BETO Peer Review⁴, and engagement with other Federal offices and agencies such as DOE's Fossil Energy Office, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Projects from these FOAs have and/or are addressing barriers and challenges related to decreasing the cost of algal biofuel and bioproducts production through strain improvement; integration of cultivation, harvesting, and pre-processing techniques; the production of value-added co-products; and 'omics' research and tool-kit development. While all of these considerations remain essential to increasing productivity and advancing the algal biofuels state of the technology, there are still factors that impact productivity and cost in algal systems that need further research and development.

The current 2016 modeled, mature State of Technology⁵ (SOT) indicates an annual average productivity of $10.3 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{day}$. The 2022 goal for productivity is $25 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{day}$. Meeting the 2022 goal requires a greater than two fold increase in productivity from the current SOT. In BETO's "nth plant" techno-economic models of mature algal biofuels technology, the resources required for cultivation, including CO_2 , comprise more than one third of the overall cost of producing algal biofuels and bioproducts. As such, there is a critical need to research the potential increase in productivity and reduction in costs from efficient CO_2 utilization throughout the algae cultivation system.

This FOA supports research on increasing carbon utilization efficiencies as well as on developing direct air capture technologies, with the goals of increasing productivity and reducing costs. CO₂ quantity, quality, availability, delivery into the system, uptake in the organism, and dispersion techniques represent some of the areas directly related to productivity and the economics of an overall algae cultivation system. Each must be considered when optimizing CO₂ utilization within the system as well as when developing a product regime (that must include an advanced biofuel) for that system. To address CO₂ utilization efficiency within algae cultivation systems, this FOA has two topic areas: one focused on CO₂ utilization improvements (Topic Area 1) and the other on economic direct air capture technologies (Topic Area 2). This FOA will allow for a variety of approaches to addressing CO₂ utilization including but not limited to capturing CO₂ directly from the air for delivery to cultivation systems, optimizing organisms for better uptake and utilization, and engineering the delivery and cultivation systems themselves for better dispersion and utilization within cultivation systems.

BETO encourages partnering; therefore, both Topic Areas are directed at small teams and/or consortia, led by industry and academia. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are encouraged to participate by partnering with industry and

³ Workshop Proceedings: http://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/algal-biofuels-strategy-workshop

⁴ Bioenergy Technologies Office 2017 Peer Review: https://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/peer-review-2017

⁵ Use of Cultivation Data from the Algae Testbed Public Private Partnership as Utilized in NREL's Algae State of Technology Assessments (2016). http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67289.pdf



academia but are not eligible as applicants or Prime Recipients under this FOA. See Section III.E.i, for more information regarding eligibility requirements and FFRDC participation.

Topic Area 1 – CO₂ Utilization within Algae Cultivation Systems: CO₂ utilization within an algae cultivation system can be addressed in a number of ways. These include, but are not limited to: improving the rate of carbon fixation (for example, through improving carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCMs)) to increase utilization and productivity through strain development; system delivery/dispersion mechanisms (ranging from phase to bubbling and other dispersion techniques); and cultivation system improvements related to CO₂ delivery and utilization. Applicants can propose the CO₂ utilization area of their choice to research and develop. Please note: all applications to Topic Area 1 must focus on CO₂ utilization; applications proposing projects for other than CO₂ utilization within an algae cultivation system will be considered non-responsive and will not be reviewed. For example, an application on strain development for anything other than improving carbon for increased utilization and efficiency will be considered non-responsive.

Applicants to Topic Area 1 must propose using a CO₂ source(s) for their cultivation and product regime (that must include an advanced biofuel) that they envision they would use at scale. Therefore, applicants may need access to industrial CO₂ gases and need to consider partnering with providers such as power plants, cement factories, biorefineries, breweries, chemical processing plants, etc. There are research facilities where applicants can gain access to industrial CO₂ streams. For example, the National Carbon Capture Center (http://nationalcarboncapturecenter.com) can be a resource for obtaining source CO₂ for testing. Partnering with a source provider and using source material as early in the R&D as technically feasible is encouraged. Choosing the best carbon source for an applicant's cultivation system relates back to better CO₂ utilization, leading to increased productivities, and lower costs (possibly allowing for CO₂ source trade-offs in the economics). These trade-offs need to be considered in the techno-economics as well as in deciding on a product regime. All product regimes for analysis purposes MUST include an advanced biofuel⁶. Applications including product regimes that do NOT include an advanced biofuel will be considered non-responsive and will not be reviewed.

Applications must include achieving, at a minimum, a 25% improvement in carbon utilization efficiency over their baseline; an increase in productivity over their baseline; AND a reduction in costs. The baseline productivity must be at least the productivity noted in the FY16 SOT².

It is understood that iterative testing between indoor and small scale outdoor environments helps ensure that improvements made in indoor laboratory settings translate to outdoor, non-simulated environments. Therefore, applicants must include testing their proposed efficiency

⁶ 'Biofuel' as defined in the Energy Investment and Security Act of 2007 (EISA 2007) §201).



and productivity improvements in a small-scale, outdoor environment. This outdoor testing requirement may require partnering. For reference, Arizona State University – http://azcati.com and the University of Arizona – http://raft.arizona.edu and their respective partners maintain testbed capabilities.

Topic Area 2 – Direct Air Capture Systems: Direct, economic air capture for providing the CO₂ source in algae cultivation to produce advanced biofuels and bioproducts has the potential to provide large cost savings. It can also lead to algae farms being decoupled from large scale CO₂ sources, thereby increasing the number of sites for algae farms and the overall resource potential of algae. However, additional research is needed to develop new, innovative, and economical direct air capture technologies that are designed for algae cultivation systems. Applications under this Topic Area must include testing of the proposed technology in conjunction with an algae cultivation system, which demonstrates production of algal biomass suitable for advanced biofuels and bioproducts from the delivered CO₂. Applicants must provide the baseline percentage of CO₂ the direct air capture technology can provide to the algae cultivation system and must propose supplementing, at a minimum, 20% of the CO₂ required for algae cultivation, from the direct air capture technology.

The economics of the system, as well as a product regime including an advanced biofuel⁶ MUST be considered and included in a techno-economic analysis as well as a life cycle assessment.

Applications including product regimes that do NOT include an advanced biofuel will be considered non-responsive and will not be reviewed.

Additional Requirements Applicable to Both Topic Areas:

- Tracking and accounting for the CO₂ is absolutely necessary. For both topic areas, applicants must propose how they plan to account for the CO₂ throughout their process and/or improvements to their process and/or direct air capture system. At a minimum, a mass balance around CO₂, as well as an energy balance must be included in all applications. These balances must lead to the derivation of an economic assessment of the system(s), which must be included as well. All three (the mass balance, the energy balance, and the techno-economic analysis) must have defined baseline, interim, and final targets. The baseline must be supported by preliminary data provided in the application.
- Proposed research and development must begin at a minimum technology readiness level (TRL) of 2 with an end of project goal of achieving TRL 3 or 4, not surpassing 4. For definitions of each TRL, please refer to Appendix E.
- Applications must include preliminary baseline experimental data (minimum TRL 2) including assumptions and how the proposed research activities will reach TRL 3 or 4 by



the conclusion of the project. Baseline experimental data must be supported in the application and, if an application is selected for negotiation, will be verified during the Verification Task in Performance/Budget Period 1. See below for more details regarding the required verification task.

- A baseline discussion of sustainability, a life cycle assessment (LCA) and technoeconomic analysis (TEA) are required for an applicant's proposed technology(ies) to discourage applicants from proposing systems that are not sustainable and/or not economical when scaled for mature operations. Applications must include the performance of ongoing techno-economic analyses and life cycle assessments throughout the project, using experimental results to show how improved CO₂ utilization results in increased productivities and lower costs (Topic Area 1) or how the direct air capture system leads to reduced costs in algae cultivation to biofuels and bioproducts (Topic Area 2).
- A technical/financial data sheet template is included with the FOA. Applicants are required to submit the information requested in the data sheet at the time of application, as it will be reviewed during the merit review. For selected projects, the data provided will be used as the basis for review, discussion, and refinement during the initial verification (discussed below). Please note that if a project is selected for negotiation of award, the recipient will be required to update and resubmit this data at least annually. BETO intends to use recipients' data within the Advanced Algal Systems Program's techno-economic and lifecycle assessment models. The details of the data use will be negotiated with the recipients at the time of award.
- No ground breaking or major construction activities for cultivation system installation can be undertaken as part of this FOA. Modifications to existing experimental infrastructure at one or more of the partnering facilities is allowable. Capital expenditure for analytical tools and instrumentation to enhance existing infrastructure is allowable.
- All selected projects will be subject to an initial verification by BETO and/or its designee to review the projects' baselines and proposed interim and final targets. The initial verification may take up to three months and will conclude with a go/no go decision by DOE. Applicants must include this task within their scope, schedule, and budget. Additional information relative to addressing this verification within the scope, schedule, and budget, as well as more information on what the verification entails, is provided below. Selected projects that receive a 'go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification will be subject to an interim and a final review. The interim review will also conclude with a go/no go decision by DOE and will be based on the project's progress toward interim project goals and the likelihood of meeting the overall project



goals by the conclusion of the project. Please refer to Section VI.B.14 for more information regarding go/no go decision points. It is anticipated that the interim and final reviews will include the applicant (now recipient) presenting the project progress toward the targets established during the initial verification. Both the interim and final reviews (and accompanying go/no go decision point for the interim review) must be noted and accounted for within the scope, schedule, and budget.

- All selected projects that receive awards will also be required to participate in DOE's
 Peer Review Process. Currently this is a bi-annual process that includes preparation of a
 presentation and participation/presentation at the Peer Review Meeting. This activity
 must be accounted for within each applicant's scope, schedule, and budget.
- Baseline productivities as noted in the State of Technology² are based on an open pond cultivation system. Other cultivation systems, such as photobioreactors, attached growth, and hybrid systems, are allowed in response to this FOA. Micro and macro-alga, as well as cyanobacteria are allowed. Mixotrophic systems are also eligible; however, only renewable biomass-derived sugars such as lignocellulosic sugars or carboncontaining waste effluent are allowed and may be utilized under this FOA. Use of foodand grain-based sugars are not responsive and will not be considered. Heterotrophic systems are not responsive and will not be considered.

Initial Verification, Interim and Final Reviews

All applicants must include an initial verification task within their scope as Task 1. It must be separated from the rest of the scope of work by a go/no go decision point, and applicants should estimate a minimum of three months for the verification effort. This task, Task 1, will also be within a separate budget period, Budget Period 1 (BP1), from the remainder of the project.

The initial verification includes three steps: pre-verification, on-site verification, and post-verification. All steps are performed in concert with BETO's verification team and the applicant's project team. The overall process mass and energy balances, including specific operations of interest, as well as basic process operation parameters, and the information requested in the technical/financial datasheet template will be disclosed to non-conflicted DOE National Laboratory personnel and/or external third party non-conflicted validators performing the verifications (BETO's verification team) as well as non-conflicted third party reviewers potentially participating in the go/no go review process and/or interim review meetings. The objectives of the verification effort are to verify the applicant's technical data, performance metrics, and targets as proposed in the original application; establish a framework to evaluate and track progress over time; update the technical/financial data to specifically match the



project scope; establish benchmark, baseline, and associated target values; identify potential major showstoppers; and align project goals with BETO's expectations.

During the pre-verification step, the verification team will work closely with the recipient's project team to discuss the effort in detail, initiate the review of the technical and financial data, metrics, and procedures as provided in the original application, and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site verification meeting.

During the on-site meeting, the teams will work together to discuss goals and performance metrics, ideas for tracking project progress, and alignment with BETO's goals. At the conclusion of the on-site meeting, the verification team will report to DOE BETO documenting the initial verification.

The post-verification step includes DOE BETO making the go/no go decision. If a "go" decision is reached and approved by the Contracting Officer, the project team and an Advanced Algal System's Technology Manager will proceed with the necessary steps to release the remaining scope and associated funding for the project. If a 'no go' decision is reached, the Contracting Officer will contact the Recipient regarding next steps.

Please note: during the initial verification effort, no experimental or project work may commence within the proposed scope. Only work associated with the initial verification — typically project management and data gathering activities are allowed during the initial verification. The budget associated with the initial verification should correspond only to these types of activities and is typically minimal compared to the remaining project scope and budget.

As previously noted, all applicants must include this task in their scope, schedule and budget. By way of example, the initial verification scope should be described along the following lines:

'Task 1. Verification. At the beginning of the project, we will work with DOE to further define the technology readiness level of the overall process, including unit operations within the process. Process information and data will be provided to DOE to support the process claims within the original application. Technical metrics for project progress will be developed including go/no go metrics that will be incorporated into the overall project.

There will be a go/no go associated with Task 1 as follows: Process information and data support the technology readiness level of the overall process, the unit operations within the process, and the original application. Technical metrics are based on preliminary data and represent meaningful project progress toward the final project goals.



Upon successful completion of the data verification effort and go/no go decision point, per notification from the Contracting Officer, the project will commence with work on the remaining scope as approved by the Contracting Officer.'

An interim review will occur between 12-18 months into the proposed effort and must include a go/no go milestone. This review and the go/no go milestone separates BP2 and BP3 and must be included in the applicant's scope, schedule, and budget as well. The timing of the BP2 go/no go decision point in the applicant's schedule should be structured to allow DOE sufficient time to conduct a review, consider the applicant's continuation application, make a go/no go decision, and issue an administrative award modification. Failure to account for this time may lead to a lapse in the applicant's period of performance. Similar to the initial verification, the go/no go decision and approval to proceed will be at the Contracting Officer's discretion.

A final review will occur at the end of the project to go over the final status of the project objectives, milestones, and deliverables.

Indicators of Successful Projects

Algal biofuels and bioproducts have the potential to contribute significantly to the development and advancement of a sustainable bioeconomy. It is anticipated that successful projects from Topic Area 1 in this FOA will include innovative, improved, and economical CO₂ utilization within algae cultivation systems resulting in increased productivities and reduced production costs of advanced algal biofuels and bioproducts without a reduction in overall biofuel yield. It is anticipated that successful projects from Topic Area 2 will include the development and testing of new, innovative, and/or improved direct air capture technologies that result in decreased costs for delivering CO₂ to algae cultivation systems that do not decrease algae productivity. Mass and energy balances on a CO₂ basis, as well as techno-economic and life cycle analyses will be included to support successfully meeting not only project goals but making significant progress towards the Program's 2022 goals for reducing the minimum fuel selling price of advanced algal biofuels.

B. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest

Please see Section 1.A for detailed information relative to each topic area.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D of the FOA):



- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- Applications that do not follow the requirements specified in Section I.A and I.B of the FOA.
- Applications that propose mixotrophic algae cultivation strategies that utilize foodbased sugars (i.e., derived from food-based crops including but not limited to corn, beets sorghum, and sugarcane).
- Applications that propose heterotrophic algae cultivation.
- Applications that propose to develop technology for the artificial lighting-based cultivation of algae for energy products (other than as an enabling tool for highthroughput, laboratory-based screening). Bench-top laboratory systems to improve strains and cultivation practices are allowable provided there is a clear iteration between lab and outdoor cultivation in the proposed project scope.
- Applications that propose to work on biomass other than algae biomass (e.g., lignocellulosic biomass).
- Applications that propose research activities on biofuels and bioproducts derived from lignocellulosic biomass (e.g., agricultural residues, woody biomass).
- Applications that propose ground breaking or major construction of new cultivation facilities.

D. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statutes are the Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005 (Public Law 109-58, August 8, 2005), and the Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) of 2007 (Public Law 110-140, December 19, 2007). Section 932 of the EPAct legislation includes provisions directing that DOE carry out a program of bioenergy research, development, demonstration, and deployment for the development of advanced biofuels from biomass. BETO has utilized this statutory authority to implement its algal biofuels and bioproducts program (the Advanced Algal Systems Program). Section 202 of EISA includes provisions that establish a Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS). The final Renewable Fuel Standard 2 (RFS2) rules issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in March, 2010 require the production of 36 billion gallons per year of renewable fuels by 2022 with annual requirements for advanced biofuels, including cellulosic biofuels and biomass-based diesel. Under the RFS2 rules, algal biomass qualifies as a renewable feedstock and biomass-based diesel generated from algal oils qualifies as an advanced biofuel.

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.



II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make approximately \$15,000,000 of Federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 1-10 awards under this FOA, with a combination of selections from each Topic Area. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$1.5 and \$2.5 million.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the topic areas. See Section 1.A for a details regarding the topic areas.

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed. Before the expiration of the initial budget period(s), EERE may perform a down-select among different recipients and provide additional funding only to a subset of recipients.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length, comprised of more than one period. Project continuation will be contingent upon satisfactory performance and go/no-go decision review. At the go/no-go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE will make a determination to continue the project, re-direct the project, or discontinue funding the project.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through Cooperative Agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA



objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients.

Through Cooperative Agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via Cooperative Agreement. See Section VI.B.IX of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs

In most cases, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are funded independently of the remainder of the Project Team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC Project Team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the Prime Recipient for the project will remain the Prime Recipient for the project.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these initial requirements, it will be considered non-responsive, removed from further evaluation, and ineligible for any award.

BETO encourages partnering; therefore, both Topic Areas are directed at small teams and/or consortia, led by industry and academia. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are encouraged to participate by partnering with industry and academia but are not eligible as applicants or Prime Recipients under this FOA. See Section III.E.i, for more information regarding eligibility requirements and FFRDC participation.



A. Eligible Applicants

i. Individuals

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

ii. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular State or territory of the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient. See Section III.E.i for more information regarding FFRDC participation.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

iii. Foreign Entities

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the "Individuals" or "Domestic Entities" sections above, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a Prime Recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States to be the Prime Recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the Prime Recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the Prime Recipient on an award). To do so, the Applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a Subrecipient.

iv. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States, please refer to "Domestic Entities" above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in "Foreign Entities" above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

v. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the Prime Recipient/consortium representative. The Prime Recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the Prime Recipient/consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles

of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

B. Cost Sharing

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the Government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-Federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices A and B to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the Prime Recipient, the Prime Recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient's cost share obligation is expressed in the Assistance Agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.



ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each Project Team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.I.i of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/Contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal Program.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same Federal regulations as Federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 & 10 CFR 603.525-555 for additional guidance on cost sharing.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-Federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the Prime Recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, Prime Recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the Prime Recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the Prime Recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the Prime Recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

<u>below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions</u>, including Letters of Intent and Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

1. Letters of Intent

Letters of Intent are deemed compliant if:

• The applicant entered all required information and clicked the "Create Submission" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

2. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Letter of Intent;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.



3. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All "Applications Specifically Not of Interest," as described in Section I.C of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a Subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the [Enter Laboratory Name] Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.



3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The Prime Recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

The FFRDC effort, in aggregate, shall not exceed 49% of the total estimated cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the effort.

FFRDCs are encouraged to participate as sub-recipients by partnering within industry- and/or academia-led applications. FFRDCs are not eligible to apply as the Prime Recipient.

F. Limitation on Number of Full Applications Eligible for Review

Applicants may submit more than one Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include submission of a Letter of Intent and a Full Application. Only applicants who have submitted a compliant Letter of Intent will be eligible to submit a Full Application. At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/, unless specifically stated otherwise. EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete submissions. EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion. A control number will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all Application documents, as described below.

The Full Application and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise.
- Each must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies.
 References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement.
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header on every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If



applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time. Letters of Intent will be used by EERE to plan for the merit review process. Therefore, a Letter of Intent must be submitted for each application submitted by an applicant (See Section III.F regarding the submittal of multiple applications by the same applicant). Letters of Intent should not contain any proprietary or sensitive business information. Letters of Intent will not be used for down-selection purposes, and do not commit an applicant to submit an application. See Section IV.B.i for required content and form of Letters of Intent.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Full Applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made, the applicant must resubmit the Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Letters of Intent and Full Applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.i of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission <u>PRIOR</u> to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the Application should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for



assistance (<u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u>). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist Applicants in resolving issues.

Applicants that experience issues with submissions that result in late submissions: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties so severe that they are unable to submit their application by the deadline, the applicant should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist the applicant in resolving all issues (including finalizing submission on behalf of and with the applicant's concurrence). PLEASE NOTE, however, those applicants who are unable to submit their application on time due to their waiting until the last minute when network traffic is at its heaviest to submit their materials will not be able to use this process.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_1
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_2, etc.

i. Content and Form of the Letter of Intent

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time. Letters of Intent will be used by EERE to plan for the merit review process. Letters of Intent should not contain any proprietary or sensitive business information. Letters of Intent will not be used for down-selection purposes, and do not commit an applicant to submit an application.

Each applicant must provide the following information as part of the Letter of Intent:



- Project Title;
- Lead Organization;
- Lead Organization Type (Business < 500 Employees; Business > 1000 Employees; Business 500-1000 Employees; Government-Owned, Government Operated; Non-Profit; University);
- Whether the Application has been previously submitted to EERE;
- % of effort contributed by the Lead Organization;
- The Project Team, including:
 - o The Principal Investigator for the Prime Recipient;
 - o Team Members (i.e., Subrecipients); and
 - Key Participants (i.e., individuals who contribute in a substantive, measureable way to the execution of the proposed project);
- Technical Topic or Area; and
- Abstract The abstract provided should be not more than 200 words in length, and should provide a truncated explanation of the proposed project.

C. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will receive a control number upon submission of their Letter of Intent, and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., ControlNumber Applicant Name Full Application).

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant.

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application.



Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Submission	Components	File Name
Full Application	Technical Volume (See Chart in Section IV.D.2)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Technic alVolume
(PDF, unless stated	Statement of Project Objectives (Microsoft Word format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
otherwise)	SF-424 Budget Justification (EERE 335)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424 ControlNumber LeadOrganization Budget
	(Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	_Justification
	Summary for Public Release (1 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summa ry
	Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
	Subrecipient Budget Justification, if applicable (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
	Budget for FFRDC, if applicable Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC, if	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCA uth
	applicable SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
	Foreign Entity and Performance of Work in the United States waiver requests, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
	U.S. Manufacturing Plans	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP
	Data Management Plan	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP
	Technical and Financial Datasheet	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechFin

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_1 ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_2, etc.



EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.i of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber LeadOrganization TechnicalVolume".

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources. Therefore, applicants must describe key claims, supporting data, etc. within the narrative page limit and must not direct reviewers to references for evaluation of these materials. The bibliography can be attached to the narrative as an appendix and does not count towards the page limit.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 25 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.i of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT (25 Pages Maximum)	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.

Project Overview (This	The Project Overview should contain the following information:
section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	 Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.
Technical Description,	The Technical Description should contain the following information:
Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	 Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state of the art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state of the art/technical baseline if the project is successful. For Topic Area 1, the Applicant should include how they plan to account for carbon utilization in their proposed system. Similarly, for Topic Area 2, the Applicant should include how they intend to account for the CO₂ directly captured AND how that CO₂ could be transferred/distributed to a cultivation system.
Workplan (This section should constitute approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)	The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure, Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:

- Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes.
- Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on go/no-go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period. The initial/first performance period must be solely for the verification task and must be separated from the remaining scope of the project by a go/no go decision point.
- Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard work breakdown structure (WBS) for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as "we will then complete a proprietary process" is unacceptable). It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks.
- Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO.
- Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide go/no-go decision points at appropriate

	points in the Workplan. A go/no-go decision point is a risk	
	management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance,	
	technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success	
	in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to	
	actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that	
	each project must have at least one project-wide go/no-go	
	decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of	
	the project. The Applicant should also provide the specific	
	technical criteria to be used to make the go/no-go decision. The	
	summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/no-go	
	decision points are considered "SMART" and can fulfill the	
	requirement for an annual SMART milestone.	
	End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of	
	the end of project goal(s). Unless otherwise specified in the FOA,	
	the minimum requirement is that each project must have one	
	SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be	
	consistent with the SOPO.	
	Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should	
	provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and	
	subtask durations, milestones, and go/no-go decision points.	
	 Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team's 	
	proposed management plan, including the following:	
	The overall approach to and organization for managing	
	the work	
	The roles of each Project Team member	
	Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among Project	
	Team members	
	The technical and management aspects of the	
	management plan, including systems and practices, such	
	as financial and project management practices	
	The approach to project risk management	
	A description of how project changes will be handled If anylicable, the approach to Quality Assurance (Control	
	If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control How communications will be maintained among Project	
	 How communications will be maintained among Project Team members 	
Technical Qualifications	The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following	
and Resources and	information:	
Market Transformation		
Plan (Approximately	Describe the Project Team's unique qualifications and expertise,	
20% of the Technical	including those of key Subrecipients.	
Volume)	Describe the Project Team's existing equipment and facilities that	
	will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project;	
	include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project.	
	as part or the project.	

	This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts,	
	demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to	
	achieve the project objectives.	
	Describe the time commitment of the key team members to	
	support the project.	
	Attach one-page resumes for key participating team members as	
	an appendix. Resumes do not count towards the page	
	limit. Multi-page resumes are not allowed.	
	 Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA 	
	FFRDCs, if applicable.	
	 Attach letters of commitment from all Subrecipient/third party 	
	cost share providers as an appendix. Letters of commitment do	
	not count towards the page limit.	
	 Attach any letters of support from partners/end users as an 	
	appendix (1 page maximum per letter). Letters of support do not	
	count towards the page limit.	
	For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe	
	succinctly:	
	 The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and 	
	Key Participant;	
	 Business agreements between the applicant and each PI 	
	and Key Participant;	
	 How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; 	
	 Process for making decisions on scientific/technical 	
	direction;	
	 Publication arrangements; 	
	o Intellectual Property issues; and	
	o Communication plans	
	Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a	
	market transformation plan, including the following:	
	 Identification of target market, competitors, and 	
	distribution channels for proposed technology along with	
	known or perceived barriers to market penetration,	
	including a mitigation plan	
	o Identification of a product development and/or service	
	plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product	
	marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including	
	intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data	
	dissemination, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product	
	distribution.	
Technical/Financial Data		
Sheet	requested. The baseline, interim, and final targets must be provided	
	relative to the Applicant's proposed process.	
	I see the see see to share shares.	



iii. Statement of Project Objectives

Applicants are required to complete a Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO). A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must be written for printing using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO".

iv. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424".

v. Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved rate agreement, vendor quotes). Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

vi. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the

proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Summary".

vii.Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. Save the Summary Slide in a single file using the following convention for the title "_ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology Summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, Prime Recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

viii. Subrecipient Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification, EERE 335 (i.e., budget justification for each budget year and a cumulative budget) for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".



ix. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1, Work Authorization System. DOE Order 412.1 and DOE O 412.1 (Field Work Proposal form) area available at the following link, under "DOE Budget Forms":

https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-admchg1/@@images/file. Save the FWP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber LeadOrganization FWP".

x. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization FFRDCAuth".

xi. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-Federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xii. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable)

1. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.3, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be</u> included in a request to waive this requirement.

2. Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.I.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement.

xiii. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

As part of the application, applicants are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

The weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans during the review and selection process varies based on the particular FOA. Applicants should review Section V.A.i of this FOA to determine the weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans under this FOA.

A U.S. Manufacturing Plan should contain the following or similar preamble: "If selected for funding, the applicant agrees to the following commitments as a condition of that funding:" and, after the preamble, the plan should include one or more specific and measureable commitments. For example,

an applicant may commit particular types of products to be manufactured in the U.S. In addition to or instead of making a commitment tied to a particular product, the applicant may make other types of commitments still beneficial to U.S. manufacturing. An applicant may commit to a particular investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. (i.e., final assembly) or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology and manufacturing. For an applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. manufacturing plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

When an applicant that is a domestic small business, domestic educational institution, or nonprofit organization is selected for an award, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the applicant becomes part of the terms and conditions of the award. The applicant/awardee may request a waiver or modification of the U.S. Manufacturing Plan from DOE upon a showing that the original U.S. Manufacturing Plan is no longer economically feasible.

When an applicant that is a domestic large business is selected for an award, a class patent waiver applies as set forth in Section VIII. K. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of an invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the award will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

For other entity types that are selected for award, please see Section VIII.K regarding U.S. manufacturing commitments.

xiv. Data Management Plan

Applicants are required to submit a Data Management Plan with their Full Application. The Data Management Plan is a document that outlines the proposed plan for data sharing or preservation. Submission of a Data Management Plan with the Full Application is required; failure to submit a complete Data Management Plan may result in a determination of non-



compliance for your Full Application. Guidance for preparing a Data Management Plan is included in Appendix D of the FOA.

D. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will post the Reviewer Comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.

E. Post-Award Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to:

Indirect cost information



- Other budget information
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Environmental Questionnaire
- Intellectual Property Management Plan, per the CO's discretion

F. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or Federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the Federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a Federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a Federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a Federal award, the DOE may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

G. Submission Dates and Times

Letters of Intent, Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern on the date provided on the cover page of this FOA.

H. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

I. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs



All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable Federal cost principles for more information:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and **only** with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis. Pre-award costs can only be incurred if such costs would be reimbursable under the agreement if incurred after award.

Pre-Award expenditures are made at the Selectee's risk; EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the Selectee anticipated.

1. Pre-Award Costs Related to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute Federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse costs where the Prime Recipient incurred the costs prior to receiving written

authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Likewise, if a project is selected for negotiation of award, and the Prime Recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the Prime Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal Funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE Awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The Prime Recipient must flow down this requirement to its Subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the Prime Recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The Prime Recipient is responsible should any work under this Award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of if the work is performed by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included



<u>in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States</u> requirement.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file titled "ControlNumber_PerformanceofWork_Waiver". The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

No ground breaking or major construction activities for cultivation system installation can be undertaken as part of this FOA. Modifications to existing experimental infrastructure at one or more of the partnering facilities is allowable. Capital expenditure for analytical tools and instrumentation to enhance existing infrastructure is allowable.

Partnering to utilize outdoor cultivation systems and corresponding preprocessing systems is highly encouraged such as the use of the Program's partners' algae test bed facilities. (Arizona State University Testbeds – http://azcati.com; University of Arizona Testbeds – http://raft.arizona.edu)

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.



Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. The rules for property disposition are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316 as amended by 2 CFR 910.360.

vii. Lobbying

Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-Federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

viii. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a Federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-Federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict Federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal programs or activities.

ix. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories
- Timesheets or personnel hours report
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period
- Analogous information for some subrecipients
- Other items as required by DOE

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

<u>Technical Merit and Innovation</u>

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Topic Area 1 extent to which the proposed improved CO₂ utilization results in increased productivity and decreased costs; Topic Area 2 – extent to which the proposed direct air capture system results in an economically viable and technically feasible system for use in algae cultivation;
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the
 proposed work is scientifically meritorious, revolutionary, and at a
 minimum TRL 2 but below TRL 4, including relevant data, calculations
 and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that
 support the viability of the proposed work;



- Extent to which applicant demonstrates their familiarity with their proposed algae cultivation system and the improvement their CO₂ technology will provide relative to their own baseline for algae cultivation; and
- Extent to which the project supports the presentation and discussion of the mass and energy balances within the application.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art specifically in relation to lowering the cost of producing algal biofuels and bioproducts.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Workplan (30%)

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered;
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals; and
- Degree to which the information requested in the technical/financial data sheet template is provided, supports the workplan, and is accompanied by baseline justifications (i.e., experimental data or relevant literature).

<u>Identification of Technical Risks</u>

 Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.



Criterion 3: Team and Resources and Market Transformation Plan (20%)

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product distribution.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "Department of Energy Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," which is available at:

https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The degree to which the proposed project collectively represents diverse types and sizes of application organizations;
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represents a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications).

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the



applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iii. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

iv. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award by August 2018 and making awards by September 2018.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices



i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Letters of Intent Notifications

EERE will not notify applicants of the receipt and eligibility of Letters of Intent.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the Prime Recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.I.ii of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.



v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for Federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov.

This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. This step is required to apply to this FOA.

The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, <u>the</u> <u>remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.</u>



2. DUNS Number

Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called an MPIN are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at https://www.fedconnect.net. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect Ready Set Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Letters of Intent, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the Department of Energy, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access to DOE Sites

All applicants that ultimately enter into an award resulting from this FOA will be subject to the following requirement concerning foreign national involvement. Upon DOE's request, Prime Recipients must provide information to facilitate DOE's responsibilities associated with foreign

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>AlgaeCUFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

national access to DOE sites, information, technologies, and equipment. A foreign national is defined as any person who was born outside the jurisdiction of the United States, is a citizen of a foreign government, and has not been naturalized under U.S. law. If the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients, contractors or vendors under the award, anticipate utilizing a foreign national person in the performance of an award, the Prime Recipient is responsible for providing to the Contracting Officer specific information of the foreign national(s) to satisfy compliance with all of the requirements for access approval.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime Recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier Subrecipients. Prime Recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC 4321, et seq.). NEPA requires Federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at http://nepa.energy.gov/.

While NEPA compliance is a Federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the Federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.



vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the Prime Recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

- 2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:
 - a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months, and
 - b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both forprofit and non-profit organizations.

- **3.** Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:
 - a. It does not and will not require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a



Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

- b. It does not and will not use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) "These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."
 - (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
 - (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also

make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal Federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE Awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports, providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in usual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under Awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the Award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the Project.
- 2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this Award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
- 3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the Project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the Project at that the Go/No Go decision point(s).
- 4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.
- 5. In order to adequately monitor project progress and provide technical direction and/or redirection to the Recipient, DOE must be provided an adequate level of insight into various Recipient activities. Government insight activities include but are not limited to access for DOE's consultants to perform independent evaluations of Recipient's plans and processes. (Consultants to DOE may not provide technical direction and/or redirection to the Recipient.)

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>AlgaeCUFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

6. DOE will be actively involved with the Recipient in verifying the current technology readiness level of the project (and specific unit operations) as well as establishing the project technology baseline and interim and concluding performance metrics. This includes working with the Recipient to generate the baseline technical and financial data sheet that will then be updated periodically throughout the project.

x. Intellectual Property (IP) Management Plan

At the discretion of the Contracting Officer (CO), an IP Management Plan may be required after selection or after initial award. If required, the CO will notify the applicant or Recipient as to when the executed IP Management Plan is due.

The award will set forth the treatment of and obligations related to intellectual property rights between EERE and the individual members. The IP Management Plan should describe how the members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with Federal IP laws, regulations, and policies (see Sections VIII.K-VIII.N of this FOA for more details on applicable Federal IP laws and regulations). Guidance regarding the contents of IP Management Plans is available from EERE upon request.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of items that the IP Management Plan may cover:

- The treatment of confidential information between members (i.e., the use of non-disclosure agreements);
- The treatment of background IP (e.g., any requirements for identifying it or making it available);
- The treatment of inventions made under the project (e.g., any requirements for disclosing to the other members, filing patent applications, paying for patent prosecution, and cross-licensing or other licensing arrangements between the members);
- The treatment of data produced, including software, under the project (e.g., any publication process or other dissemination strategies, copyrighting strategy or arrangement between members);
- Any technology transfer and commercialization requirements or arrangements between the members;
- The treatment of any intellectual property issues that may arise due to a change in membership of the consortia or team; and



 The handling of disputes related to intellectual property between the members.

xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each Prime Recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for 10 years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by Prime Recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the Prime Recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xii. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/financing/resources.html.

xiii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. The checklist can be accessed at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/financing/resources.html.

The information requested in the technical/financial datasheet template at the time of application as well as during the verification task for selected and awarded projects, must be updated annually at a minimum and at go/no go decision points throughout the project.

All awardees are required to develop, update, and report on their technoeconomic and life cycle analyses (including baseline) throughout the project. The final report must include the final techno-economic and life cycle analyses incorporating data/information/analyses developed throughout the project.

A Project Management Plan (PMP) will be due for selected and awarded projects thirty days after award and must be updated annually at a minimum.

As a steward of taxpayer funds, BETO recognizes the importance of tracking, documenting and analyzing the outcomes of Federally funded awards.

Investments in bioenergy applied R&D often do not immediately translate into impactful commercial products and processes. BETO is interested in evaluating both the short-term progress and the long-term impact of its investments. If a project is selected for award negotiations, a letter of commitment from selectees to reporting beyond the end of the period of performance for at least five years will be required. Receipt of the required information during award negotiations enables BETO to improve future funding opportunities to achieve better outcomes for the high-risk, high-reward technologies it seeks to support.

Therefore, Prime Recipients must agree to provide annual updates (consisting of no more than 600 words) for at least five years following the conclusion of the award, describing technical and economic updates to the technology developed under the BETO award. This may include but is not limited to the following: how the results from the project have been leveraged and have led to commercialization efforts; creation of jobs; subsequent awards; formation of new partnerships; building of new facilities; testing at increased scales; patents and licenses awarded; purchase of technologies and/or companies; and if the awardee sells technologies or the company itself.

xiv. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. Federal funding beyond the Go/No Go decision point (continuation funding), is contingent on (1) the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) meeting the objectives, milestones, deliverables, and decision point criteria of recipient's approved project and obtaining approval from EERE to continue work on the project; and (3) the submittal of required reports in accordance with the Statement of Project Objectives.

The first go/no go decision point will occur at the conclusion of the initial verification task (Task 1, Performance/Budget Period 1) that must be included in all applications.

As a result of the Go/No Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>AlgaeCUFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xvi. UCC Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with Federal Funds, and when the Federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the contracting officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the Recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with Federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the Government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the Federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the contracting officer may direct.



xvii. BETO Program Requirements

- Applicants that receive awards through this FOA will, in coordination with BETO, issue press releases at award and at successful completion of the project to highlight the positive aspects of the work.
- Applicants that receive awards through this FOA will submit to DOE during the award and for five years after the award an annual report on the utilization and impact of the work in the project (such as: papers; presentations; additional awards; investment; joint ventures; additional construction; and advancement towards the market) that is affiliated with the FOA award. This report will be for DOE internal use only. Please also refer to section VI.B.ix for specific administrative reporting requirements regarding subject inventions, which are in no way diminished or replaced.
- Applicants that receive awards through this FOA will also be required to participate in DOE's Peer Review Process. Currently this is a biannual process that includes preparation of a presentation and participation/presentation at the Peer Review Meeting. This activity must be accounted for within each applicant's scope, schedule, and budget.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: AlgaeCUFOA@ee.de.gov. Questions must be submitted not later than 3 business days prior to the application due date and time.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: https://eere-exchange.energy.gov. Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA. EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information



A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

In general, EERE will only use data and other information contained in applications for evaluation purposes, unless such information is generally available to the public or is already the property of the Government.

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA.

The use of protective markings such as "Do Not Publicly Release – Trade Secret" or "Do Not Publicly Release – Confidential Business Information" is encouraged. However, applicants should be aware that the use of protective markings is not dispositive as to whether information will be publicly released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175. (See Section VIII.H of this document, "Notice of Potential Disclosure Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" for additional information regarding the public release of information under the Freedom of Information Act.)

Applicants are encouraged to employ protective markings in the following manner:



The cover sheet of the application must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential, and is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged must be marked as follows: "May contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential and exempt from public disclosure."

In addition, each line or paragraph containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential must be enclosed in brackets.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Review and Peer Review, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest and non-disclosure agreements prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Under the Freedom of Information Act, (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, any information received from the Applicant is considered to be an agency record, and as such, subject to public release under FOIA. The purpose of the FOIA is to afford the public the right to request and receive agency records unless those agency records are protected from disclosure under one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions. Decisions to disclose or withhold information received from the Applicant are based upon the applicability of one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions, not on the existence or nonexistence of protective markings or designations. Only the agency's designated FOIA Officer may determine if information received from the Applicant may be withheld pursuant to one of the nine FOIA exemptions. All FOIA requests received by DOE are processed in accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 1004.

I. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

J. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Letters of Intent, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.



K. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions.
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C.
 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).
- Class Patent Waiver: DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA.
 Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.
- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.
- Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC): Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. For example, the commitments and enforcement of a U.S. Manufacturing Plan may be tied to subject inventions. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.



L. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

i. Government Use License

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

ii. March-In Rights

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a Prime Recipient, Subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

M. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>AlgaeCUFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

"Limited Rights Data": The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. Government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated ("Protected Data"). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

N. Copyright

The Prime Recipient and Subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the Government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the Government.

O. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the Applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The term "personally identifiable information" refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, etc. alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother's maiden name, etc. (See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf



By way of example, Applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, phone/cell numbers, personal emails and/or SSNs. In short, if the PII is not essential to the application, it should not be in the application.

P. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a Prime Recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual Compliance Audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of Federal awards during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and sub-recipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.



Appendix A – Cost Share Information

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms "cost sharing" and "cost matching" are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term "cost sharing," as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here "cost matching" for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. Following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Total Project Cost Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus Federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
 Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%) Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing.



The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE award

- Cash Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment, etc. for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
- 2. In Kind Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies, etc. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification (EERE 335). All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification (EERE 335).
- 3. Funds from other Federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC sub-recipients. Non-Federal sources include any source not originally derived from Federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.



4. Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

- (A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the Prime Recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:
 - (1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
 - (2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
 - (3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
 - (4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A–122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations
 - b. Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
 - (5) They are not paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
 - (6) They are provided for in the approved budget.



(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:
 - a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.



- b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
 - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.
- (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
 - a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
 - b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

Appendix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2,000,000 in Federal funds with four tasks requiring different Non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
	Share		
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost) Task 1 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share \$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)
Task 2 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share
\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (Non-federal share)



The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal	% Federal	\$ Non-Federal	% Non-Federal	Total Project
	Share	Share	Share	Share	Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (Non-federal) Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (Federal)



Appendix C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in the United States

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.3, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation* as the Prime Recipient requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the Prime Recipient;
- Country of incorporation;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the Prime Recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP;
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.J.3, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a



waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. ("foreign work");
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
 - How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and Recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.



Appendix D - Data Management Plan

A data management plan ("DMP") explains how data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved or, when justified, explains why data sharing or preservation is not possible or scientifically appropriate.

DMP Requirements

In order for a DMP to be considered acceptable, the DMP must address the following:

At a minimum, the DMP must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.

The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible in accordance with the principles stated above. This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.

The DMP should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed work. In particular, a DMP that explicitly or implicitly commits data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at DOE User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other DOE facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

The DMP must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all laws (i.e., export control laws), and DOE regulations, orders, and policies.



Data Determination for a DMP

The Principal Investigator should determine which data should be the subject of the DMP and, in the DMP, propose which data should be shared and/or preserved in accordance with the DMP Requirements noted above.

For data that will be generated through the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate what types of data should be protected from immediate public disclosure by DOE (referred to as "protected data") and what types of data that DOE should be able to release immediately. Similarly, for data developed outside of the proposed work at private expense that will be used in the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate whether that type of data will be subject to public release or kept confidential (referred to as "limited rights data"). Any use of limited rights data or labeling of data as "protected data" must be consistent with the DMP Requirements noted above.

Suggested Elements for a DMP

The following list of elements for a DMP provides suggestions regarding the data management planning process and the structure of the DMP:

Data Types and Sources: A brief, high-level description of the data to be generated or used through the course of the proposed work and which of these are considered digital research data necessary to validate the research findings or results.

Content and Format: A statement of plans for data and metadata content and format including, where applicable, a description of documentation plans, annotation of relevant software, and the rationale for the selection of appropriate standards. Existing, accepted community standards should be used where possible. Where community standards are missing or inadequate, the DMP could propose alternate strategies for facilitating sharing, and should advise the sponsoring program of any need to develop or generalize standards.

Sharing and Preservation: A description of the plans for data sharing and preservation. This should include, when appropriate: the anticipated means for sharing and the rationale for any restrictions on who may access the data and under what conditions; a timeline for sharing and preservation that addresses both the minimum length of time the data will be available and any anticipated delay to data access after research findings are published; any special requirements for data sharing, for example, proprietary software needed to access or interpret data, applicable policies, provisions, and licenses for re-use and re-distribution, and for the production of derivatives, including guidance for how data and data products should be cited; any resources and capabilities (equipment, connections,



systems, software, expertise, etc.) requested in the research proposal that are needed to meet the stated goals for sharing and preservation (this could reference the relevant section of the associated research proposal and budget request); and whether/where the data will be preserved after direct project funding ends and any plans for the transfer of responsibilities for sharing and preservation.

Protection: A statement of plans, where appropriate and necessary, to protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; and avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness.

Rationale: A discussion of the rationale or justification for the proposed data management plan including, for example, the potential impact of the data within the immediate field and in other fields, and any broader societal impact.

Additional Guidance

In determining which data should be shared and preserved, researchers must consider the data needed to validate research findings as described in the Requirements, and are encouraged to consider the potential benefits of their data to their own fields of research, fields other than their own, and society at large.

DMPs should reflect relevant standards and community best practices and make use of community accepted repositories whenever practicable.

Costs associated with the scope of work and resources articulated in a DMP may be included in the proposed research budget as permitted by the applicable cost principles.

To improve the discoverability of and attribution for datasets created and used in the course of research, EERE encourages the citation of publicly available datasets within the reference section of publications, and the identification of datasets with persistent identifiers such as Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs). In most cases, EERE can provide DOIs free of charge for data resulting from DOE-funded research through its Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) DataID Service.

EERE's Digital Data Management principles can be found at: <u>EERE Digital Data Management |</u>
Department of Energy



Definitions

Data Preservation: Data preservation means providing for the usability of data beyond the lifetime of the research activity that generated them.

Data Sharing: Data sharing means making data available to people other than those who have generated them. Examples of data sharing range from bilateral communications with colleagues, to providing free, unrestricted access to anyone through, for example, a webbased platform.

Digital Research Data: The term digital data encompasses a wide variety of information stored in digital form including: experimental, observational, and simulation data; codes, software and algorithms; text; numeric information; images; video; audio; and associated metadata. It also encompasses information in a variety of different forms including raw, processed, and analyzed data, published and archived data.

Research Data: The recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings, but not any of the following: preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer reviews, or communications with colleagues. This 'recorded' material excludes physical objects (e.g., laboratory samples). Research data also do not include:

- (A) Trade secrets, commercial information, materials necessary to be held confidential by a researcher until they are published, or similar information which is protected under law; and
- (B) Personnel and medical information and similar information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, such as information that could be used to identify a particular person in a research study."

Validate: In the context of DMPs, validate means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses; comparing and contrasting the results against those of a new experiment or analyses; or by some other means.



Appendix E – DOE Technology Readiness Levels

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs): Identify the readiness level of the technology associated with the project as well as the planned progression during the course of project execution. A detailed explanation of the rationale for the estimated technology readiness level should be provided. Specific entry criteria for the next higher technology readiness level should be identified. The following definitions apply:

- **TRL-1**. Basic principles observed and reported: Scientific problem or phenomenon identified. Essential characteristics and behaviors of systems and architectures are identified using mathematical formulations or algorithms. The observation of basic scientific principles or phenomena has been validated through peer-reviewed research. Technology is ready to transition from scientific research to applied research.
- **TRL-2**. Technology concept and/or application formulated: Applied research activity. Theory and scientific principles are focused on specific application areas to define the concept. Characteristics of the application are described. Analytical tools are developed for simulation or analysis of the application.
- **TRL-3**. Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept: Proof of concept validation has been achieved at this level. Experimental research and development is initiated with analytical and laboratory studies. System/integrated process requirements for the overall system application are well known. Demonstration of technical feasibility using immature prototype implementations are exercised with representative interface inputs to include electrical, mechanical, or controlling elements to validate predictions.
- **TRL-4**. Component and/or process validation in laboratory environment- Alpha prototype (component) Standalone prototyping implementation and testing in laboratory environment demonstrates the concept. Integration and testing of component technology elements are sufficient to validate feasibility.
- **TRL-5**. Component and/or process validation in relevant environment- Beta prototype (component): Thorough prototype testing of the component/process in relevant environment to the end user is performed. Basic technology elements are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements based on available technologies. Prototyping implementations conform to the target environment and interfaces.
- **TRL-6**. System/process model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment- Beta prototype (system): Prototyping implementations are partially integrated with existing systems. Engineering feasibility fully demonstrated in actual or high fidelity system applications in an environment relevant to the end user.
- **TRL-7**. System/process prototype demonstration in an operational environment- Integrated pilot (system): System prototyping demonstration in operational environment. System is at or near full scale (pilot or engineering scale) of the operational system, with most functions



available for demonstration and test. The system, component, or process is integrated with collateral and ancillary systems in a near production quality prototype.

TRL-8. Actual system/process completed and qualified through test and demonstration- Precommercial demonstration: End of system development. Full-scale system is fully integrated into operational environment with fully operational hardware and software systems. All functionality is tested in simulated and operational scenarios with demonstrated achievement of end-user specifications. Technology is ready to move from development to commercialization.