

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)**

**Platform Technologies
for Transformative Battery Manufacturing**

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0003236

FOA Type: Initial

Assistance Listing Number: [81.086]

FOA Issue Date:	1/29/2024
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	3/4/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	5/7/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	6/7/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	8/27/2024
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations:	Fall 2024

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00 p.m. ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>, EERE’s online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.
- **Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)** - Each applicant (unless the applicant is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110) is required to: (1) register in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting an application; (2) provide a valid UEI number in the application; and (3) maintain an active SAM registration with current information when the applicant has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully

complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

NOTE: Due to the high number of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process they should use the [HELP](#) feature on [SAM.gov](#). SAM.gov will address service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	i
I. Funding Opportunity Description	5
A. Background and Context	5
i. Background and Purpose.....	5
ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals	6
B. Topic Areas	8
i. Topic Area 1: Platforms for Next Generation Battery Manufacturing.....	8
ii. Topic Area 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production	22
C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest.....	27
D. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion	29
E. Authorizing Statutes.....	31
II. Award Information	31
A. Award Overview	31
i. Estimated Funding.....	31
ii. Period of Performance	32
iii. New Applications Only	32
B. EERE Funding Agreements	32
i. Cooperative Agreements.....	33
ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)	33
III. Eligibility Information	33
A. Eligible Applicants	33
i. Domestic Entities.....	33
ii. Foreign Entities.....	34
B. Cost Sharing.....	34
i. Legal Responsibility	35
ii. Cost Share Allocation.....	35
iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability.....	35
iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	37
v. Cost Share Verification	37
vi. Cost Share Payment.....	37
C. Compliance Criteria	37
D. Responsiveness Criteria.....	38
E. Other Eligibility Requirements	38
i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Listed as the Applicant.....	38
ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Included as a Subrecipient	39
F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review	40
G. Questions Regarding Eligibility.....	41
IV. Application and Submission Information	41
A. Application Process	41
i. Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE	41
B. Application Forms	42
C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper	42
D. Content and Form of the Full Application	43
i. Full Application Content Requirements.....	44
ii. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance.....	45

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFog@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

iii.	Technical Volume	45
iv.	Resumes	50
v.	Letters of Commitment	51
vi.	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)	52
vii.	Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan.....	52
viii.	Budget Justification Workbook	53
ix.	Summary for Public Release	54
x.	Summary Slide	54
xi.	Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)	54
xii.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)	55
xiii.	Authorization for Non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)	55
xiv.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	55
xv.	Waiver Requests (if applicable).....	56
xvi.	Current and Pending Support.....	56
xvii.	Locations of Work.....	59
xviii.	Transparency of Foreign Connections	60
xix.	Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice	61
E.	Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	61
F.	Post Selection Information Requests	62
G.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)	63
H.	Submission Dates and Times	63
I.	Intergovernmental Review.....	63
J.	Funding Restrictions	63
i.	Allowable Costs	63
ii.	Pre-Award Costs	64
iii.	Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver).....	65
iv.	Construction	65
v.	Foreign Travel	65
vi.	Equipment and Supplies.....	66
vii.	Build America Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects	66
viii.	Lobbying	67
ix.	Risk Assessment.....	67
x.	Invoice Review and Approval	68
xi.	Prohibition Related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs	69
xii.	Affirmative Action and Pay Transparency Requirements.....	70
xiii.	Foreign Collaboration Considerations	70
V.	Application Review Information	71
A.	Technical Review Criteria	71
i.	Concept Papers.....	71
ii.	Full Applications	72
iii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments	76
B.	Standards for Application Evaluation	76
C.	Other Selection Factors	76
i.	Program Policy Factors	76
D.	Evaluation and Selection Process	77
i.	Overview.....	77
ii.	Pre-Selection Clarification	77
iii.	Recipient Responsibility and Qualifications.....	78
iv.	Selection	78
E.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates	78
VI.	Award Administration Information.....	78

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFog@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

A.	Award Notices	79
i.	Ineligible Submissions.....	79
ii.	Concept Paper Notifications.....	79
iii.	Full Application Notifications.....	79
iv.	Applicants Selected for Award Negotiations	79
v.	Alternate Selection Determinations	80
vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	80
B.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	80
i.	Registration Requirements	80
ii.	Award Administrative Requirements	82
iii.	Foreign National Participation.....	82
iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting.....	82
v.	National Policy Requirements	82
vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	82
vii.	Flood Resilience.....	83
viii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications	83
ix.	Statement of Federal Stewardship	85
x.	Statement of Substantial Involvement	85
xi.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting.....	86
xii.	Intellectual Property Provisions.....	86
xiii.	Reporting	86
xiv.	Go/No-Go Review	87
xv.	Conference Spending.....	88
xvi.	Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements	88
xvii.	Real Property and Equipment	89
xviii.	Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech, and Religious Liberty	89
xix.	Participants and Collaborating Organizations	90
xx.	Current and Pending Support.....	90
xxi.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments	90
xxii.	Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance	91
xxiii.	Data Management Plan	92
xxiv.	Fraud, Waste, and Abuse.....	92
VII.	Questions/Agency Contacts	93
VIII.	Other Information	93
A.	FOA Modifications	93
B.	Government Right to Reject or Negotiate.....	94
C.	Commitment of Public Funds	94
D.	Treatment of Application Information	94
E.	Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	95
F.	Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities	95
G.	Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability.....	95
H.	Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	96
I.	Retention of Submissions.....	96
J.	Title to Subject Inventions.....	96
K.	Government Rights in Subject Inventions	97
L.	Rights in Technical Data	98
M.	Copyright	99
N.	Export Control	99
O.	Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment	99
P.	Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	99
Q.	Annual Independent Audits	100

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFog@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Appendix A – Cost Share Information 101

Appendix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage 106

Appendix C – Waiver Requests For: 1. Foreign Entity Participation; and 2. Foreign Work 108

Appendix D – Required Use of American Iron, Steel, Manufactured Products, and Construction Materials Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects..... 111

Appendix E – Definition of Technology Readiness Levels 115

Appendix F – List of Acronyms 116

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Background and Context

i. Background and Purpose

This funding opportunity announcement (FOA) is being issued by the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Technologies Office (AMMTO). The mission of AMMTO is to advance energy-related materials and manufacturing technologies to increase domestic competitiveness and build a clean, decarbonized economy. This is in alignment with AMMTO's vision for the future – a competitive U.S. manufacturing sector that accelerates the adoption of innovative material and manufacturing technologies in support of a clean, decarbonized economy.

Building a clean and equitable energy economy and addressing the climate crisis is a top priority of the Biden Administration. This FOA will advance the Biden Administration's goals to achieve carbon pollution-free electricity by 2035 and to “deliver an equitable, clean energy future, and put the United States on a path to achieve net-zero emissions, economy-wide, by no later than 2050”¹ to the benefit of all Americans. The Department of Energy is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering, catalyzing clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D), and ensuring environmental justice and inclusion of underserved communities. The manufacturing research and development (R&D) activities to be funded under this FOA will support the government-wide approach to the climate crisis by driving the innovation that can lead to the deployment of clean energy technologies, which are critical for climate protection.

This FOA supports the administration goals laid out above by advancing manufacturing platform technologies, which are techniques, tools, and equipment, that can be leveraged across a spectrum of manufacturing applications. The battery manufacturing platform technologies² in this FOA have the potential to make unique contributions to advance the Biden administration's goals of decarbonization of the electrical grid, industry, and transportation. Batteries are a critical element of the ongoing transition to an energy economy that is decarbonizing and lowering energy costs to American families and businesses. It is also critical to national competitiveness—for grid storage, for the resilience of homes and businesses, and for electrification of the transportation sector.

¹ Executive Order 14008, “Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad,” January 27, 2021.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

This FOA also aligns with several initiatives that highlight the importance of accelerating domestic capabilities for battery manufacturing. For example, DOE's Energy Storage Grand Challenge (ESGC) addresses the importance of domestic manufacturing for energy storage technologies that can meet all U.S. market demands by 2030.² The Long Duration Storage Shot (LDSS) sets targets towards developing the technology and manufacturing to reach its cost targets by establishing a new, U.S.-based manufacturing industry for storage products.³ Projects funded under this FOA will directly support domestic battery manufacturing as well as the goals of ESGC and LDSS.

ii. **Technology Space and Strategic Goals**

This FOA seeks applications to address advanced platform manufacturing technologies that enable improved performance, manufacturability, scalability, reproducibility, and circularity of batteries. This FOA seeks to leverage the technology and capabilities developed by academia, including minority serving institutions, entrepreneurs, and industry, including small businesses.

Manufacturing Landscape

The United States (U.S.) manufacturing sector uses 25% of the nation's energy, has an annual energy bill generally exceeding \$125 billion, and accounts for the vast majority of the broader industrial sector's carbon emissions.⁴ Additionally, in 2019, the U.S. manufacturing sector accounted for 11% of gross domestic product (GDP),⁵ directly employed 12.8 million people,⁶ and sold products valued at \$5.7 trillion.⁷ In order to produce these goods, U.S. manufacturing firms used over 24.3 quads of total primary energy for all purposes in 2018 (where a "quad" denotes one quadrillion (10^{15}) British thermal units (Btu)).⁸ In addition, because manufacturing is highly connected with other sectors of the

² DOE, "Energy Storage Grand Challenge," <https://www.energy.gov/energy-storage-grand-challenge/energy-storage-grand-challenge>.

³ DOE, "Long Duration Storage Shot," <https://www.energy.gov/eere/long-duration-storage-shot>, September 2021.

⁴ In "Energy Information Administration statistics," the industrial sector includes manufacturing, agriculture and forestry, mining, and construction.

⁵ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Value Added by Industry as Percentage of Gross Domestic Product (2019)," Last revised December 22, 2020. Available at:

<https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=150&step=2&isuri=1&categories=gdpixind>.

⁶ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts Tables – Section 6: Income and Employment History, Table 6.4D: Full-Time and Part-Time Employees by Industry (A), Last revised July 31, 2020. Available at: <https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=19&step=2>.

⁷ U.S. Census Bureau, "2019 Annual Survey of Manufactures Data," Release Number CP21-TPS.18. Released February 18, 2021. Available at: <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/annual-survey-of-manufactures-data.html>.

⁸ Estimated from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) 2018 MECS data and EIA Monthly Energy Review, February 2021. Available online at: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/manufacturing/data/2018/> and <https://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/>.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

economy, manufacturing activities stimulate economic activity beyond the manufacturing sector itself. Reports have indicated that every \$1.00 spent in the U.S. manufacturing sector generates between \$1.33 and \$1.92 in other services and more production—a multiplier higher than that of any other sector.⁹ As such, innovation in manufacturing also offers an opportunity to leverage economic growth across the U.S. economy and a positive effect on overall employment, with manufacturing-related employment ranging from mining to warehousing, as well as engineering, financial, and legal services. Advanced manufacturing technologies could have an even greater multiplier effect on employment than traditional manufacturing practices.

Battery Manufacturing Landscape

Addressing the issues of decarbonizing the economy requires multiple energy storage solutions for diverse situations. DOE has had a robust program in energy storage that has spanned decades, resulting in world-leading core capabilities at the National Labs and numerous innovations that have been successfully demonstrated.¹⁰ Notably, despite such progress, the success has yet to fully translate beyond the lab due to the lack of strong coupling between applied R&D efforts and manufacturing R&D associated with enhanced manufacturing processes. The battery industry still lacks sufficient pilot scale line capacity for the commercialization of new technology and domestic suppliers of key manufacturing equipment to establish a globally competitive domestic battery manufacturing sector.¹¹

AMMTO supports the translation of lab innovations to industrial commercialization. It is important to ensure that new technologies are rapidly scaled and integrated into large-format devices for commercialization. Existing commercial battery manufacturing suffers from the following gaps/weaknesses, which AMMTO believes will need support in order to advance new technologies to a high Technology Readiness Level (TRL) for commercialization:

- Need for advanced tooling to manufacture the high-performance components at scale commensurate with large volume production;
- Need for precision manufacturing technologies to assemble battery cells and systems; and
- Need for processing technologies to deliver promising battery materials, components, and/or systems in the volumes and throughput required for pilot scale.

⁹ Manufacturing Institute, “Manufacturing’s Multiplier Effect is Stronger than Other Sectors,” Updated April 2014. Available at: <https://sealectplastics.com/news/manufacturings-multiplier-effect-is-stronger-than-other-sectors/>.

¹⁰ One of the successful examples is the Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO)-Vehicle Technologies Office (VTO)’s [FY20 Joint Battery Manufacturing Lab Call](#).

¹¹ Li-Bridge, “[Li-Bridge Industry Report — Building a Robust and Resilient U.S. Lithium Battery Supply Chain](#),” February 2023.

Through this FOA, AMMTO aims to support the development of manufacturing platform technologies that can be utilized to scale up domestic battery manufacturing and accelerate the commercialization of transformative new technologies. Detailed technical descriptions of the specific Topic Areas are provided in the sections that follow.

Technology Office Background and Objectives

AMMTO plays a strategic role in building a strong, revitalized domestic manufacturing sector through investments in manufacturing R&D activities and workforce development. AMMTO actively partners with the nation's manufacturing innovation community, including laboratories, companies (for-profit and not-for-profit), universities, individuals, state/local governments, and consortia. AMMTO supports innovation for advanced materials and manufacturing technologies that drive competitive domestic manufacturing for products needed to decarbonize the economy. AMMTO has three subprograms: 1) next-generation materials and processes; 2) secure and sustainable materials; and 3) energy technology manufacturing and workforce development.

B. Topic Areas

AMMTO intends to fund high impact manufacturing R&D projects through this FOA. Proposed requested funding levels and project durations should be commensurate with the work scope necessary to advance the technology to the proposed TRL. See Appendix E for EERE's definitions of TRLs. In general, efforts should include work scopes between TRL 3 and TRL 6, although accelerating from TRL 2 is also important for fast-moving innovation in advanced materials and manufacturing.

Applicants are expected to identify a baseline technology to compare their improvement against and justify why that technology is the appropriate baseline. In addition, applicants shall provide at least three metrics for measuring their progress. Applicants shall also identify quantitative minimum and stretch goals that use these metrics. Examples of acceptable metrics and goals are provided for each subtopic. These are only examples, and applicants should use their own expertise and judgment to identify metrics and goals for their specific technology.

i. Topic Area 1: Platforms for Next Generation Battery Manufacturing

Under this topic, proposals of interest will focus on platform technologies related to battery manufacturing. These platforms include manufacturing R&D of innovative materials, processing, and manufacturing capability for emerging battery technologies related to sodium (Na)-ion batteries (NIBs), flow batteries, and nanolayered films for batteries and capacitors. This topic emphasizes the

manufacturability and scalability of critical battery components and system architectures as well as the role of machines for battery manufacturing. Proposals should include manufacturing innovations to improve performance and address barriers to reduce manufacturing costs and accelerate market deployment.

Subtopic 1.1: Processes and Machines for Sodium-Ion Batteries

The objective of this subtopic is to develop processing machines that enable innovative NIB manufacturing processes. It is important that manufacturing processing machines have the capacity to adapt to an evolving battery manufacturing space and provide cross cutting capabilities to serve the production of different battery types. A processing machine with these capabilities serves as a platform technology applicable to a broad range of manufacturing process challenges.

Subtopic 1.1 Background: Applications of NIBs include long duration grid-scale energy storage and moderate-range electric vehicles (EVs). These applications require low cost, safe batteries with long-cycle lives. NIBs have several potential advantages over lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). From the supply chain point-of-view, NIBs replace lithium with a lower cost, more available sodium while also eliminating some other critical materials (e.g., cobalt, nickel). More than 90% of the world's readily-mined reserves for soda ash, which is the main industrial resource of sodium, are found in the United States.¹² From the technical point-of-view, NIBs may also be safer than LIBs.

Despite these advantages, NIBs have not developed as a commercial alternative to LIBs. Though NIB materials are domestically available, specific challenges associated with the processing of the materials to create NIB components have given rise to a focus on materials development. Additionally, much NIB manufacturing R&D work has focused on a lab-scale proof of concept using small-scale research equipment. Further development requires all stages of NIB production, from manufacturing of electrodes and other components to cell assembly and finishing.

Combined with advanced processes for materials and components, specialized processing machines are essential to expand NIB manufacturing capacity. However, most of the world's suppliers of battery processing machines are based in foreign countries such that U.S.-based battery manufacturers must often rely on foreign machine suppliers who prioritize their domestic market. In addition, it is difficult to modify production lines to accommodate updated manufacturing machines. Thus, it is important to develop adaptable and versatile domestic processing machines that are also easily integrated into domestic manufacturing lines.

¹² S. Hanley, "The Sodium-Ion Battery Is Coming To Production Cars This Year," [Clean Technica](#), April 2023.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Subtopic 1.1 Opportunity: While the potential advantages of NIBs are promising, the U.S. is lagging behind in NIB manufacturing compared to foreign countries.¹³ As highlighted by a recent DOE assessment, accelerating domestic capabilities for battery manufacturing and supply chain security is crucial for economy-wide decarbonization, as well as manufacturing competitiveness and resilience.¹⁴ Developing domestic processing capabilities for NIB manufacturing would increase the resilience of domestic supply chains and allow the U.S. to lead advances in NIBs as well as their materials and components. The domestic availability of NIB manufacturing machines, vertically integrated production of electrode materials, and battery assembly can reduce costs and environmental impact by allowing the introduction of sustainable materials into a circular manufacturing process.¹⁵

Subtopic 1.1 Technology Focus: AMMTO is in a unique position to support domestic battery manufacturing and the machine industry to accelerate anode manufacturing in the U.S. AMMTO seeks projects that establish U.S. manufacturing capabilities for the low cost, large-scale, sustainable, and commercial manufacture of NIBs. To overcome the challenges and claim the benefits of the domestic manufacture of NIBs, AMMTO seeks projects that focus on advanced processes and/or high-performance processing machines for NIB manufacturing. Projects are encouraged to concentrate on one or both of the following areas:

- **Processes for hard carbon anode materials:** Optimizing anode performance is a key challenge to overcome, since it determines the rate of discharge in NIBs and plays a critical role in the overall coulombic efficiency. Anodes used in NIBs are usually hard carbon (or non-graphitizing carbon), and it is important to improve hard carbon performance and lower its cost. Currently, hard carbon is sourced from foreign countries. Developing domestic production capability for hard carbon is challenging as its manufacturing requires complex processes involving energy-intensive high temperatures to control the properties of the final product.¹⁶ Therefore, manufacturing of high-quality hard carbon is important from a manufacturing cost and scalability

¹³ On April 12, 2023, the *New York Times* stated, “Out of 20 sodium battery factories now planned or already under construction around the world, 16 are in China, according to Benchmark Minerals, a consulting firm. In two years, China will have nearly 95 percent of the world’s capacity to make sodium batteries.”

<https://www.nytimes.com/2023/04/12/business/china-sodium-batteries.html>.

¹⁴ DOE, “[Technology strategy assessment — Findings from Storage Innovations 2030 Sodium Batteries](#),” Energy Earthshots, July 2023.

¹⁵ Further development of equipment, known as “intramanufacturing-logistics equipment,” for managing the flow of materials, components, and information through the manufacturing process, can also improve efficiency and profitability of NIB production at-scale (McKinsey & Company, “Unlocking the Growth Opportunity in Battery Manufacturing Equipment,” May 2022.)

¹⁶ A. Rudola *et al.*, “Commercialization of high energy density sodium-ion batteries: Faradion’s journey and outlook,” *J. Materials Chemistry A.*, 2021, 9, pp. 8279-8302.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

point-of-view.¹⁷ The projects will also indirectly support manufacturing R&D efforts on cathode and electrolyte development for NIBs by providing consistently high-quality hard carbon anode materials for cell production.

- Machines for NIB materials and component manufacturing, cell assembly/finishing, or pack manufacturing: Desired projects for NIB machines should focus on developing new processing machines with improved mechanisms that outperform existing technology or leverage adjacent existing technologies (e.g., LIB manufacturing machines) for NIB manufacturing.¹⁸ Innovative manufacturing techniques may be employed to combine or eliminate battery processing steps, simplifying the process and leading to both cost reduction and improved efficiency. AMMTO envisions that U.S.-based machine builders from other adjacent industries utilizing similar processes to those used in cell production could potentially pivot to NIB opportunities. AMMTO is interested in supporting projects where domestic machine manufacturers gain a competitive edge by developing precision machines for advanced NIB manufacturing processes that improve performance while reducing costs and energy consumption. Joint manufacturing R&D collaborations between manufacturing process developers and machine builders would be essential to help de-risk the development process for both parties and accelerate commercialization of NIB technology.

This subtopic emphasizes processes and processing machines for manufacturing; thus, project performance is measured via process improvement, optimization, adaptability, and cross-cutting potential. Successful projects will meet or exceed industry expectations.

Proposals must show and justify the ability to validate the proposed technologies and processes at TRL 6 by the end of the project. The targeted technology should be an improvement over existing technology. The ultimate goal is for improved processes and processing machines to overcome the NIB challenges and promote a commercial, U.S. supply chain of NIBs and their components. Project progress should be measurable in terms of multiple metrics, such as production quality, production rate, energy use, water use, greenhouse gas emissions, and projected cost.

¹⁷ F. Xie *et al*, "Hard Carbons for Sodium-Ion Batteries and Beyond," *Prog. Energy*, **2**, 2020, pp. 042002.

¹⁸ 1) DOE, "[Energy Storage Grand Challenge Roadmap](#)," December 2020; 2) N. Tapia-Ruiz *et al.*, "2021 Roadmap for sodium-ion batteries," *J. Phys. Energy*, **3**, 031503; and 3) J. Tarascon, "Na-ion versus Li-ion Batteries: Complementarity Rather than Competitiveness," *Joule*, **4** (8), 2020, 00.1616-1620.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Subtopic 1.1 Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the assigned TRL. Proposed targets and measurement of progress toward meeting targets must be substantiated. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. The baseline value should reflect the status of the proposed technology at the time of proposal and should be quantified. The final target (the value targeted at project end) also should be quantified. Applicants may also specify their own, project-specific metrics in terms of technical performance, manufacturing capability, and any relevant manufacturing cost. Proposals must specify the required metrics outlined in the following table.

Subtopic 1.1. Applicant defined metrics	Baseline & project targets		State of the art / benchmark based on literature values ¹⁹
	Baseline (project start)	Final target (project end)	
Performance metrics (e.g., D ₅₀ [mm], surface area [m ² /g], tap density [g/cm ³], sodiation capacity [mAh/g], initial coulombic efficiency [%], reproducibility of above metrics, etc.)			
Manufacturing capability metrics (e.g., carbon yield [%], production rate, defect rate, process capability index, etc.)			
Manufacturing cost metrics (e.g., price[\$/ton], etc.)			
Other/Applicant-defined metrics²⁰			

Applications Not of Interest for Subtopic 1.1: The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

¹⁹ Applicants need to identify all references and assumptions below, including literature sources (if any).

²⁰ Applicants are encouraged to consider additional metrics to quantify further benefits of the proposed technology.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- Applications that are not relevant to NIB manufacturing processes and processing machines.
 - Applications that focus only on materials and small, lab-scale technologies. Each project can start from such scales of the technologies, but the outcomes of proposed projects should be relevant to the development of industry-scale NIB production.
 - Applications that are focused on exploring different types of chemistries.²¹
 - Applications for proposed technologies that target cell design and electrode balancing.²²
 - Applications that focus on: 1) automated manufacturing processes, or 2) soft modeling for processing (i.e., artificial intelligence (AI)/machine learning (ML)/deep learning (DL) platform for feedback loop and AI-/ML-/DL-driven model development). Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production.

This subtopic aims to capitalize on the opportunity to facilitate partnerships among industry, universities, and nonprofit organizations with expertise in battery manufacturing and regional innovation ecosystems. Therefore, AMMTO encourages applicants from the broader battery and energy storage community to form cross-sector teams that span organizational boundaries to enable and accelerate the achievement of technological outcomes in the process manufacturing of NIBs. Of particular interest are partnerships that include private industry, universities with relevant manufacturing and workforce development expertise, and regional development organizations.

Subtopic 1.2: Processes and Design for Manufacturability of Flow Batteries

Subtopic 1.2 Background: Redox and hybrid flow batteries refer to rechargeable electrochemical batteries that charge and discharge via a reduction-oxidation reaction between two active redox species with different operating potentials. These redox couples are dissolved in liquid electrolytes and externally stored in tanks. The electrolyte solutions are pumped through a reactor stack to produce electricity. The unique architecture of flow batteries makes it possible to decouple power and energy, offering great flexibility of scale at the system level (from residential to grid). Flow batteries are suited to a variety of stationary energy storage applications for evolving grid and on-site needs, including utility-scale energy storage, microgrids, renewables integration, backup power, and remote/off-grid power. They also provide an alternative to LIBs for these applications, with

²¹ For example, solid-state sodium batteries (SSSBs) or molten Na batteries employing a molten sodium anode (e.g., including sodium-sulfur (NaS) and sodium metal halide (NaMH) molten salts.

²² For example, an anodeless or anode-free NIBs design is beyond the scope of subtopic 1.1.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

additional advantages like long cycle life, safety, and potentially reduced cost as they do not require resource constrained active materials.

Subtopic 1.2 Opportunity: Flow batteries have shown great potential, as developing technologies provide promising performance characteristics across a range of stationary storage applications. In addition, there are opportunities to leverage adjacent technologies (e.g., fuel cells for electrodes and bipolar plates, thermal storages for large tanks and plumbing design) for further development.²³ Nevertheless, only a handful of successfully deployed commercial flow battery systems have been deployed in the U.S. A lack of industry standardization and uncertainty in designs and manufacturing are major challenges to successful commercial flow batteries²⁴ as issues of scalability and manufacturability prevent achievement of cost targets and commercial viability. Most current production utilizes small scale techniques and slow processes, incurring significantly higher costs than large-scale, rapid processes. To achieve levels at or near the \$0.05/kWh cost target²⁵, deep investments in advanced manufacturing for scalable flow batteries are required.²⁶

Subtopic 1.2 Technology Focus: To address the issues described above, AMMTO seeks projects that focus on one or both of the following areas that de-risk the flow battery technologies and facilitate large scale production:

- Membrane manufacturing for scalable flow batteries. Flow battery components, such as electrodes, electrolytes, bipolar plates, and membranes lack manufacturability and scalability. Due to their complexity and specialized nature, flow battery components typically undergo limited-scale production, which increases the cost of manufacturing and limits output. Of all cell and system components, improvements in membrane materials and membrane processing methods have been identified as particularly impactful in driving production scalability of high-performance flow battery systems. Furthermore, these membrane processing methods can be broadly applied to other types of batteries and applications outside of energy storage, making it an important platform technology. Thus, there is a demand for manufacturing R&D projects that develop advanced manufacturing processes for commercial-scale, large-area membranes for long-duration energy storage applications. The process must generate highly conductive, selective, and stable membranes at a lower cost than state-of-the-art processes. Focus

²³ DOE, "[Technology Strategy Assessment - Flow Batteries \(energy.gov\)](#)," July 2023.

²⁴ K.E. Rodby, "[Battery Deployment in the U.S. Faces non-technical Barriers](#)," *MIT Science Policy Review*, 2022, pp.3-10.

²⁵ DOE, "[Energy Storage Grand Challenge Roadmap](#)," December 2020.

²⁶ DOE, "[SI2030/LDSS: Technology Strategy Assessment - Flow Batteries](#)," July 2023.

on improved strength and durability of the membrane material may also facilitate the adoption of thinner and less resistive membranes. Incorporation of flexibility into the manufacturing process is essential to allow production of optimized membranes for different types of battery designs and chemistry, facilitating a wide range of use cases of novel flow battery technologies.

- System design for flow batteries. U.S. manufacturing of flow battery systems has been slow and sporadic. It is critical to address ways to improve the rate of development. System-level design considerations affect not only scalability, but also the ease of installation as well as effective maintenance. Projects are encouraged that develop manufacturing technologies for standardized, modular, and scalable flow battery systems that enhance flexibility of application, facilitate rapid assembly, reduce installation burden, and maintain cost-effective operation/maintenance, such as:
 - Innovative manufacturing approaches for system integration and a good balance-of-plant (BOP) will be considered, for example, design, manufacturing, and integration of multiple stacks into a system, electrolyte manufacturing (e.g., purification, periodic replacement, rejuvenation, etc.), life cycle design and manufacturing of other auxiliary systems like recirculation loops (e.g., pump, pipes, etc.).

Proposals must show and justify the ability to validate the proposed technologies and processes at TRL6 by the end of the project. The targeted technology should be able to demonstrate an improvement over existing technologies. Project progress should be measurable in terms of multiple key metrics.

Subtopic 1.2 Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the assigned TRLs. Proposed targets and measurement of progress toward meeting targets must be substantiated. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. The baseline value should reflect the status of the proposed technology at the time of proposal and should be quantified. The final target (the value targeted at project end) should also be quantified. Applicants may specify their own project-specific metrics in terms of technical performance, manufacturing capability, and any relevant manufacturing cost. Proposals must specify the required metrics that are provided in the table below.

Subtopic 1.2. Applicant defined metrics	Baseline & project targets		State-of-the-art / benchmark based on
	Baseline (project start)	Final target (project end)	

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

			literature values ²⁷
Performance metrics (e.g., membrane ion selectivity [S min/cm ³], Round-trip efficiency [%], flow rate [L/min], pressure drop [Pa], electrolyte impurity level [%], power conversion efficiency [%], number of modules/components, module interchangeability, etc.)			
Manufacturing capability metrics (e.g., production rate, batch size, material composition and uniformity, equipment modularity and reconfigurability, degree of pre-assembly vs. on-site installation, defect rate, process capability index, etc.)			
Manufacturing cost metrics (e.g., membrane cost [\$/m ²], capital cost [\$/kWh], annualized maintenance cost [\$] etc.)			
Other/Applicant-defined metrics²⁸			

Applications Not of Interest for Subtopic 1.2: The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

²⁷ Applicants need to identify all references and assumptions below, including literature sources (if any).

²⁸ Applicants are encouraged to consider additional metrics to quantify further benefits of the proposed technology.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- Applications that are not relevant to flow battery processing and manufacturing.
 - Applications that focus only on material discovery or small, lab-scale flow battery technologies. Each project can start from such scales of the technologies, but the outcomes of proposed projects should be relevant to the development of industry-scale flow battery applications.
 - Applications that propose battery technologies not utilizing flow batteries. Note that as described earlier, applications can leverage adjacent technologies for flow battery processing and manufacturing.
 - Applications that focus on: 1) automated manufacturing processes or 2) AI/ML/DL platform for feedback loop and AI-/ML-/DL-driven accelerated lifetime testing and performance prediction. Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production.

Subtopic 1.3: Scalable Manufacturing of Nanolayered Films for Energy Storage

The objective of this topic is to advance manufacturing platforms for low-cost, high performing nanolayered films. The nanolayered films can be used as platform materials to improve energy storage devices. Expected outcomes include domestic production of high-performance capacitor films and separators for batteries. Specifically, proposals should address the scalability of nanolayered films and their manufacture for use in both batteries and capacitors.

Subtopic 1.3 Background: Nanolayered films are multi-layered films in which the individual layers have dimensions of tens to thousands of nanometers and the number of layers may range from two to thousands.²⁹ Layers may differ from each other in composition, dimension, and orientation. Nanolayered films are manufactured from organic, inorganic, and ceramic materials.^{30,31} The layers and the interlayer interfaces are designed to produce films with a high dielectric constant, threshold for electrical breakthrough, and mechanical strength while using less mass and volume than monolayered films.

Nanolayered films can be used as separators in batteries and when coated with metallic layers nanolayered films become nanolayered capacitor films. Use of nanolayered films as chemically inactive components in batteries and capacitors can increase energy efficiency and performance while also enabling innovations of

²⁹ Y. Wang *et al.* "Multilayered hierarchical polymer composites for high energy density capacitors," *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **7**, 2019, pp. 2965-2980.

³⁰ D. Langhe and M. Ponting, "Manufacturing and Novel Applications of Multilayer Polymer Films," PolymerPlus LLC, Valley View, Ohio, USA 2016

³¹ Q. He, *et. al.*, "Polymer dielectrics for capacitive energy storage: From theories, materials, to industrial capacitors," *Materials Today*, **68**, 2023, pp. 298-333.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

chemically active components in batteries and supercapacitors producing next generation energy storage architectures, electrodes, and electrolytes.^{32,33,34}

Current lab-scale fabrication methods of nanolayered films vary based on thickness and composition of the nanolayered films and include melt casting and blow molding (>20 μm), melt extrusion and the subsequent stretching (2–20 μm), solution casting and electrospinning technology (1–20 μm), chemical vapor deposition (CVD, <1 μm) and atomic layer deposition (ALD, <0.2 μm). These processes are further complicated by doping, fillings, grafting, crosslinking, and interlayer interactions used to provide the desired chemical, optical, and electronic properties. Given the dimensions and complexity of the films, it has been challenging to scale up lab-based fabrication techniques for commercial production while also maintaining product quality.^{35,36,37}

Challenges to the commercial scale manufacture of nanolayered films jeopardize the adoption and subsequent benefits of nanolayered films in commercial energy storage devices. Domestic solutions to these manufacturing challenges would provide large-scale domestic production of nanolayered films, allow the U.S. to improve energy efficiencies and performance in electronic devices and EVs, support the integration of renewable energies into the electric grid, and safeguard U.S. leadership in the development of next generation energy storage devices.

Subtopic 1.3 Opportunity: Current battery and capacitor manufacturing processes present an opportunity to drop in nanolayered films (if they are available), as a replacement for current monolayered film separators. Drop-in replacements involve little or no capital investments, can be integrated rapidly, and produce quick financial and performance returns. Improvements resulting from the drop in of nanolayered films for battery and capacitor manufacturing include increased energy efficiencies and energy storage performance with reduced material usage and cost.

³² Z. Zou, *et. al.*, “Lithium-ion battery separators based-on nanolayer co-extrusion prepared polypropylene nanobelts reinforced cellulose,” *Journal of Membrane Science*, **666**, 2023, 121120.

³³ D.M.D. Babiker, *et. al.*, “Recent progress of composite polyethylene separators for lithium/sodium batteries,” *Journal of Power Sources*, **564**, 2023, 232853.

³⁴ M. Balaish, *et. al.*, “Processing thin but robust electrolytes for solid-state batteries,” *Nature Energy*, **6**, 2021 pp. 227-239.

³⁵ D.Q. Tan, “Review of Polymer-Based Nanodielectric Exploration and Film Scale-Up for Advanced Capacitors,” *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **30** (18), 2020, 18008567.

³⁶ X. Wu *et al.*, “Advanced dielectric polymers for energy storage,” *Energy Storage Materials*, **44**, 2022, pp. 29-47.

³⁷ Only the melt extrusion method appears to be scalable for commercial production of polymer based nanolayered films; however, it is limited in its ability to control porosity, roughness, thickness, and wrinkles, defects being exaggerated in the post extrusion stretching steps. The production of high temperature polymer films for harsh environments is also a challenge for the melt extrusion process.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The impacts of improved energy efficiency and performance in energy storage devices will be significant for current and projected energy applications. Improved energy efficiencies in portable electronic devices may offset the prolific growth of these devices as a share of the residential, business, and medical energy consuming sector. EVs are projected to grow to be approximately half of all vehicles sold by 2030. Use of nanolayered films increases the energy density and performance of EV batteries and supercapacitors used in regenerative braking, allowing for decreased costs and increased range for EVs. With renewable energies such as solar and wind expected to supply 44% of U.S. electricity by 2050, better batteries and capacitors will be needed to integrate renewable energies into the electricity grid. Through these applications, nanolayered films will contribute to energy efficiencies across major energy sectors, significantly impacting progress toward a decarbonized economy.

Subtopic 1.3 Technology Focus: To overcome the challenges and claim the benefits of the domestic manufacture of nanolayered films for batteries and capacitors, AMMTO seeks projects that translate current fabrications of nanolayered films to large-scale production processes allowing for the commercial use of nanolayered films in batteries, capacitors, and other energy storage devices. Following is the area of focus:

- Nanolayered films for large-scale production. Current nanolayered films have been created with lab-based fabrication techniques that are not amenable to large-scale, commercial manufacturing. For prototype capacitors, testing films are produced with a width of at least 150 mm and a length of at least 100 m, whereas these values must be at least an order of magnitude greater for commercial scale applications. However, commercial scale-up of film production leads to additional imperfections that reduce film performance. In addition, scale-up problems increase as the thickness of film layers decrease.³⁸ A design effort that approaches scalability from a materials production may produce a material platform for which the manufacture of the materials is more easily scalable than what is currently available. Alternatively, efforts may approach scalability from the process or machine perspective and focus on the scalability of solution casting, electrospinning, deposition, or melt extrusion. Both approaches must allow for doping, filling, grafting, and crosslinking. The objective is to develop platform materials, processes, or machines to produce commercially available, high performing nanolayered films for batteries and capacitors.

³⁸ D.Q. Tan, "Review of Polymer-Based Nanodielectric Exploration and Film Scale-Up for Advanced Capacitors," *Adv. Func. Mater.*, **30** (18) 2020, p. 18008567.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Proposals must show and justify the ability to validate the proposed technologies and processes at TRL 6 by the end of the project. The targeted technology should be able to demonstrate an improvement over existing technologies. Project progress should be measurable in terms of multiple key metrics.

Topic 1.3 Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the assigned TRLs. Proposed targets and measurement of progress toward meeting targets must be substantiated. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. The baseline value should reflect the status of the proposed technology at the time of proposal and should be quantified. The final target (the value targeted at project end) should also be quantified. Applicants may specify their own project-specific metrics in terms of technical performance, manufacturing capability, and any relevant manufacturing cost. Proposals must specify the required metrics that are provided in the table below.

Topic 1.3. Applicant defined metrics	Baseline & project targets		State of the art / benchmark based on literature values ³⁹
	Baseline (project start)	Final target (project end)	
Performance metrics (e.g., dielectric constant [ϵ_r], breakdown strength [MV/m], dielectric loss, energy density [J/cm ³], temperature performance, cycle life, etc.)			
Manufacturing capability metrics (e.g., single layer thickness, porosity, uniformity, and surface roughness [nm], sheet width [mm) and length [m], production speed [m/min], filling, doping, grafting (%), output [kg/h], and reproducibility of the above (%), etc.)			

³⁹ Applicants need to identify all references and assumptions below, including literature sources (if any).

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Manufacturing cost metrics (e.g., film cost [\$/m ²], machine and tooling cost, raw material cost, labor cost, scrap rate, etc.)			
Other/Applicant defined metrics ⁴⁰			

Applications Not of Interest for Topic 1.3: The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that are not relevant to nanolayered film materials, processing, and manufacturing for battery and capacitor applications.
- Applications that focus only on basic material science and testing of nanolayered films. Projects must focus on the translation of high-performance materials into manufacturing for battery and capacitor applications.
- Applications that focus on small, lab-scale production processes.
- Applications that focus on building out capabilities for integration and automation of assembly lines as well as incorporating smart manufacturing principles to optimize or scale up of nanolayered film manufacturing processes for battery or capacitor applications. Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing and Smart Equipment for Batteries.

AMMTO encourages applicants from the broader film manufacturing and energy storage community to form cross-sector teams that span organizational boundaries to enable and accelerate the development of platform technologies and materials that support the manufacture of nanolayered films at volumes and performance high enough to impact the energy efficiencies of commercial batteries and capacitors. Of particular interest are partnerships that include private industry, universities with relevant manufacturing and workforce development expertise, and regional development organizations.

⁴⁰ Applicants are encouraged to consider additional metrics to quantify further benefits of the proposed technology.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Subtopics 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 ONLY: In addition to the Federal Assistance Reporting Requirements Checklist, the following deliverables are required for awards made under this topic:

- Quarterly quantitative assessments of production volume and product qualification.
- A techno-economic analysis (TEA) or cost modeling for production volumes consistent with U.S. supply needs.
- By the end of the project, project teams must submit the final testing and validation data as described by their testing and validation plan developed at the start of their project.

The testing and validation data will be conducted by the Applicant following test protocols approved by the DOE. This data will be shared with DOE. Test procedures will be finalized between the performers and DOE. However, initial plans for testing and test procedures must be addressed in the application. These initial plans shall incorporate specifications and limits supplied by the manufacturer for the specific technology. The results of the testing may be documented in a publicly releasable Summary Test Report (approved by both the DOE and Applicant prior to release) that validates the performance of the deliverables relative to the performance targets, as well as the technology deployment impact relative to DOE strategic goals. AMMTO and the Applicant will approve the Summary Test Report.

ii. **Topic Area 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production**

AMMTO recognizes the timely and prudent need to expand the scope of smart manufacturing to satisfy the core aspects of battery manufacturing. AMMTO seeks proposals that focus on the smart path toward smart battery manufacturing.

Given the data-intensive nature of the Areas of Interest under Topic 2, awardees are expected to observe best practices for data stewardship. Throughout the project, applicants will be required to publish project datasets through a designated DOE-approved data repository using appropriate content models and/or data formats for the relevant field of study. Each applicant whose Full Application is selected for award negotiations will be required to submit an expanded Data Management Plan (DMP) during the award negotiations phase, in accordance with Section VI.B.xxiii.

Topic 2 Background: The manufacturing community can reduce manufacturing costs and accelerate time-to-market by integrating performance characteristics of final products with processes aided by a smart manufacturing framework. Smart manufacturing is the information-driven collaborative orchestration of physical and

digital processes across the entire value chain.⁴¹ Smart manufacturing relies on a combination of manufacturing technologies (i.e., physical process) and intelligent technologies (i.e., virtual process) to make designing, processing, and manufacturing faster and more cost-effective. The physical processes focus on controlling and optimizing processing conditions for desired performance, while virtual processes uncover complex linkages between them and gain insight into better ways to design and manufacture products (i.e., feedback for physical processes).

Smart manufacturing builds upon the pillars of lean manufacturing, digital manufacturing, and continuous manufacturing to achieve superior throughput, efficiency, quality, and precision in the manufacturing process. The term “lean” refers to creating maximum outputs with reduced inputs in terms of cost, time, energy, and effort. To optimize entire manufacturing processes, digital manufacturing primarily emphasizes the digitization and integration of manufacturing activities — collected from various tools such as simulation/modeling and equipment — with properties and performance of products. The basic idea of continuous manufacturing is to facilitate faster production through transformation of the traditional “batch manufacturing” process into an integrated manufacturing process.

Smart manufacturing frameworks that encompass all activities related to the generation, release, sharing, and reuse of manufacturing data enable engineers to fully leverage complex engineering data. The convergence of the physical process and the virtual process is clearer now than ever before. Such a shift towards connected technologies is apparent across most industry sectors, including battery manufacturing.

Topic 2 Opportunity: Smart manufacturing is particularly applicable to enhanced battery production with respect to the core aspects of battery manufacturability, scalability, reproducibility,⁴² and circularity⁴³ which are interrelated concepts of

⁴¹ The Smart Manufacturing Institute, [What is Smart Manufacturing?](#)

⁴² It is a daunting task to maintain or maximize reproducibility when considering manufacturability and scalability. In addition to automated processes, technological advances in reproducibility are increasingly dependent on manufacturers’ ability to effectively digitize and manipulate data of all battery processes.

⁴³ It is not possible to achieve a perfect manufacturing process as planned (e.g., manufacturing better products more rapidly and cost-effectively) due to the inherently existing inefficiency and waste of processes. Instead, the manufacturing community has tried to get as close as possible to the ideal battery manufacturing environment by finding the best combinations of cleaner, simpler, and more efficient processing, remanufacturing, and recycling routes. The smart manufacturing platform for circularity encompasses various activities such as digitization of battery recycling, digital control for impurity engineering in battery recycling, digital formulation for recycling and/or upcycling of battery materials or components (e.g., cathode active materials), and smart battery separation and sorting in battery recycling.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

domestic battery manufacturing operations.⁴⁴ Instead of a traditional, slower process of post-production analysis of numerous trial and error approaches, smart battery manufacturing is about rational design, control, and monitoring of processes. Such activities include automated operations for process monitoring and adjusting manufacturing variables with the aid of computational learning techniques like AI, ML, and DL. Application of digital techniques to the production of the varied components and assembly of batteries will build upon and amplify the core principles of lean and continuous manufacturing by providing real-time data, intelligent automation, and predictive analytics.

For the enduring impacts of smart manufacturing and its boundless potential, it is also important to integrate equipment into advanced manufacturing processes, automated manufacturing lines, and quality practices. However, the battery manufacturing community lacks the knowledge of how to amalgamate these components. Additionally, it may not be practical to install in-line metrology tools into a processing line due to the complex process design and expenses of installation, difficulty in simultaneous in-situ monitoring, and/or the high cost of maintenance. At a commercial scale, it is necessary to increase the connectivity between equipment, tools, and processes to achieve enhanced performance of end products (i.e., battery components, packs, and systems) in the most efficient ways possible.

The applications should focus on developing innovative ways to revolutionize battery production by maximizing the benefits of smart manufacturing. Knowing any major pain point for battery manufacturers would be powerful for focusing on an initial smart manufacturing approach and scale for that challenge space. Desired projects should be able to develop industry use cases or best practices for digital transformation of battery manufacturing and system-level process optimization to increase U.S. competitiveness in battery manufacturing. The proposed approaches should enable manufacturers to use real-time, data-driven, rational decision-making solutions to embed data information, and improve product flows and quality from the supply chain to manufacturers and end-users. The outcomes should be a value-added benefit to existing manufacturing R&D activities.

Topic 2 Technology Focus: To overcome the challenges described before and claim the benefits of smart manufacturing to improve performance and reduce manufacturing costs of batteries, AMMTO seeks projects that focus on development of broadly applicable smart manufacturing platforms that can be adapted to augment the production of a variety of battery technologies, relating to one or more of the following areas:

⁴⁴ T. Lombardo *et al.*, “Artificial Intelligence Applied to Battery Research: Hype or Reality?” *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122** (12), pp. 10899–10969.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Smart design, control, and monitoring of processes: The principles of smart manufacturing can be applied to address the complex processes associated with battery manufacturing. It is beneficial to develop a wide range of synthesis/process recipes with digital tools for merging heterogeneous, multi-scale, and voluminous data from theory, simulation, processing, and characterization for full insight into processing-performance relationships, leading to knowledge about how to optimize manufacturing processes and maximize the power of prediction of battery behaviors.⁴⁵ Smart manufacturing can also be valuable to effectively control and monitor numerous, complex process parameters for the production of battery materials, components, and systems.
- Smart equipment for smart battery manufacturing: Equipment needs to be more flexible and expandable to meet current and future demands of a complex and evolving battery manufacturing environment. For example, smart sensors with the Internet of Things (IoT), vision systems, data analytics, and cloud computing could be the core building blocks of the connected battery manufacturing facility and the smart battery supply chain. Also, there is a need for advanced high-throughput, large-scale equipment that fits into smart battery manufacturing platforms to increase processibility and scalability to battery manufacturing processing.

Advanced smart manufacturing will continue to be a powerful technology that spurs growth in basic science and applied R&D as well as manufacturing R&D. Proposals must show and justify the ability to validate the proposed technologies and processes at TRL 6 by the end of the project. The targeted technology should be able to show an improvement over existing technologies. Project progress should be measurable in terms of multiple key metrics.

Topic 2 Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the assigned TRLs. Proposed targets and measurement of progress toward meeting targets must be substantiated. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. The baseline value should reflect the status of the proposed technology at the time of proposal and should be quantified. The final target (the value targeted at project end) also should be quantified. Applicants may also specify their own, project-specific metrics in terms of technical performance, manufacturing capability, and any relevant manufacturing cost. Proposals must specify the required metrics outlined in the following table.

⁴⁵ In general, physical models do not exist that can link these multiple length scales. In addition, there is no single metrology tool to scan all detection ranges. The applications should show their manufacturing strategies to: transform massive, heterogeneous manufacturing data into information and knowledge; link time- and length-scales; develop scale-up methodologies; and handle multidisciplinary nature of manufacturing topics.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Topic 2. Applicant-defined metrics	Baseline & project targets		State-of-the-art / benchmark based on literature values ⁴⁶
	Baseline (project start)	Final target (project end)	
Performance metrics (e.g., Data and model completeness/accuracy/quality, accuracy of fault detection, effectiveness of data-driven decision making etc.)			
Manufacturing capability metrics (e.g., throughput, cycle time, capacity utilization, overall equipment effectiveness, dimensional accuracy, defect rate, first pass yield, etc.)			
Manufacturing cost metrics (e.g., material yield, scrap rate, maintenance cost, etc.)			
Other/Applicant-defined metrics⁴⁷			

Applications Not of Interest for Topic 2: The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that solely focus on materials discovery, specific computational learning algorithms, or construction of database(s).
- Applications that focus on data generation from theoretical calculations or small-lab scale processing data.
- Applications that focus on cybersecurity for battery manufacturing.
- Applications that do not consider one of the core aspects of battery manufacturing (i.e., manufacturability, scalability, reproducibility, and circularity).
- Applications that propose equipment development without considering the integration of them into the smart manufacturing platform.

⁴⁶ Applicants need to identify all references and assumptions below, including literature sources (if any).

⁴⁷ Applicants are encouraged to consider additional metrics to quantify further benefits of the proposed technology.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

This topic aims to create an interdisciplinary manufacturing R&D framework such as the public-private partnerships (PPPs) for collaborations between manufacturing process developers, smart manufacturing experts, and equipment builders. Therefore, AMMTO encourages applicants from the broader battery and energy storage community to form cross-sector teams that span organizational boundaries. By doing so, applicants will help each other de-risk the development process for all parties, deliver innovative battery products, and promote U.S. battery manufacturing competitiveness by strengthening innovative ways through smart manufacturing.

Topic 2 ONLY: AMMTO recognizes that process data is the basis of manufacturing R&D. A large volume of data such as image data, sequential data, and metadata is often buried in the growing volume of processing data for battery manufacturing. A common limitation of these data sources is that information is not in a structured format. Thus, there is a critical need to develop more efficient ways to collect, store, and analyze data from manufacturing processes in standardized formats. Standards for data formatting are important to facilitate data use in AI/ML/DL and other smart manufacturing practices. Ideal data formats can be extended to any other type of process data, facilitating coordinated R&D within the manufacturing ecosystem.

Therefore, in addition to the Federal Assistance Reporting Requirements Checklist, applicants who will apply data-intensive methods (e.g., high-throughput processing, AI, ML, DL, etc.) will be required to publish project datasets through a designated, DOE-approved data repository using appropriate content models and/or data formats for the relevant field of study. Datasets should meet the principles of findability, accessibility, interoperability, and reusability (FAIR). A report is required as a deliverable to show submission of the datasets to the repository.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix C.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Sections I.A. and I.B. of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- Applications for Subtopic 1.1 that are not of interest.

-
- Applications that are not relevant to NIB manufacturing processes and processing machines.
 - Applications that focus only on materials and small, lab-scale technologies. Each project can start from such scales of the technologies, but the outcomes of proposed projects should be relevant to the development of industry-scale NIB production.
 - Applications that are focused on exploring different types of chemistries.⁴⁸
 - Applications for proposed technologies that target cell design and electrode balancing.⁴⁹
 - Applications that focus on: 1) automated manufacturing processes, or 2) soft modeling for processing (i.e., AI/ML/DL platform for feedback loop and AI-/ML-/DL-driven model development). Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production.
 - Applications from Subtopic 1.2 that are not of interest:
 - Applications that are not relevant to flow battery processing and manufacturing.
 - Applications that focus only on material discovery or small, lab-scale flow battery technologies. Each project can start from such scales of the technologies, but the outcomes of proposed projects should be relevant to the development of industry-scale flow battery applications.
 - Applications that propose battery technologies not utilizing flow batteries. Note that as described earlier, applications can leverage adjacent technologies for flow battery processing and manufacturing.
 - Applications that focus on: 1) automated manufacturing processes or 2) AI/ML/DL platform for feedback loop and AI-/ML-/DL-driven accelerated lifetime testing and performance prediction. Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production.
 - Applications from Subtopic 1.3 that are not of interest:
 - Applications that are not relevant to nanolayered film materials, processing, and manufacturing for battery and capacitor applications.
 - Applications that focus only on basic material science and testing of nanolayered films. Projects must focus on the translation of high-performance materials into manufacturing for battery and capacitor applications.
 - Applications that focus on small, lab-scale production processes.
 - Applications that focus on building out capabilities for integration and automation of assembly lines as well as incorporating smart manufacturing principles to optimize or scale up of nanolayered film manufacturing

⁴⁸ For example, solid-state sodium batteries (SSSBs) or molten Na batteries employing a molten sodium anode (e.g., including sodium-sulfur (NaS) and sodium metal halide (NaMH) molten salts).

⁴⁹ For example, an anodeless or anode-free NIBs design is beyond the scope of subtopic 1.1.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

processes for battery or capacitor applications. Note that these areas fall into the scope of Topic 2: Smart Manufacturing for Battery Production.

- Applications from Topic 2 that are not of interest:
 - Applications that solely focus on materials discovery, specific computational learning algorithms, or construction of database(s).
 - Applications that focus on data generation from theoretical calculations or small-lab scale processing data.
 - Applications that focus on cybersecurity for battery manufacturing.
 - Applications that do not consider one of the core aspects of battery manufacturing (i.e., manufacturability, scalability, reproducibility, and circularity).
 - Applications that propose equipment development without considering the integration of them into the smart manufacturing platform.

D. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

It is the policy of the Biden Administration that:

[T]he Federal Government should pursue a comprehensive approach to advancing equity⁵⁰ for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality. Affirmatively advancing equity, civil rights, racial justice, and equal opportunity is the responsibility of the whole of our government. Because advancing equity requires a systematic approach to embedding fairness in decision-making processes, executive departments, and agencies (agencies) must recognize and work to redress inequities in their policies and programs that serve as barriers to equal opportunity.

By advancing equity across the Federal Government, we can create opportunities for the improvement of communities that have been historically underserved, which benefits everyone.⁵¹

⁵⁰ The term “equity” means the consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

⁵¹ Executive Order 13985, “Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government” (Jan. 20, 2021).

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

As part of this whole of government approach, this FOA seeks to encourage the participation of underserved communities⁵² and underrepresented groups. Applicants are highly encouraged to include individuals from groups historically underrepresented^{53,54} in STEM on their project teams. As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from underrepresented groups in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities (See Section IV.D.vii). The plan should include at least one SMART (Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic and Time-Related) milestone per budget period supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions. This plan will be evaluated as part of the technical review process and incorporated into the award if selected.

⁵² The term “underserved communities” refers to populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list of in the definition of “equity.” E.O. 13985. For purposes of this FOA, as applicable to geographic communities, applicants can refer to economically distressed communities identified by the Internal Revenue Service as Qualified Opportunity Zones; communities identified as disadvantaged or underserved communities by their respective States; communities identified on the Index of Deep Disadvantage referenced at <https://news.umich.edu/new-index-ranks-americas-100-most-disadvantaged-communities/>, and communities that otherwise meet the definition of “underserved communities” stated above.

⁵³ According to the National Science Foundation’s 2019 report titled, “Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering,” women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minority groups—blacks or African Americans, Hispanics or Latinos, and American Indians or Alaska Natives—are vastly underrepresented in the STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) fields that drive the energy sector. That is, their representation in STEM education and STEM employment is smaller than their representation in the U.S. population. <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf19304/digest/about-this-report> For example, in the U.S., Hispanics, African Americans and American Indians or Alaska Natives make up 24 percent of the overall workforce, yet only account for 9 percent of the country’s science and engineering workforce. DOE seeks to inspire underrepresented Americans to pursue careers in energy and support their advancement into leadership positions. <https://www.energy.gov/articles/introducing-minorities-energy-initiative>

⁵⁴ See also. Note that Congress recognized in section 305 of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act of 2017, Public Law 114-329:

(1) [I]t is critical to our Nation’s economic leadership and global competitiveness that the United States educate, train, and retain more scientists, engineers, and computer scientists; (2) there is currently a disconnect between the availability of and growing demand for STEM-skilled workers; (3) historically, underrepresented populations are the largest untapped STEM talent pools in the United States; and (4) given the shifting demographic landscape, the United States should encourage full participation of individuals from underrepresented populations in STEM fields.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Further, Minority Serving Institutions⁵⁵, Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or entities located in an underserved community that meet the eligibility requirements (See Section III.) are encouraged to apply as the prime applicant or participate on an application as a proposed partner to the prime applicant. The Selection Official may consider the inclusion of these types of entities as part of the selection decision (See Section V.C.i).

E. Authorizing Statutes

The activities to be supported under this FOA are authorized under § 911 (a)(1) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16191(a)(1), which authorizes energy efficiency programs with objectives to “increase energy efficiency of vehicles, buildings and industrial processes” as well as “reducing the cost of energy and making the economy more efficient and competitive.” In addition, the FOA relies on the authority of § 3201 of the Energy Act of 2020, codified at 42 U.S.C. 17232, which authorizes DOE to carry out programs to address energy storage technologies and applications, including vehicle-grid integration; energy storage systems, components, and materials; and R&D of advanced manufacturing technologies that can increase U.S. competitiveness in energy storage manufacturing.

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make a total of approximately \$15,700,000 (\$15.7M) of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 5-13 awards under this FOA. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$1M and \$4.1M.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

⁵⁵ Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Other Minority Institutions as educational entities recognized by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Education, and identified on the OCR's Department of Education U.S. accredited postsecondary minorities' institution list. See <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/edlite-minorityinst.html>.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Topic Area Number	Topic Area Title	Anticipated Number of Awards	Anticipated Minimum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Anticipated Maximum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Approximate Total Federal Funding Available for All Awards	Anticipated Period of Performance (months)
1.1	Processes and Machines for Sodium-ion Batteries	1-3	\$1.4M	\$4.1M	\$4.1M	24-36
1.2	Processes and Design for Manufacturability of Flow Batteries	1-3	\$1.4M	\$4.1M	\$4.1M	24-36
1.3	Scalable Manufacturing of Nanolayered Films for Energy Storage	1-2	\$1.25M	\$2.5M	\$2.5M	24-36
2	Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production	2-5	\$1M	\$2.5M	\$5M	24-36

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run from 24 months up to 36 months, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision. For a complete list, see Section VI.B.xiv.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the U. S. government.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See Section VI.B.x. of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)⁵⁶

In most cases, FFRDCs are funded independently of the remainder of the project team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC project team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the prime recipient for the project will remain the prime recipient for the project. See Section III.E.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Domestic Entities

The proposed prime recipient and subrecipient(s) must be domestic entities. The following types of domestic entities are eligible to participate as a prime recipient or subrecipient of this FOA:

1. Institutions of higher education;
2. For-profit entities;
3. Nonprofit entities; and

⁵⁶ FFRDCs are public-private partnerships that conduct research for the U.S. government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/>.

-
4. State and local governmental entities and federally recognized Indian Tribes (Indian Tribes).

To qualify as a domestic entity, the entity must be organized, chartered, or incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular state or territory of the United States; have majority domestic ownership and control; and have a physical place of business in the United States.

DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to participate as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to participate as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Entities banned from doing business with the U.S. government such as entities debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participating in federal programs are not eligible.

Nonprofit organizations described in Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are **not** eligible to apply for funding.

ii. **Foreign Entities**

In limited circumstances, EERE may approve a waiver to allow a foreign entity to participate as a prime recipient or subrecipient. A foreign entity may submit a Full Application to this FOA, but the Full Application must be accompanied by an explicit written waiver request. Likewise, if the applicant seeks to include a foreign entity as a subrecipient, the applicant must submit a separate explicit written waiver request in the Full Application for each proposed foreign subrecipient.

Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a foreign entity waiver request. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

B. Cost Sharing

Applicants are bound by the cost share proposed in their Full Applications if selected for award negotiations.

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total project costs⁵⁷ for research and development projects.⁵⁸ The cost share must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law.

To help applicants calculate proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices A and B to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the entire project, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the entire project is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i. of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application. Cost share may be provided in the form of cash or cash equivalents, or in-kind contributions. Cost share must come from non-federal sources (unless otherwise allowed by law), such as project participants, state or local governments, or other third-party financing. Federal financing, such as DOE Loan Guarantee, cannot be leveraged by applicants to provide the required cost share or otherwise support the same scope that is proposed under a project.

⁵⁷ Total project costs are the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of project costs.

⁵⁸ Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-58, sec. 988. Also see 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include but are not limited to personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs, and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified, and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the federal government did not provide the funding to the state or local government.

The recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

DOE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up to date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

All applicant submissions must:

- Comply with the applicable content and form requirements listed in Section IV. of the FOA;

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

-
- Include all required documents;
 - Be uploaded and submitted to EERE eXCHANGE <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>; and
 - Be submitted by the deadline stated in the FOA.

EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE eXCHANGE, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours before the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least one hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE eXCHANGE, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in Section I.C. of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Listed as the Applicant

A DOE/NNSA FFRDC is eligible to apply for funding under this FOA if its cognizant Contracting Officer provides written authorization and this authorization is submitted with the application.

The following wording is acceptable for the authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the Laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the Laboratory and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the Laboratory.

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is selected for award negotiation, the proposed work will be authorized under the DOE work authorization process and performed under the laboratory's Management and Operating (M&O) contract.

ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

- a. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs
The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.
- b. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs
The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the Laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the Laboratory and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the Laboratory.

- c. Funding, Cost Share, and Subaward with FFRDCs
The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award. DOE/NNSA FFRDCs participating as a subrecipient on a project will be funded directly through the DOE field work proposal (WP) process. Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs participating as a subrecipient will be funded through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency. Although the FFRDC portion of the work is excluded from the award, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

Unless instructed otherwise by the DOE Contracting Officer for the DOE award, all FFRDCs are required to enter into a Cooperative Research and

Development Agreement⁵⁹ (CRADA) or, if the role of the DOE/NNSA FFRDC is limited to technical assistance and intellectual property is not anticipated to be generated from the DOE/NNSA FFRDC's work, a Technical Assistance Agreement (TAA), with at least the prime recipient before any project work begins. Any questions regarding the use of a CRADA or TAA should be directed to the cognizant DOE field intellectual property (IP) counsel.

The CRADA or TAA is used to ensure accountability for project work and provide the appropriate management of IP, e.g., data protection and background IP. The CRADA or TAA must be agreed upon by all parties and submitted to DOE or other sponsoring agency, when applicable, for approval, or submitted to DOE for notice under the Master Scope of Work process, when applicable, using any DOE or other sponsoring agency approved CRADA or TAA template without substantive changes by the time the award is made to the prime recipient.

d. Responsibility

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

An entity may submit only one Concept Paper and one Full Application for each topic area/sub-topic area of this FOA. If an entity submits more than one Concept Paper and one Full Application to the same topic area/sub-topic area, EERE will request a determination from the applicant's authorizing representative as to which application should be reviewed. Any other submissions received listing the same entity as the applicant for the same topic area/sub-topic area will not be eligible for further consideration. This limitation does not prohibit an applicant from collaborating on other applications (e.g., as a potential subrecipient or partner) so long as the entity is only listed as the applicant on one Concept Paper and one Full Application for each topic area/sub-topic area of this FOA.

⁵⁹ A cooperative research and development agreement is a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see <https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements>

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to apply in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process includes two submission phases: Concept Paper and Full Application. **Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application.**

All submissions must conform to the form and content requirements described below, including maximum page lengths.

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5" x 11" paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Calibri typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12-point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10-point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;
- A **control number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE eXCHANGE application process. The control number must be included with all application documents. Specifically, the control number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page and included in the file name (i.e., *Control Number_Applicant Name_Full Application*);
- Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

i. Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE

EERE eXCHANGE is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Applicants who experience technical difficulties with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline should contact the EERE eXCHANGE helpdesk for assistance (EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov).

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available at [EERE Funding Application and Management Forms](#) and on EERE eXCHANGE. To access these materials on EERE eXCHANGE, go to <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. Files larger than 50MB cannot be uploaded and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file is larger than 50MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1
TechnicalVolume_Part_2

DOE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 50MB.

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. The Concept Paper must conform to the requirements listed below, including the stated page limits.

Section	Page Limit	Description
Cover Page	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific announcement Topic Area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, the project location(s), and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Technology Description	3 pages maximum	Applicants are required to succinctly describe: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; • The proposed technology’s target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); • The current state of the art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application; • The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; • How the proposed location of the proposed project will support technology development and long-term success; • The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and • The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project.
Addendum	1 page maximum	<p>Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed project team, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and project team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities; and • Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i. of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. See Section VI.A.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must complete the following application forms found at [EERE Funding Application and Management Forms](#) and on the EERE eXCHANGE website at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE eXCHANGE to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant.

i. Full Application Content Requirements

Each Full Application must be limited to a single concept. Full Applications must conform to the following requirements and must not exceed the stated page limits.

Component	File Format	Page Limit	File Name
SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424
Technical Volume	PDF	20	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume
Resumes	PDF	3 pages each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes
Letters of Commitment	PDF	1 page each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs
Statement of Project Objectives	MS Word	15	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
Diversity Equity and Inclusion Plan	PDF	5	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP
Budget Justification Workbook	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification
Summary/Abstract for Public Release	PDF	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary
Summary Slide	MS PowerPoint	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
Subrecipient Budget Justification	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
DOE Work Proposal for FFRDC, (see DOE O 412.1A, Attachment 2)	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP
Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
Waiver Requests	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
Current and Pending Support	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CPS
Location(s) of Work	Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOW
Transparency of Foreign Connections	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TFC

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_PDFN
--	-----	-----	-------------------------------------

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. See Section IV.B.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Applicants must complete the SF-424 Application for Federal Assistance, which is available on [EERE Funding Application and Management Forms](#).

Effective January 1, 2020, the System for Award Management (SAM) is the central repository for common government-wide certifications and representations required of Federal grants recipients. As registration in SAM is required for eligibility for a federal award and registration must be updated annually, Federal agencies use SAM information to comply with award requirements and avoid increased burden and costs of separate requests for such information, unless the recipient fails to meet a federal award requirement, or there is a need to make updates to their SAM registration for other purposes.

Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase, or other subset of the project period.

Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_424”.

iii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements. This volume must address the technical review criteria as discussed in Section V. of the FOA.

Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume”.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than **20** pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the technical review criteria (see Section V.A.ii. of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper.

Technical Volume Content Requirements	
SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, names of the PI, Senior/Key Personnel and their organizations, the project location(s), and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Project Overview (Approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	<p>The Project Overview should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background: The applicant should discuss the background of its organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. • Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. • DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.
Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (Approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	<p>The Technical Description should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. • Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. • Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state-of-the-art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state-of-the-art/technical baseline if the project is successful.
Workplan and Market Transformation Plan	The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

<p>(Approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>decision points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes. • Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period • WBS and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as “we will then complete a proprietary process” is unacceptable). It is the applicant’s responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks. • Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely (SMART) technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO. • Go/No-Go Decision Points (See Section VI.B.xiv. for more information on the Go/No-Go Review): The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at
--	---

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

appropriate points in the Workplan. At a minimum, each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. The applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to evaluate the project at the Go/No-Go decision point. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered “SMART” and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone.

- End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). At a minimum, each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO.
- Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points.
- Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects: Within the first two pages of the Workplan, include a short statement on whether the project will involve the construction, alteration, and/or repair of infrastructure in the United States. See Appendix D for applicable definitions and other information to inform this statement.
- Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team’s proposed management plan, including the following:
 - The overall approach to and organization for managing the work;
 - The roles of each project team member;
 - Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among project team members;
 - The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices;
 - The approach to project risk management;
 - A description of how project changes will be handled;
 - If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control;
 - How communications will be maintained among project team members.
- Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following:
 - Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, and product distribution.
<p>Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● A description of the project team’s unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients; ● A description of the project team’s existing equipment and facilities, or equipment or facilities already in place on the proposed project site, that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project; ● Relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives; ● The time commitment of the key team members to support the project; ● A description of the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable; ● The skills, certifications, or other credentials of the construction and ongoing operations workforce; ● For multi-organizational projects, describe succinctly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The roles and the work to be performed by the PI and Senior/Key Personnel at the prime and sub levels; ○ Business agreements between the applicant and sub; ○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; ○ Process for making decisions on technical direction; ○ Publication arrangements; ○ Intellectual property issues; and ○ Communication plans

iv. Resumes

A resume provides information reviewers can use to evaluate an individual’s skills, experience, and potential for leadership within the scientific community. Applicants must submit a resume (limited to three pages) for each Principal Investigator and Senior/Key Personnel that includes the following:

1. Contact information;

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

2. Education and training: Provide name of institution, major/area, degree, and year for undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral training;
3. Research and professional experience: Beginning with the current position, list professional/academic positions in chronological order with a brief description. List all current academic, professional, or institutional appointments, foreign or domestic, at the applicant institution or elsewhere, whether or not remuneration is received, and, whether full-time, part-time, or voluntary;
4. Awards and honors;
5. A list of up to 10 publications most closely related to the proposed project. For each publication, identify the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article title, book or journal title, volume number, page numbers, year of publication, and website address if available electronically. Patents, copyrights, and software systems developed may be provided in addition to or substituted for publications. An abbreviated style such as the Physical Review Letters (PRL) convention for citations (list only the first author) may be used for publications with more than 10 authors;
6. Synergistic activities: List up to five professional and scholarly activities related to the proposed effort; and
7. There should be no lapses in time over the past 10 years or since age 18, whichever period is shorter.

As an alternative to a resume, it is acceptable to use the biographical sketch format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The biographical sketch format may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, also available at https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/researchprotection/commonform_biographicalsketch.pdf. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes".

v. Letters of Commitment

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third-party cost share providers. If applicable, the letter must state that the third party is committed to providing a specific minimum dollar amount or value of in-kind contributions allocated to cost sharing. The following information for each third party contributing to cost sharing should be identified: (1) the name of the organization; (2) the proposed dollar amount to be provided; and (3) the

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

proposed cost sharing type (cash-or in-kind contributions). Each letter must not exceed one page.

Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs”.

Letters of support or endorsement for the project from entities that do not have a substantive role in the project will not be accepted.

vi. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)

Applicants must complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on [EERE Funding Application and Management Forms and](#) on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 15 pages when printed using standard 8.5” x 11” paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12-point (except in figures or tables, which may be 10-point font).

Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO”.

vii. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan

As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from groups underrepresented in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities. The plan should include at least one SMART milestone per Budget Period supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions and will be incorporated into the award if selected. The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan should contain the following information:

- Equity Impacts: the impacts of the proposed project on underserved communities, including social and environmental impacts.
- Benefits: The overall benefits of the proposed project, if funded, to underserved communities; and
- How diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of actions that can serve as examples of ways the proposed project could incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion elements. These examples should not be considered either comprehensive or

prescriptive. Applicants may include appropriate actions not covered by these examples.

- a. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as PI, co-PI, and/or other senior personnel;
- b. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as student researchers or post-doctoral researchers;
- c. Include faculty or students from Minority Serving Institutions as PI/co-PI, senior personnel, and/or student researchers, as applicable;
- d. Enhance or collaborate with existing diversity programs at your home organization and/or nearby organizations;
- e. Collaborate with students, researchers, and staff in Minority Serving Institutions;
- f. Disseminate results of research and development in Minority Serving Institutions or other appropriate institutions serving underserved communities;
- g. Implement evidence-based, diversity-focused education programs (such as implicit bias training for staff) in your organization;
- h. Identify Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses and Veteran Owned Businesses to solicit as vendors and sub-contractors for bids on supplies, services, and equipment.

The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan must not exceed 5 pages. Save the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP”.

viii. Budget Justification Workbook

Applicants must complete the Budget Justification Workbook, which is available on [EERE Funding Application and Management Forms and](https://eere-exCHANGE.energy.gov/) on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-exCHANGE.energy.gov/>. Applicants must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project, including all work to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The “Instructions and Summary” included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the “Instructions and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook.

Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification”.

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

ix. Summary for Public Release

Applicants must submit a one-page summary of their project that is suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or business-sensitive information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The summary must not exceed one page when printed using standard 8.5" x 11" paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12-point.

Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary".

x. Summary Slide

Applicants must provide a single slide summarizing the proposed project. The Summary Slide template is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/> and must include the following information:

- A technology summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, prime recipient, PI, and Senior/Key Personnel information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Save the Summary Slide in a single Microsoft PowerPoint file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

xi. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25% of the total work effort, whichever is less. The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above.

Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title:

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

xii. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE work proposal (WP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1A, Work Authorization System, Attachment 2, available at:

<https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-chg1-AdmChg>.

Save the WP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP".

xiii. Authorization for Non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award.

Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth".

xiv. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xv. Waiver Requests (if applicable)

Foreign Entity Participation

For projects selected under this FOA, all recipients and subrecipients must qualify as domestic entities. See Section III.A. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a waiver request.

Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver Request)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work for projects selected under this FOA must be performed in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver”.

xvi. Current and Pending Support

Current and pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. As part of the application, the principal investigator and Senior/Key Personnel at the applicant and subrecipient level must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual’s research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All connections with foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding;
- The award or other identifying number;
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research;
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs and cost share. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding;

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

-
- The award period (start date through end date); and
 - The person-months of effort per year dedicated to the award or activity.

To identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.

Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to either the applicant institution or DOE. Supporting documents of any identified source of support must be provided to DOE on request, including certified translations of any document.

PIs and Senior/Key Personnel must provide a separate disclosure statement listing the required information above regarding current and pending support. Each individual must sign and date their respective disclosure statement and include the following certification statement:

I, [Full Name and Title], certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information contained in this Current and Pending Support Disclosure Statement is true, complete, and accurate. I understand that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, misrepresentations, half-truths, or omissions of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 287, and 31 U.S.C. 3729-3733 and 3801-3812). I further understand and agree that (1) the statements and representations made herein are material to DOE's funding decision, and (2) I have a responsibility to update the disclosures during the period of performance of the award should circumstances change which impact the responses provided above.

The information may be provided in the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, and is also available at https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/researchprotection/commonform_cps.pdf.

The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats. If the NSF format is used, the individual must still include a signature, date, and a certification statement using the language included in the paragraph above.

Save the Current and Pending Support in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CPS".

Definitions:

Current and pending support – (a) All resources made available, or expected to be made available, to an individual in support of the individual's RD&D efforts, regardless of (i) whether the source is foreign or domestic; (ii) whether the resource is made available through the entity applying for an award or directly to the individual; or (iii) whether the resource has monetary value; and (b) includes in-kind contributions requiring a commitment of time and directly supporting the individual's RD&D efforts, such as the provision of office or laboratory space, equipment, supplies, employees, or students. This term has the same meaning as the term Other Support as applied to researchers in NSPM-33: For researchers, Other Support includes all resources made available to a researcher in support of and/or related to all of their professional RD&D efforts, including resources provided directly to the individual or through the organization, and regardless of whether or not they have monetary value (e.g., even if the support received is only in-kind, such as office/laboratory space, equipment, supplies, or employees). This includes resource and/or financial support from all foreign and domestic entities, including but not limited to gifts provided with terms or conditions, financial support for laboratory personnel, and participation of student and visiting researchers supported by other sources of funding.

Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program – An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to physically relocate to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at United States research facilities or receipt of federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to United States entities. Compensation could take many forms including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Senior/Key Personnel – An individual who contributes in a substantive, meaningful way to the scientific development or execution of a research, development, and demonstration (RD&D) project proposed to be carried out with a DOE award.⁶⁰

xvii. Locations of Work

The applicant must provide a list of locations where project work will be performed by the prime recipient or subrecipient(s) including the following information for each location:

- Location Type
- Location Type Category
- Is this a Principal Place of Performance?
- Prime or Subrecipient Location?
- If Subrecipient, Subrecipient/Community Name
- Facility Name (if applicable)
- Is location in a foreign country?
- Street Address, City, State, 5-Digit Zip Code - +4
- Briefly describe the primary activity at this location or with this population. For example, management headquarters; construction, operations, production; raw materials extraction, etc.
- Latitude/Longitude
- Does the location or community qualify as a disadvantaged community (DAC) according to the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST)?
- If DAC, add the census tract number or describe the distributed disadvantaged community served (e.g., migrant workers)
- % of work performed at this location

For your convenience, a Locations of Work template is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. Applicants are strongly encouraged to use the template. If the template is not used, the submission must include all of the elements described above, and as outlined in the template.

Applicants must provide the Locations of Work Documentation as a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title: "Control Number_LeadOrganization_LOW."

⁶⁰ Typically, these individuals have doctoral or other professional degrees, although individuals at the master's or baccalaureate level may be considered Senior/Key Personnel if their involvement meets this definition. Consultants, graduate students, and those with a postdoctoral role also may be considered Senior/Key Personnel if they meet this definition.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

xviii. Transparency of Foreign Connections

Applicants must provide the following as it relates to the proposed recipient and subrecipients. Include a separate disclosure for the applicant and each proposed subrecipient. U.S. National Laboratories, domestic government entities, and institutions of higher education are only required to respond to items 1, 2 and 9, and if applying as to serve as the prime recipient, must provide complete responses for project team members that are not U.S. National Laboratories, domestic government entities, or institutions of higher education.

1. Entity name, website address, and mailing address;
2. The identity of all owners, principal investigators, project managers, and Senior/Key Personnel who are a party to any *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program* of a foreign country of risk (i.e., China, Iran, North Korea, and Russia);
3. The existence of any joint venture or subsidiary that is based in, funded by, or has a foreign affiliation with any foreign country of risk;
4. Any current or pending contractual or financial obligation or other agreement specific to a business arrangement, or joint venture-like arrangement with an enterprise owned by a foreign state or any foreign entity;
5. Percentage, if any, that the proposed recipient or subrecipient has foreign ownership or control;
6. Percentage, if any, that the proposed recipient or subrecipient is wholly or partially owned by an entity in a foreign country of risk;
7. Percentage, if any, of venture capital or institutional investment by an entity that has a general partner or individual holding a leadership role in such entity who has a foreign affiliation with any foreign country of risk;
8. Any technology licensing or intellectual property sales to a foreign country of risk, during the 5-year period preceding submission of the proposal;
9. Any foreign business entity, offshore entity, or entity outside the United States related to the proposed recipient or subrecipient;
10. Complete list of all directors (and board observers), including their full name, citizenship and shareholder affiliation, date of appointment, duration of term, as well as a description of observer rights as applicable;
11. Complete capitalization table for your entity, including all equity interests (including LLC and partnership interests, as well as derivative securities). Include both the number of shares issued to each equity holder, as well as the percentage of that series and all equity on a fully diluted basis. Identify the principal place of incorporation (or organization) for each equity holder. If the equity holder is a natural person, identify the citizenship(s). If the recipient or subrecipient is a publicly traded

company, provide the above information for shareholders with an interest greater than 5%;

12. A summary table identifying all rounds of financing, the purchase dates, the investors for each round, and all the associated governance and information rights obtained by investors during each round of financing; and
13. An organization chart to illustrate the relationship between your entity and the immediate parent, ultimate parent, and any intermediate parent, as well as any subsidiary or affiliates. Identify where each entity is incorporated.

DOE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information based on the information submitted.

Save the Transparency of Foreign Connections information in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TFC.”

xix. Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice

If the applicant or project team member has other active awards of federal funds, the applicant must determine whether the activities of those awards potentially overlap with the activities set forth in its application to this FOA. If there is a potential overlap, the applicant must notify DOE in writing of the potential overlap and state how it will ensure any project funds (i.e., recipient cost share and federal funds) will not be used for identical cost items under multiple awards. Likewise, for projects that receive funding under this FOA, if a recipient or project team member receives any other award of federal funds for activities that potentially overlap with the activities funded under the DOE award, the recipient must promptly notify DOE in writing of the potential overlap and state whether project funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items under the DOE award. If there are identical cost items, the recipient must promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing of the potential duplication and eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

Save the Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_PDFN.”

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments (Reply). The Reply must not exceed three pages. If a

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Reply is more than three pages in length, EERE will review only the first three pages and disregard additional pages. Applicants may use the Reply to respond to one or more comments or to supplement their Full Application. The Reply may include text, graphs, charts, or data.

EERE will post the reviewer comments in EERE eXCHANGE. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE eXCHANGE if the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their Reply due to failure to check EERE eXCHANGE or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit a Reply.

Applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

F. Post Selection Information Requests

If selected for award negotiations, EERE reserves the right to require that selected applicants provide additional or clarifying information regarding the application submissions, the project, the project team, the award requirements, and any other matters related to anticipated award. The following is a list of examples of information that may be required:

- Personnel proposed to work on the project and collaborating organizations (See Section VI.B.xix. Participants and Collaborating Organizations);
- Current and Pending Support (See Sections IV.D.xvi. and VI.B.xx. Current and Pending Support);
- A Data Management Plan describing how all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work will be digitally accessible at the time of publications, in accordance with Section VI.B.xxiii.;
- Indirect cost information;
- Other budget information;
- Letters of Commitment from third parties contributing to cost share, if applicable;
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
- Information for the DOE Office of Civil Rights to process assurance reviews under 10 CFR 1040;
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable; and
- Environmental Questionnaire.

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

G. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR 25.110(d)) is required to: (1) register in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting an application; (2) provide a valid UEI in the application; and (3) maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

NOTE: Due to the high demand of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process they should use the [HELP](#) feature on [SAM.gov](#). SAM.gov will work entity service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

H. Submission Dates and Times

All required submissions must be submitted in EERE eXCHANGE no later than 5 p.m. ET on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles. Pursuant to 2 CFR 910.352, the cost principles in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (48 CFR 31.2) apply to for-profit

entities. The cost principles contained in 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E apply to all entities other than for-profits.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Applicants selected for award negotiations (selectees) must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the federal award and **only** with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-Award Costs

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for their project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer overrides the requirement to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE awards must be performed in the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. [Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.](#)

Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a United States flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

vii. Build America Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects

Pursuant to the Build America Buy America Act, subtitle IX of BIL (Buy America, or BABA), federally assisted projects that involve infrastructure work, undertaken by applicable recipient types, require that:

- All iron, steel, and manufactured products used in the infrastructure work are produced in the United States; and
- All construction materials used in the infrastructure work are manufactured in the United States.

Whether a given project must apply this requirement is project-specific and dependent on several factors, such as the recipient's entity type, whether the work involves "infrastructure," as defined in Section 70914 of the BIL, and whether the infrastructure in question is publicly owned or serves a public function.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult Appendix D of this FOA to determine whether their project may have to apply this requirement, both to make an early determination as to the need of a waiver, as well as to determine what impact, if any, this requirement may have on the proposed project's budget.

Please note that, based on implementation guidance from the Office of Management and Budget issued on April 18, 2022, the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a "non-Federal entity," e.g., a State, local government, Indian Tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or nonprofit organization. Subawards should conform to the terms of the prime award from which they flow; in other words, for-profit prime recipients are not required to flow down these Buy America requirements to subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are non-Federal entities as defined above. Conversely, prime recipients which are non-Federal entities must flow the Buy America requirements down to all

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are for-profit entities. Finally, for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient to: (1) fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-produced products and (2) fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and domestically manufactured products that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation. Applicants may seek waivers of these requirements in very limited circumstances and for good cause shown. Further details on requesting a waiver can be found in Appendix D and the terms and conditions of an award.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult Appendix D for more information.

viii. Lobbying

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities” (<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

ix. Risk Assessment

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.206, DOE will conduct an additional review of the risk posed by applications submitted under this FOA. Such risk assessment will consider:

1. Financial stability;
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in 2 CFR 200 as amended and adopted by 2 CFR 910;
3. History of performance;
4. Audit reports and findings; and
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

DOE may make use of other publicly available information and the history of an applicant's performance under DOE or other federal agency awards.

Depending on the severity of the findings and whether the findings were resolved, DOE may elect not to fund the applicant.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180 and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

Further, as DOE invests in critical infrastructure and funds critical and emerging technology areas, DOE also considers possible threats to United States research, technology, and economic security from undue foreign government influence when evaluating risk. If high risks are identified and cannot be sufficiently mitigated, DOE may elect to not fund the applicant.

x. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

xi. Prohibition Related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs**a. Prohibition**

Persons participating in a *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk* are prohibited from participating in projects selected for federal funding under this FOA. Should an award result from this FOA, the recipient must exercise ongoing due diligence to reasonably ensure that no individuals participating on the DOE-funded project are participating in a *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk*. Consequences for violations of this prohibition will be determined according to applicable law, regulations, and policy. Further, the recipient must notify DOE within five (5) business days upon learning that an individual on the project team is or is believed to be participating in a foreign government talent recruitment program of a foreign country of risk. DOE may modify and add requirements related to this prohibition to the extent required by law.

b. Definitions

- 1. Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program.** An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to relocate physically to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at United States research facilities or receipt of federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to U.S. entities. Compensation could take many forms including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.

-
2. **Foreign Country of Risk.** DOE has designated the following countries as foreign countries of risk: Iran, North Korea, Russia, and China. This list is subject to change.

xii. Affirmative Action and Pay Transparency Requirements

All applicants must comply with all applicable federal labor and employment laws, including but not limited to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Occupational Safety and Health Act, and the National Labor Relations Act, which protects employees' right to bargain collectively and engage in concerted activities for the purpose of workers' mutual aid or protection.

All federally assisted construction contracts exceeding \$10,000 annually will be subject to the requirements of Executive Order 11246:

(1) Recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors are prohibited from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin.

(2) Recipients and contractors are required to take affirmative action to ensure that equal opportunity is provided in all aspects of their employment. This includes flowing down the appropriate language to all subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors.

(3) Recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors are prohibited from taking adverse employment actions against applicants and employees for asking about, discussing, or sharing information about their pay or, under certain circumstances, the pay of their co-workers.

DOL's Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Programs (OFCCP) uses a neutral process to schedule compliance evaluations. Consult OFCCP's Technical Assistance Guide⁶¹ to gain an understanding of the requirements and possible actions the recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors must take. Additional guidance may also be found in the National Policy Assurances, produced by DOE.

xiii. Foreign Collaboration Considerations

- a. Consideration of new collaborations with foreign entities and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with advanced written

⁶¹ See OFCCP's Technical Assistance Guide at:

<https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/ofccp/Construction/files/ConstructionTAG.pdf?msclkid=9e397d68c4b111e9c9d8e6fecb6c710ec>. Also see the National Policy Assurances <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

notification of any potential collaboration with foreign entities or governments in connection with its DOE-funded award scope. The recipient will then be required to await further guidance from DOE prior to contacting the proposed foreign entity or government regarding the potential collaboration or negotiating the terms of any potential agreement.

- b. Existing collaborations with foreign entities and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with a written list of all existing foreign collaborations in which has entered in connection with its DOE-funded award scope.
- c. Description of collaborations that should be reported. In general, a collaboration will involve some provision of a thing of value to, or from, the recipient. A thing of value includes but may not be limited to all resources made available to, or from, the recipient in support of and/or related to the DOE award, regardless of whether or not they have monetary value. Things of value also may include in-kind contributions (such as office/laboratory space, data, equipment, supplies, employees, students). In-kind contributions not intended for direct use on the DOE award but resulting in provision of a thing of value from or to the DOE award must also be
- d. reported. Collaborations do not include routine workshops, conferences, use of the recipient's services and facilities by foreign investigators resulting from its standard published process for evaluating requests for access, or the routine use of foreign facilities by awardee staff in accordance with the recipient's standard policies and procedures.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state of the art;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- The applicant has identified risks and challenges of the technology, regulatory and financial aspects of the proposal including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities, and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the technical review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative with topic-specific emphases for;
 - Topic Area 1, Subtopic 1.1: Processes and Machines for Sodium-ion Batteries
 - The extent to which proposed innovations in production machinery/equipment outperform existing technology in terms of improving yield, efficiency, cost, productivity, energy usage, safety, and lifetime.
 - The ability to leverage collaboration between manufacturing process developers and domestic machine/equipment builders to accelerate commercialization of NIB technology.
 - The degree to which proposed processes incorporate natural or recycled feedstocks, while maintaining desirable material properties such as high purity and optimal morphology.
 - Topic Area 1, Subtopic 1.2: Processes and Design for Manufacturability of Flow Batteries
 - The level of focus on processes that produce membranes with improved strength, durability and technical performance that can be adapted for use in different battery designs and chemistry.
 - The compatibility of proposed manufacturing processes towards large-area/high throughput production and validation of scale-up within the project.
 - The ability of proposed system designs to incorporate standardized, modular, and scalable flow battery systems that enhance flexibility of application, facilitate rapid assembly,

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- reduce installation burden, and maintain cost-effective operation/maintenance.
 - The contribution to standardization of flow battery technology via improving interoperability of components, development of processing and manufacturing standards, and performance measurements at the module/system level.
 - Topic Area 1, Subtopic 1.3: Scalable Manufacturing of Nanolayered Films for Energy Storage
 - The amenability of the proposed nanolayered film towards production of large-area rolls suitable for commercial-scale applications.
 - The effectiveness of the approach to scaling up the film production process in a manner that maintains a high level of technical performance while improving manufacturing cost and output metrics.
 - The relevance of the proposed technology towards batteries and energy storage applications.
 - Topic Area 2: Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production
 - The extent to which proposed technologies improve manufacturability, scalability, reproducibility, and/or circularity in battery manufacturing.
 - The ability of proposed machines/equipment to incorporate flexibility and expandability that will facilitate continuous development and manufacturing scale-up.
 - The strength of collaboration between manufacturing process developers, smart manufacturing experts, and machine/equipment builders.
 - Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
 - Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state of the art to the proposed advancement;
 - Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations, and discussion of prior work, with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work;
 - Extent to which project has buy-in from needed stakeholders to ensure success;
 - Degree to which key manufacturing and supply chain challenges are considered, as applicable, for viable scale-up in this and future demonstrations;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- Degree to which siting and environmental constraints are considered for deployment;
 - Extent to which project has the potential to reduce emissions and provide clean energy acceleration benefits for a community or region; and
 - Sufficiency of existing infrastructure to support addition of proposed demonstration.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- Ability of the project to advance industry adoption;
- Extent to which the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics;
- Potential impact of the project on advancing the state of the art;
- Extent to which demonstration is replicable and may lead to future deployment; and
- Extent to which the project facilitates stakeholder relationships across new or existing stakeholders to gain technical buy-in and increase potential for future deployments.

Project Management

- Adequacy of proposed project management systems including the ability to track scope, cost, and schedule progress and changes;
- Reasonableness of budget and spend plan as detailed in the budget justification workbook for proposed project and objectives;
- Adequacy of contingency funding based on quality of cost estimate and identified risks;
- Adequacy, reasonableness, and soundness of the project schedule, as well as periodic Go/No-Go decisions prior to further funds disbursement, interim milestones, and metrics to track process;
- Adequacy, reasonableness, and soundness of the project schedule, as well as annual Go/No-Go decisions prior to a budget period continuation application, interim milestones, and metrics to track process;
- Adequacy of the identification of risks, including labor and community opposition or disputes, and “timely” and appropriate strategies for mitigation and resolution; and
- Soundness of a plan to expeditiously address environmental, siting, and other regulatory requirements for the project, including evaluation of resilience to climate change.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (25%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Research Approach, Workplan, and SOPO

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

-
- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
 - Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

- Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- Level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined project baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, and product distribution.

Industry Adoption Plan

- Identification of the interest and extent of industry adoption of the technology/process.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (15%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- Capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- Diversity of expertise and perspectives of the team and the inclusion of industry partners that will amplify impact;
- Sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- Degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further demonstration, development, and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- Level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
 - Reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

Criterion 4: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (10%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The quality and manner in which the measures incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion goals in the project; and
- Extent to which the project benefits underserved communities.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective September 2020, which is available at: <https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current>.

C. Other Selection Factors**i. Program Policy Factors**

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;

- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty;
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications);
- The degree to which the proposed project incorporates applicant or team members from Minority Serving Institutions (e.g., Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/Other Minority Institutions (OMIs)); and partnerships with Minority Business Enterprises, minority-owned businesses, woman-owned businesses, veteran-owned businesses, or Indian Tribes; and
- The degree to which the proposed project will employ procurement of U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials.
- The degree to which the proposed project avoids duplication/overlap with other publicly or privately funded work.
- The degree to which the proposed project enables new and expanding market segments.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iii. Recipient Responsibility and Qualifications

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any responsibility and qualification information about the applicant that is in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov) and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov).

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov), in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 CFR 200.206.

iv. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE eXCHANGE. EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notifications.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Applicants Selected for Award Negotiations

Successful applicants will receive written notification that they have been selected for award negotiations. Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award nor is it a

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

guarantee of federal government funding. Applicants do not receive an award unless and until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions applicants must take before applying to this FOA. Some of these may take several weeks, so it is vital applicants build in enough time to complete them. Failure to complete these actions could interfere with application or negotiation deadlines or the ability to receive an award if selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Funding Opportunity Exchange (eXCHANGE)

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

Register and create an account on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>. This account will allow the user to apply to any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE eXCHANGE.

To access [EERE eXCHANGE](#), potential applicants must have a [Login.gov](#) account. As part of the eXCHANGE registration process, new users will be directed to create an account in Login.gov. Please note that the email address associated with Login.gov must match the email address associated with the eXCHANGE account. For more information, refer to the eXCHANGE Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) Quick Guide in the [Manuals section](#) of eXCHANGE.

Each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, should use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.** The eXCHANGE registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.**

2. System for Award Management

Register with the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov>. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

3. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at <https://www.fedconnect.net>. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

4. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. Please note that Letters of Intent, Concept Papers, and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE eXCHANGE and

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Participation

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA and project participants (including subrecipients and contractors) who anticipate involving foreign nationals in the performance of an award, may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to satisfy requirements for foreign national participation. A "foreign national" is defined as any person who is not a United States citizen by birth or naturalization. The volume and type of information collected may depend on various factors associated with the award. DOE concurrence may be required before a foreign national can participate in the performance of any work under an award.

DOE may elect to deny a foreign national's participation in the award. Likewise, DOE may elect to deny a foreign national's access to a DOE site, information, technologies, equipment, programs, or personnel.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For

additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at <https://www.energy.gov/nepa>.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Flood Resilience

Applications should indicate whether the proposed project location(s) is within a floodplain, how the floodplain was defined, and how flooding will factor into the project's design. The base floodplain long used for planning has been the 100-year floodplain, which has a 1% chance of flooding in any given year. As directed by Executive Order 13690, Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard and a Process for Further Soliciting and Considering Stakeholder Input (2015), federal agencies, including DOE, must continue to avoid development in a floodplain to the extent possible. When doing so is not possible, federal agencies are directed to "expand management from the current base flood level to a higher vertical elevation and corresponding horizontal floodplain to address current and future flood risk and ensure that projects funded with taxpayer dollars last as long as intended." The higher flood elevation is based on one of three approaches: climate-informed science (preferred), freeboard value, or 0.2% annual flood change (500-year floodplain). EO 13690 and related information is available at: <https://www.energy.gov/nepa/articles/eo-13690-establishing-federal-flood-risk-management-standard-and-process-further>.

viii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations

In submitting an application to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and
 - b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations, a corporation is any for-profit or nonprofit entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations].

3. **Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations**

In submitting an application to this FOA the applicant represents that:

- a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.
- b. It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) *“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”*
 - (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

(<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf>), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf>), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

- (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

ix. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

x. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.
2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).
4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

To ensure that prime recipients, subrecipients, and contractors holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for ten (10) years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xii. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

xiii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement.

For all Subtopics under Topic Area 1, Platforms for Next Generation Battery Manufacturing, in addition to the Federal Assistance Reporting Requirements Checklist the following deliverables are required for awards made under this topic:

- Quarterly quantitative assessments of production volume and product qualification.
- A TEA or cost modeling for production volumes consistent with U.S. supply needs.
- By the end of the project, project teams must submit the final testing and validation data as described by their testing and validation plan developed at the start of their project.

For Topic Area 2, Smart Manufacturing Platforms for Battery Production, AMMTO recognizes that process data is the basis of manufacturing R&D. A large volume of data such as graphics, sequential data, and metadata is often buried in the growing volume of processing data for battery manufacturing. A common limitation of these data sources is that information is not in a structured format.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Thus, there is a critical need to develop more efficient ways to collect, store, and analyze data from manufacturing processes in standardized formats. Standards for data formatting are important to facilitate data use in AI/ML/DL and other smart manufacturing practices. Ideal data formats can be extended to any other type of process data, facilitating coordinated R&D within the manufacturing ecosystem.

Therefore, in addition to the Federal Assistance Reporting Requirements Checklist, applicants who will apply data-intensive methods (e.g., high-throughput processing, AI, ML, DL, etc.) will be required to publish project datasets through a designated, DOE-approved data repository using appropriate content models and/or data formats for the relevant field of study. A report is required as a deliverable to show submission of the datasets to the repository.

xiv. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. A Go/No-Go Review is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to beginning the execution of future phases. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) EERE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application;⁶² and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

⁶² A continuation application is a non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the recipient must submit its continuation application, which includes the following information:

- i. A progress report on the project objectives, including significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending, or terminating the award.

xv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the U.S. government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xvi. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1 million the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement

-
- ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.
 - iii. A description of any planned changes from the SOPO and/or Milestone Summary Table.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

xvii. Real Property and Equipment

Real property and equipment purchased with project funds (federal share and recipient cost share) are subject to the requirements at 2 CFR 200.310, 200.311, 200.313, and 200.316 (non-federal entities, except for-profit entities) and 2 CFR 910.360 (for-profit entities).

For projects selected for awards under this FOA, the recipients may (1) take disposition action on the real property and equipment; or (2) continue to use the real property and equipment after the conclusion of the award period of performance with Contracting Officer approval. The recipient's written request for Continued Use must identify the property and include: a summary of how the property will be used (must align with the authorized project purposes); a proposed use period, (e.g., perpetuity, until fully depreciated, or a calendar date when the recipient expects to submit disposition instructions); acknowledgement that the recipient shall not sell or encumber the property or permit any encumbrance without prior written DOE approval; current fair market value of the property; and an estimated useful life or depreciation schedule for equipment.

When the property is no longer needed for authorized project purposes, the recipient must request disposition instructions from DOE. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth in 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

xviii. Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech, and Religious Liberty

States, local governments, and other public entities may not condition subawards in a manner that would discriminate against or otherwise disadvantage subrecipients based on their religious character.

xix. Participants and Collaborating Organizations

If selected for award negotiations, the selected applicant must submit a list of personnel who are proposed to work on the project, both at the recipient and subrecipient level and a list of collaborating organizations prior to award. Recipients will have an ongoing responsibility to notify DOE of changes to the personnel and collaborating organizations and submit updated information during the life of the award.

xx. Current and Pending Support

If selected for award negotiations, within 30 days of the selection notice, the selectee must submit 1) current and pending support disclosures and resumes for any new PIs or Senior/Key Personnel and 2) updated disclosures if there have been any changes to the current and pending support submitted with the application. Throughout the life of the award, the Recipient has an ongoing responsibility to submit 1) current and pending support disclosure statements and resumes for any new PI and Senior/Key Personnel and 2) updated disclosures if there are changes to the current and pending support previously submitted to DOE. Also see Section IV.D.xvi.

xxi. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

A primary objective of DOE's multi-billion-dollar research, development and demonstration investments is to cultivate new research and development ecosystems, manufacturing capabilities, and supply chains for and by United States industry and labor. Therefore, in exchange for receiving taxpayer dollars to support an applicant's project, the applicant and any subrecipient and contractor must agree to a U.S. Competitiveness provision requiring that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States unless the recipient can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible. Award terms, including the specific U.S. Competitiveness Provision applicable to the various types of recipients and projects, are available at: <https://www.energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

Please note that a subject invention is any invention conceived or first actually reduced in performance of work under an award. An invention is any invention or discovery which is or may be patentable. The recipient includes any awardee, recipient, sub-awardee, or sub-recipient.

As noted in the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, if an entity cannot meet the requirements of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, the entity may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision. For example, the entity may propose modifying the language of the U.S. Competitiveness

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Provision in order to change the scope of the requirements or to provide more specifics on the application of the requirements for a particular technology. As another example, the entity may request that the U.S. Competitiveness Provision be waived in lieu of a net benefits statement or United States manufacturing plan. The statement or plan would contain specific and enforceable commitments that would be beneficial to the United States economy and competitiveness. Examples of such commitments could include manufacturing specific products in the United States, making a specific investment in a new or existing United States manufacturing facility, keeping certain activities based in the United States or supporting a certain number of jobs in the United States related to the technology. DOE may, in its sole discretion, determine that the proposed modification or waiver promotes commercialization and provides substantial United States economic benefits, and grant the request. If granted, DOE will modify the award terms and conditions for the requesting entity accordingly.

More information and guidance on the waiver and modification request process can be found in the DOE Financial Assistance Letter on this topic, available at <https://www.energy.gov/management/pf-2022-09-fal-2022-01-implementation-doe-determination-exceptional-circumstances-under>. Additional information on DOE's Commitment to Domestic Manufacturing for DOE-funded R&D is available at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/us-manufacturing>.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is implemented by DOE pursuant to a Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) under the Bayh-Dole Act and DOE Patent Waivers. See Section VIII.J. Title to Subject Inventions of this FOA for more information on the DEC and DOE Patent Waivers.

xxii. Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance

The DOE interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance (COI Policy)⁶³ is applicable to all non-Federal entities applying for, or that receive, DOE funding by means of a financial assistance award (e.g., a grant, cooperative agreement, or technology investment agreement) and, through the implementation of this policy by the entity, to each Investigator who is planning to participate in, or is participating in, the project funded wholly or in part under the DOE financial assistance award. The term "Investigator" means the PI and any other person, regardless of title or position, who is responsible for the purpose, design, conduct, or reporting of a project funded by DOE or proposed for funding by DOE. Recipients must flow down the requirements of the interim COI Policy to any subrecipient non-federal entities. Further, for DOE funded projects, the

⁶³ DOE's interim COI Policy can be found at [PF 2022-17 FAL 2022-02 Department of Energy Interim Conflict of Interest Policy Requirements for Financial Assistance](#).

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

recipient must include all financial conflicts of interest (FCOI) (i.e., managed, and unmanaged/ unmanageable) in its initial and ongoing FCOI reports.

It is understood that non-federal entities and individuals receiving DOE financial assistance awards will need sufficient time to come into full compliance with DOE's interim COI Policy. To provide some flexibility, DOE allows for a staggered implementation. Specifically, prior to award, applicants selected for award negotiations must: ensure all Investigators complete their significant financial disclosures; review the disclosures; determine whether a FCOI exists; develop and implement a management plan for FCOIs; and provide DOE with an initial FCOI report that includes all FCOIs (i.e., managed, and unmanaged/ unmanageable). Recipients will have 180 days from the date of the award to come into full compliance with the other requirements set forth in DOE's interim COI Policy. Prior to award, the applicant must certify that it is, or will be within 180 days of the award, compliant with all requirements in the COI Policy.

xxiii. Data Management Plan

Each applicant whose Full Application is selected for award negotiations will be required to submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) during the award negotiations phase. A DMP explains how, when appropriate, data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved to validate the results of the proposed work or how the results could be validated if the data is not shared or preserved. The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications.

xxiv. Fraud, Waste, and Abuse

The mission of the DOE Office of Inspector General (OIG) is to strengthen the integrity, economy, and efficiency of the Department's programs and operations including deterring and detecting fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement. The OIG accomplishes this mission primarily through investigations, audits, and inspections of DOE activities to include grants, cooperative agreements, loans, and contracts.

The OIG maintains a hotline for reporting allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement. To report such allegations, please visit <https://www.energy.gov/ig/ig-hotline>.

Additionally, recipients of DOE awards must be cognizant of the requirements of [2 CFR 200.113 Mandatory disclosures](#), which states:

The non-Federal entity or applicant for a federal award must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the Federal awarding

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Non-Federal entities that have received a federal award including the term and condition outlined in appendix XII of 2 CFR Part 200 are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM.gov. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in [2 CFR 200.339](#). (See also [2 CFR part 180](#), [31 U.S.C. § 3321](#), and [41 U.S.C. § 2313](#).) [[85 FR 49539](#), Aug. 13, 2020]

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) are encouraged to allocate sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated for personnel and data infrastructure needs to support performance management and program evaluation needs, including but not limited to independent program and project audits to mitigate risks for fraud, waste, and abuse.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process described below. Questions regarding this FOA must be submitted to PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov no later than three (3) business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE eXCHANGE at: <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov>. **You must first select the FOA Number to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA.** EERE will attempt to respond to a question within three (3) business days unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE eXCHANGE website should be submitted to: EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on EERE eXCHANGE and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

Applicants should not include trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail.

If an application includes trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, it is furnished to the federal government in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, EERE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the federal government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

If an applicant chooses to submit trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, the applicant must provide **two copies** of the submission (e.g., Concept Paper, Full Application). The first copy should be marked "non-confidential," with the information believed to be confidential deleted. The second copy should be marked "confidential" and must clearly and conspicuously identify the trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information and must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The federal government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information and may use or disclose such information for any purpose as authorized by law.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The cover sheet of the Full Application, and other applicant submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance agreement between the submitter and the government. The government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

In addition, (1) the header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information must be marked as follows: “Contains Trade Secrets or Business-Sensitive, Proprietary, or Otherwise Confidential Information Exempt from Public Disclosure,” and (2) every line or paragraph containing such information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting. DOE will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat it according to its determination.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews, and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including EERE contractors. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned, or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third-party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including

personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

I. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Full Applications and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

J. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;
- All other parties: The federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. § 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);
- Class Patent Waiver: DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. To avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States.
- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants not covered by a Class Patent Waiver or the Bayh-Dole Act may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.

- DEC: On June 07, 2021, DOE approved a Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) under the Bayh-Dole Act to further promote domestic manufacture of DOE science and energy technologies. In accordance with this DEC, all awards, including sub-awards, under this FOA shall include the U.S. Competitiveness Provision in accordance with Section VI.B.xxi. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments of this FOA. A copy of the DEC can be found at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/determination-exceptional-circumstances-decs>. Pursuant to 37 CFR § 401.4, any nonprofit organization or small business firm as defined by 35 U.S.C. 201 affected by any DEC has the right to appeal it by providing written notice to DOE within 30 working days from the time it receives a copy of the determination.
- DOE may issue and publish further DEC's on the website above prior to the issuance of awards under this FOA. DOE may require additional submissions or requirements as authorized by any applicable DEC.

K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where prime recipients, subrecipients, and contractors retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. government retains certain rights.

Government Use License

The U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to government contractors.

March-In Rights

The U.S. government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The United States manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

L. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

“Limited Rights Data”: The U.S. government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards under this FOA may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated (“Protected Data”). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the award’s intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

For this FOA, selectees and recipients may request an extended period of protection (more than 5 years and not to exceed 30 years) if reasonably required for commercialization for specific categories of data for [Topics 1 and 2](#) first produced under the resulting awards in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 3710a(c)(7)(B)(ii) and the Energy Policy Acts of 1992 and 2005, or 42 U.S.C. § 7256(g)(5) for OTAs, if applicable. Further direction will be provided during the negotiation process upon request.

M. Copyright

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government.

N. Export Control

The United States government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the United States to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as “Export Controls.” All recipients and subrecipients are responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable United States Export Control laws and regulations relating to any work performed under a resulting award.

The recipient must immediately report to DOE any export control violations related to the project funded under the DOE award, at the recipient or subrecipient level, and provide the corrective action(s) to prevent future violations.

O. Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment

As set forth in 2 CFR 200.216, recipients and subrecipients are prohibited from obligating or expending project funds (federal funds and recipient cost share) to procure or obtain; extend or renew a contract to procure or obtain; or enter into a contract (or extend or renew a contract) to procure or obtain equipment, services, or systems that use *covered telecommunications equipment or services* as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. As described in Section 889 of Public Law 115-232, *covered telecommunications equipment* is telecommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities).

See Public Law 115-232, Section 889, 2 CFR 200.216, and 2 CFR 200.471 for additional information.

P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. The term “PII” refers to information which can be used to distinguish or

trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother's maiden name. (See OMB Memorandum M-17-12 dated January 3, 2017)

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security Numbers (SSNs) be included in the application.** Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See, the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. § 3551).

Q. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

APPENDIX A – COST SHARE INFORMATION

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms “cost sharing” and “cost matching” are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses “cost sharing,” as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here “cost matching” for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)
Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies for Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or two sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the above regulations, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of 10 years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award

- 1. Cash Cost Share** encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment for their own company with organizational resources. If the cost of the item or service is reimbursed, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
- 2. In-Kind Cost Share** encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, and donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. Consult your DOE contact if you have questions before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.
- 3. Funds from other federal sources** may **not** be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.
- 4. Fee or profit**, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

(A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third-party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- (1)** They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
- (2)** They are not included as contributions for any other federally assisted project or program.
- (3)** They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
- (4)** They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a.** For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A-122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and
 - b.** Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5)** They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6)** They are provided for in the approved budget.

(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1)** Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

- a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
- a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
 - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.

- ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.

(5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:

- a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
- b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

APPENDIX B – SAMPLE COST SHARE CALCULATION FOR BLENDED COST SHARE PERCENTAGE

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2 million in federal funds with four tasks requiring different non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost)

Task 1 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (non-federal share)

Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)

Task 2 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (non-federal share)

Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (non-federal share)

Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (non-federal share)

The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal Share	% Federal Share	\$ Non-Federal Share	% Non-Federal Share	Total Project Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (non-federal)

Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (federal)

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

APPENDIX C – WAIVER REQUESTS FOR: 1. FOREIGN ENTITY PARTICIPATION; AND 2. FOREIGN WORK

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation

Many of the technology areas DOE funds fall in the category of critical and emerging technologies (CETs). CETs are a subset of advanced technologies that are potentially significant to United States national and economic security.⁶⁴ For projects selected under this FOA, all recipients and subrecipients must be organized, chartered, or incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States; have majority domestic ownership and control; and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Waiver Criteria

Foreign entities seeking to participate in a project funded under this FOA must demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE that:

- a. Its participation is in the best interest of the United States industry and United States economic development;
- b. The project team has appropriate measures in place to control sensitive information and protect against unauthorized transfer of scientific and technical information;
- c. Adequate protocols exist between the United States subsidiary and its foreign parent organization to comply with export control laws and any obligations to protect proprietary information from the foreign parent organization;
- d. The work is conducted within the United States and the entity acknowledges and demonstrates that it has the intent and ability to comply with the United States Competitiveness Provision (see Section VI.B.xxi.); and
- e. The foreign entity will satisfy other conditions that may be deemed necessary by DOE to protect United States government interests.

Content for Waiver Request

A Foreign Entity waiver request must include the following:

- a. Information about the entity: name, point of contact, and proposed type of involvement in the project;
- b. Country of incorporation, the extent of the ownership/level control by foreign entities, whether the entity is state owned or controlled, a summary of the ownership breakdown of the foreign entity, and the percentage of

⁶⁴ See [Critical and Emerging Technologies List Update \(whitehouse.gov\)](https://www.whitehouse.gov).

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

-
- ownership/control by foreign entities, foreign shareholders, foreign state or foreign individuals;
- c. The rationale for proposing a foreign entity participate (must address criteria above);
 - d. A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the United States economy;
 - How the project will benefit the United States, including manufacturing, contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
 - How the project will promote manufacturing of products and/or services in the United States;
 - e. A description of how the foreign entity's participation is essential to the project;
 - f. A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
 - g. Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the United States, the applicant must also complete a separate request foreign work waiver.)

DOE may also require:

- A risk assessment with respect to IP and data protection protocols that includes the export control risk based on the data protection protocols, the technology being developed, and the foreign entity and country. These submissions could be prepared by the project lead (if not the prime recipient), but the prime recipient must make a representation to DOE as to whether it believes the data protection protocols are adequate and make a representation of the risk assessment – high, medium, or low risk of data leakage to a foreign entity.
- Additional language be added to any agreement or subagreement to protect IP, mitigate risk, or other related purposes.

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

DOE's decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

2. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver Request)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work funded under this FOA must be performed in the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the

United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request for a foreign work waiver must include the following:

1. The rationale for performing the work outside the United States (“foreign work”);
2. A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the United States;
3. An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
4. A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the U.S. economy;
5. The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
6. How the foreign work will benefit the United States, including manufacturing, contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
7. How the foreign work will promote manufacturing of products and/or services in the United States;
8. A description of the likelihood of IP being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
9. The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
10. The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
11. The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

DOE’s decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

APPENDIX D – REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS, AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS BUY AMERICA REQUIREMENTS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

A. Definitions

For purposes of the Buy America requirements, based both on the statute and OMB Guidance Document dated April 18, 2022, the following definitions apply:

Construction materials includes an article, material, or supply—other than an item of primarily iron or steel; a manufactured product; cement and cementitious materials; aggregates such as stone, sand, or gravel; or aggregate binding agents or additives⁶⁵—that is or consists primarily of:

- Non-ferrous metals;
- Plastic and polymer-based products (including polyvinylchloride, composite building materials, and polymers used in fiber optic cables);
- Glass (including optic glass);
- Lumber; or
- Drywall.

Infrastructure includes, at a minimum, the structures, facilities, and equipment for, in the United States, roads, highways, and bridges; public transportation; dams, ports, harbors, and other maritime facilities; intercity passenger and freight railroads; freight and intermodal facilities; airports; water systems, including drinking water and wastewater systems; electrical transmission facilities and systems; utilities; broadband infrastructure; and buildings and real property. Infrastructure includes facilities that generate, transport, and distribute energy.

Moreover, according to the OMB guidance document:

When determining if a program has infrastructure expenditures, Federal agencies should interpret the term “infrastructure” broadly and consider the definition provided above as illustrative and not exhaustive. When determining if a particular construction project of a type not listed in the definition above constitutes “infrastructure,” agencies should consider whether the project will serve a public function, including whether the project is publicly owned and operated, privately operated on behalf of the public, or is a place of public accommodation, as opposed to a project that is privately owned and not open to the public. Projects with the former qualities have greater indicia of infrastructure, while projects with the latter quality have fewer. Projects consisting solely of the

⁶⁵ BIL, § 70917(c)(1).

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

purchase, construction, or improvement of a private home for personal use, for example, would not constitute an infrastructure project.

The Agency, not the applicant, will have the final say as to whether a given project includes infrastructure, as defined herein. Accordingly, in cases where the “public” nature of the infrastructure is unclear but the other relevant criteria are met, DOE strongly recommends that applicants complete their full application with the assumption that Buy America requirements will apply to the proposed project.

Project means the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States.

B. Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects (“Buy America” requirements)

In accordance with Section 70914 of the BIL, none of the project funds (includes federal share and recipient cost share) may be used for a project for infrastructure unless:

- (1) all iron and steel used in the project are produced in the United States--this means all manufacturing processes, from the initial melting stage through the application of coatings, occurred in the United States;
- (2) all manufactured products used in the project are produced in the United States—this means the manufactured product was manufactured in the United States; and the cost of the components of the manufactured product that are mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States is greater than 55 percent of the total cost of all components of the manufactured product, unless another standard for determining the minimum amount of domestic content of the manufactured product has been established under applicable law or regulation; and
- (3) all construction materials⁶⁶ are produced in the United States—this means that all manufacturing processes for the construction material occurred in the United States.

The Buy America requirements only apply to articles, materials, and supplies that are consumed in, incorporated into, or affixed to an infrastructure project. As such, it does not apply to tools, equipment, and supplies, such as temporary scaffolding, brought to the construction site and removed at or before the completion of the infrastructure project. Nor does the Buy America requirements apply to equipment and furnishings, such as movable chairs, desks, and portable computer equipment, that are used at or within the finished infrastructure project, but are not an integral part of the structure or permanently affixed to the infrastructure project.

⁶⁶ Excludes cement and cementitious materials, aggregates such as stone, sand, or gravel, or aggregate binding agents or additives.

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

These requirements must flow down to all sub-awards, all contracts, subcontracts, and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project, except where the prime recipient is a for-profit entity. Based on guidance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a State, local government, Indian Tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or non-profit organization.

For additional information related to the application and implementation of these Buy America requirements, please see OMB Memorandum M-22-11, issued April 18, 2022:

Note that for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

C. Waivers

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient: (1) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-produced products and (2) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and domestically manufactured products that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation.

In limited circumstances, DOE may waive the application of the Buy America requirements where DOE determines that:

- (1) Applying the Buy America requirements would be inconsistent with the public interest;
- (2) The types of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality; or
- (3) The inclusion of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials produced in the United States will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25%.

If an applicant or recipient is seeking a waiver of the Buy America requirements, it may submit a waiver request after it has been notified of its selection for award negotiations. A waiver request must include:

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

-
- A detailed justification for the use of “non-domestic” iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials to include an explanation as to how the non-domestic item(s) is essential to the project;
 - A certification that the applicant or recipient made a good faith effort to solicit bids for domestic products supported by terms included in requests for proposals, contracts, and nonproprietary communications with potential suppliers;
 - Applicant/Recipient name and Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)
 - Total estimated project cost, DOE and cost-share amounts;
 - Project description and location (to the extent known);
 - List and description of iron or steel item(s), manufactured goods, and construction material(s) the applicant or recipient seeks to waive from Domestic Content Procurement Preference requirement, including name, cost, country(ies) of origin (if known), and relevant PSC and NAICS code for each;
 - Waiver justification including due diligence performed (e.g., market research, industry outreach) by the applicant or recipient; and
 - Anticipated impact if no waiver is issued

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

Waiver requests are subject to public comment periods of no less than 15 days and must be reviewed by the Made in America Office. There may be instances where an award qualifies, in whole or in part, for an existing waiver described at [DOE Buy America Requirement Waiver Requests](#).

DOE’s decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

APPENDIX E – DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

TRL 1:	Basic principles observed and reported
TRL 2:	Technology concept and/or application formulated
TRL 3:	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept
TRL 4:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment
TRL 5:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment
TRL 6:	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment
TRL 7:	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment
TRL 8:	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstrated
TRL 9:	Actual system proven through successful mission operations

*Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
 subject line.*

APPENDIX F – LIST OF ACRONYMS

AI	Artificial Intelligence
COI	Conflict of Interest
CRADA	Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
DEC	Determination of Exceptional Circumstances
DEI	Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion
DL	Deep Learning
DMP	Data Management Plan
DOE	Department of Energy
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
EERE	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
ESGC	Energy Storage Grand Challenge
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FCOI	Financial Conflicts of Interest
FFATA	Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
IRB	Institutional Review Board
LDSS	Long Duration Storage Shot
LIB	Lithium-Ion Battery
M&O	Management and Operating
MFA	Multi-Factor Authentication
ML	Machine Learning
MPIN	Marketing Partner ID Number
MSI	Minority-Serving institution
MYPP	Multi-Year Program Plan
NDA	Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NIB	Sodium-Ion Battery
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Agency
NSF	National Science Foundation
OIG	Office of Inspector General
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OSTI	Office of Scientific and Technical Information
OTA	Other Transactions Authority
PII	Personal Identifiable Information
PPF	Program Policy Factors
R&D	Research and Development
RFI	Request for Information
RFP	Request for Proposal

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

SAM	System for Award Management
SciENCv	Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
SPOC	Single Point of Contact
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics
TAA	Technical Assistance Agreement
TEA	Techno-economic analysis
TIA	Technology Investment Agreement
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WP	Work Proposal

Questions about this FOA? Email PlatformBatManFoa@ee.doe.gov.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
 subject line.