Department of Energy (DOE)
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Joint FY20 Bioenergy and Advanced Manufacturing FOA
BOTTLE: Bio-Optimized Technologies to keep Thermoplastics out of Landfills and the Environment

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number:
DE-FOA-0002245 / 000001
FOA Type: Initial
CFDA Number: 81.087 and 81.086

FOA Issue Date:	03/16/2020
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	5:00pm ET on 04/29/2020
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	5:00pm ET on 07/02/2020
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	5:00pm ET on 08/14/2020
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	09/30/2020
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations:	Winter 2020

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.

- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, EERE's online application portal.

- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.

Table of Contents

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Background and Context

i. Background and Purpose

In November 2019, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) announced the Plastics Innovation Challenge, which aims to accelerate innovations to significantly reduce plastic waste and position the U.S. as a global leader in advanced plastics recycling technologies and in the development and manufacturing of plastics that are recyclable by design. As part of the broader Plastics Innovation Challenge, DOE is issuing this Funding Opportunity Announcement to spur innovative solutions to plastics recycling.

DOE's Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) develops technologies that convert domestic biomass and waste resources into fuels, products, and power to enable affordable energy, economic growth, and innovation in renewable energy and chemicals production. DOE's Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) develops technologies that drive energy productivity improvements in the U.S. manufacturing sector, efficiently utilize abundant and available domestic energy resources, and support the manufacture of clean energy products with benefits extending across the economy. This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) will support high-impact technology research and development (R&D) in technologies that overcome the challenges associated with the linear plastics paradigm.

BETO and AMO are part of the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) Offices that invest in high-impact, high-value technology R&D across the energy spectrum that industry would be unable to pursue independently due to the high level of risk and technology uncertainty. BETO and AMO fund applied R&D to bolster the body of scientific and engineering knowledge that can enable a new plastics economy by developing novel plastics, including bio-based plastics, capable of being efficiently recycled, composted, or biodegraded and establishing deconstruction and upcycling pathways for existing polymers that will generate high-value products. This FOA will provide funding to address the highest priority R&D areas within the Plastics Innovation Challenge.

Current recycling strategies often do not allow for cost-effective recycling of commonly used plastics. The magnitude of the problem is vast:

- Over 80% of the 7 billion tons of plastic produced have accumulated as waste in the environment and 98% of new plastics are made from virgin feedstock.
- Only 14% of the 78 million tons of plastic packaging produced each year are collected for recycling and only 2% are recycled into the same or similar-quality applications.

Much of this problem stems from the fact there is currently little to no economic incentive to recycle in the United States, leading the U.S. to ship plastic waste overseas for processing. However, China, India, Malaysia, Vietnam, Thailand and Indonesia—popular

markets for plastic waste—have implemented restrictions or banned imports of waste plastics. American cities and towns are scrambling to find new buyers for their plastic waste, which has led to increased consumer costs for the collection of recyclables. In lieu of viable options, some cities are diverting recyclables to landfills or incineration plants. The U.S. is faced with a Plastics Innovation Challenge to make domestic processing of plastic waste economically attractive and energy efficient.

Commonly employed mechanical recycling techniques typically result in lower-value products due to diminished material properties resulting from reprocessing. Since reduction in material properties is inherent in reprocessing strategies, limited opportunities exist to produce products from recycled material with equal or greater value. An opportunity exists, however, to advance new recycling technologies that use recycled materials to reduce total manufacturing energy consumption by up to 50% for some of the most prevalent plastics materials, including those used in grocery bags and beverage bottles.

This FOA seeks applications that develop solutions to the problem of low-value plastic waste, and will focus on three areas of R&D:
1) Highly recyclable or biodegradable plastics;
2) New strategies for upcycling plastic waste; and,
3) Collaboration with the newly-formed Bio-Optimized Technologies to keep Thermoplastics out of Landfills and the Environment (BOTTLE) National Laboratory Consortium to address plastic waste.

ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals

BETO manages a diverse portfolio of technologies covering the full spectrum of bioenergy production, from feedstock source to end use. Potential end products include biofuels for ground transportation (both light-duty vehicles and heavy-duty trucks), biofuels for off-road transportation (commercial aviation and marine vessels), biogas, renewable home heating oil, bioproducts, and biopower. Although BETO focuses on bioenergy, it also pursues strategies to develop high-value bioproducts that can lower the cost and accelerate the development of bioenergy technologies.

AMO aims to improve energy productivity across the manufacturing/industrial sector through technology innovation. One mechanism of achieving this goal is through the use of feedstocks with lower embodied energy, such as secondary feedstocks. In 2015, AMO supported the launch of the Reducing Embodied Energy and Decreasing Emissions Institute (REMADE), a non-profit-led consortium focused on applied R&D through pilot scale to increase the use of secondary feedstocks coming from metal, polymer, e-waste, and fiber waste streams.

BETO and AMO have identified significant potential to improve carbon and energy efficiency through promotion of a non-linear plastics economy. To achieve this, applied early-stage R&D is needed to:

- develop novel polymers that are designed for infinite recyclability or biodegradability;
- create innovative deconstruction pathways for existing polymers that generate high-value products; and,
- establish a consistent framework for evaluating carbon and energy efficiency across potential material flows and transformations using technoeconomic and lifecycle analysis tools.

Earlier this year, AMO and BETO jointly funded the "Bio-Optimized Technologies to Keep Thermoplastics out of Landfills and the Environment" (BOTTLE) consortium, a National Laboratory-led seed consortium established to address these needs. This FOA seeks to extend that effort beyond the consortium and to utilize the resources BOTTLE has developed through partnerships.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. All prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. See section III.A. To request a waiver of either of these requirements, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. See Section IV.D.xii and Appendix B.

Eligibility for this FOA is restricted to research partnerships. A research partnership is a collaboration of three or more entities to conduct research with the objective of developing solutions to a problem or a challenge common to the entities by pooling their resources for achieving a common goal. See Section III.A. for further information on what types of entities are eligible to participate in research partnerships funded under this FOA.

B. Topic Areas

Topic Area	Topic Area
1	Highly Recyclable or Biodegradable Plastics: 1a: Novel Bio-Based Plastics: Designing Highly Recyclable or Biodegradable Bio-Based Plastics; 1b: Novel Plastics: Designing Highly Recyclable or Biodegradable Plastics
2	Novel Methods for Deconstructing and Upcycling Existing Plastic Waste
3	BOTTLE Consortium Collaborations to Tackle Challenges in Plastic Waste

i. Topic Area 1: Highly Recyclable or Biodegradable Plastics

To change the linear plastic paradigm, new plastics must be designed with their end-of-life in mind. Designing plastics to be highly-recyclable has the highest potential to retain the energy and value in a waste stream and will likely be the best option when reasonable collection infrastructure exists. Design for biodegradablility or compostability may be the best end-of-life option in cases where leakage into the environment is likely or where light, highly-contaminated, and difficult to collect plastic streams exist.

Highly-Recyclable: One strategy to make plastics more easily recyclable through chemical, biological, and hybrid approaches is to incorporate more labile bonds into the polymer structure than the carbon-carbon linkages found in most current plastics. By doing so, plastics could be chemically processed to allow for the recovery of monomeric building blocks. Recovering pure monomer streams with properties similar to virgin monomers could have many benefits, including producing higher-quality recycled plastics and providing a stronger economic incentive for recycling.

Biodegradable/Compostable: For some applications, recycling as an end-of-life strategy may not make sense. For example, when plastic wastes are extremely light and highly contaminated, as with much single-use food packaging, collection and recycling of this distributed resource may be cost prohibitive. In this case, plastics which can biodegrade completely in the environment or in compost facilities may provide the most effective end-of-life option.

Bio-based feedstocks are well-suited to meet both of the design principals outlined above for the plastics of the future due to their composition and structure. Unlike traditional fossil feedstocks, which contain primarily carbon-carbon and carbon-hydrogen bonds, bio-based feedstocks contain more labile carbon-oxygen bonds that could be incorporated into the design of new plastics, introducing "molecular zippers" that allow for easy deconstruction at the end of the product's life. In addition, novel petroleum-derived polymers can be designed with similar labile bonds or other characteristics to enhance recyclability.

Topic Area 1 Authorizing Statutes:
Topic Area 1 activities are authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 16232(b) and § 16191(a)(2)(C). Excerpts of the relevant authorizing statutes are provided in Section I.E of the FOA.

Topic Area 1a Specific Areas of Interest:

- Development of novel bio-based plastics that have improved performance attributes over a comparable incumbent plastic in a defined application and can be cost-effectively chemically recycled (e.g., catalytically deconstructed into monomers).
- Development of novel bio-based plastics that have improved performance attributes over a comparable incumbent plastic and can be shown to biodegrade completely in the environment or in compost facilities.

Topic Area 1b Specific Areas of Interest:

- Development of novel plastics that have improved performance attributes over a comparable incumbent plastic in a defined application and can be cost-effectively chemically recycled (e.g., catalytically deconstructed into monomers).
- Development of novel plastics that have improved performance attributes over a comparable incumbent plastic and can be shown to biodegrade completely in the environment or in compost facilities.

Topic Area 1 Specific Requirements:

The following requirements must be addressed in the narrative of the application, and the strength of the applicant's narrative will be evaluated by the independent technical review panel for scientific merit (see evaluation criteria in Section V.A.ii.). **Note: there are additional specific requirements for Topic Area 1a, also set forth below.**

- Applicants must clearly identify whether they are proposing to design a highly recyclable plastic or a biodegradable/compostable plastic.
- For highly-recyclable plastics:
  - Applicants must propose the design, synthesis, and testing of novel plastic(s) that can be recycled via a chemical biological or hybrid process. Applicants must propose to recycle the plastic(s) using chemical biological or hybrid methods and propose quantitative milestones for the improvement of the recyclability of the plastic over the course of the project.
- For biodegradable and compostable plastics:
  - Applicants must propose the design, synthesis, and testing of novel plastic(s) that can completely biodegrade in the environment in a benign manner or in an industrial compost facility. Applicants must propose to perform degradation testing and propose quantitative milestones for the improvement of the degradation over the course of the project.
- For both plastic types (biodegradable and compostable):
  - Techno-economic analysis and life cycle assessment of the proposed plastic production and recycling processes through the entire lifecycle of the product must be part of the proposed scope of work. Processes that have no path to economic feasibility will not be considered. As a part of this analysis the potential market size and carbon and energy savings over the incumbent product must be discussed.
  - Applicants must discuss end-of-life considerations. This includes methods to quantitatively characterize the end-of-life properties of the proposed material.
  - Applicants must identify an end-use application for their polymer and include the performance requirements for that application. The applicant will be required to report the measurements of their material for each performance requirement.
  - Applicants must also include an assessment of the manufacturability of their product that includes the likely method of manufacturing and necessary characteristics needed to ensure manufacturability via this method.

Additional Specific Requirements for Topic Area 1a

- Proposed plastics must contain at least 50% by mass bio-based feedstocks. See Appendix C for definitions of acceptable feedstocks which include biomass and starch-derived sugars not intended for human consumption.
- Applicants may use model compounds for initial testing of their plastic; however, the plastic synthesized and tested at the end of the project must be synthesized from at least 50% bio-based feedstocks.

Topic Area 1 Applications Specifically Not of Interest:

- Those identified in Section I.C of this FOA.
- Development of monomers for plastics without developing a final plastic product and testing for end-of-life properties.
- Processes that will not be economical when scaled to industrially relevant size.

Additional Applications Specifically Not of Interest for Topic Area 1a:

- Processes proposing to use less than 50% Biomass and Starch-Derived Sugars as feedstocks as specified and defined in Appendix C.

Topic Area 1 Metrics:

The application must propose to meet all the minimum targets in the table below by the end of the project. Note that one of the metrics for highly recyclable plastics differs from biodegradable/compostable plastics. Applications must indicate which of the two metrics they plan to target.

Metric	Unit	Minimum	Stretch Target
Highly-Recyclable: Recyclable through chemical, biological, or hybrid methods as measured by % recovered monomers	% recovered monomers by mass	50%	100%
Biodegradable/Compostable: Ability to biodegrade in relevant conditions or compost in industrially-relevant conditions. Applicants must propose to use ASTM D6400, D5338, D6868 or another relevant standardized test for testing biodegradability/ compostability	Conversion of plastic carbon into CO_2 (or CO_2 and CH_4) as measured by % carbon (applicant must specify the environmental conditions for testing).	Biodegradable: 60% after 180 days. Compostable: 60% after 180 days.	Biodegradable: 90% after 180 days. Compostable: 90% after 180 days.

All Applications: Performance advantage (outperform traditional plastics for a specific application; in this case performance advantage cannot solely be end-of-life properties or bio-based content)	Variable	Meets performance requirements of incumbent material	20% improvement

Additional Metrics for Topic Area 1a:

Metric	Unit	Minimum	Stretch Target
All Applications: Bio-based content	% by mass	50%	100%

ii. Topic Area 2: Novel Methods for Deconstructing and Upcycling Existing Plastics

Topic Area 2 targets research to design and develop ways to remake current systems for plastics disposal and recycling, with a focus on developing chemical, biological, mechanical or hybrid recycling processes for utilizing an array of plastics as feedstocks for value-added applications. Chemical, biological, mechanical, and hybrid recycling methods for the purpose of this FOA involve a process capable of breaking a polymer down into useful, well-defined chemical intermediate streams or monomers. These intermediate streams or monomers can then be upcycled into valuable materials. This Topic Area seeks applications for developing novel chemical, biological, mechanical, or hybrid approaches for selective carbon-oxygen, carbon-nitrogen, and carbon-carbon chemistry, methods to address feedstock crystallinity, feedstock contamination, polymer breakdown rate, and other innovative ideas to address difficulties with plastic deconstruction and upcycling.

Topic Area 2 Authorizing Statutes:
Topic Area 2 activities are authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 16232(b) and § 16191(a)(2)(C). Excerpts of the relevant authorizing statutes are provided in Section I.E of the FOA.

Topic Area 2 Specific Areas of Interest:

- Energy efficient chemical, biological, mechanical, or hybrid recycling technologies capable of all the following:
  - Breaking down a plastic stream into intermediates or monomers which can be upgraded into high value end products;
  - Breaking down mixed plastic streams simultaneously or sequentially; and
  - Tolerating contaminants generally found in mixed plastic waste streams.

- Upcycling of deconstructed plastic intermediate streams or monomers into valuable materials.

Optional:

- Applicants are encouraged to target mixed or contaminated waste plastic streams though partnership with a waste management or other industry partner.

Topic Area 2 Specific Requirements:

The following requirements must be addressed in the narrative of the application and the strength of the applicant's narrative will be evaluated by the independent technical review panel for scientific merit (see evaluation criteria in section V.A.ii.):

- Applications must target energy efficient chemical, biological, mechanical, or hybrid recycling strategies using an acceptable Plastic Waste as defined in Appendix C.
- Proposed systems must target upgrading of deconstructed plastic intermediate streams or monomers into a high-value end product that would improve on the value of outputs from current recycling technologies and could reduce the embodied energy in products.
- Intermediate and final verifications must be performed in realistic conditions, using a plastic stream provided through a partnership with a waste management or other industry partner.
- Techno-economic analysis and life cycle assessment of the processes must be part of the proposed scope of work. Processes that appear to have no path to economic feasibility based on preliminary calculations will not be considered.

Topic Area 2 Applications Specifically Not of Interest:

- Those identified in Section I.C. of the FOA.
- Processes that can only work on near-pure (>99%) plastic substrates.
- Processes that are unlikely to be economical when scaled to industrially relevant size.
- Processes proposing deconstruction and upcycling of a stream other than Waste Plastic as defined in Appendix C.

Topic Area 2 Metrics:

The application must propose to meet all the minimum targets in the table below by the end of the project:

Metric	Unit	Minimum	Stretch Target
Energy savings when compared to production of the same or similar product from virgin material	% Energy savings	40%	80%

Chemically recyclable, as measured by % recovered monomers or intermediate chemicals	% recovered monomers or intermediate chemicals by mass	35%	75%

iii. Topic Area 3: BOTTLE Consortium Collaborations to Tackle Challenges in Plastic Waste

The Bio-Optimized Technologies to Keep Thermoplastics out of Landfills and the Environment (BOTTLE) Consortium is a National Laboratory-led consortium developing technologies to tackle challenges associated with waste plastics.

The long-term **aims** of the BOTTLE consortium are to:

- Develop selective, scalable processes to deconstruct and upcycle today's commodity thermoplastics and thermosets;
- Design new plastics and composites which maintain or improve on the lifetime performance metrics of incumbent materials but are highly-recyclable by design;
- Design new processes for chemical recycling of tomorrow's plastics and composites;
- Work with industry to catalyze the a new plastics economy; and
- Leverage DOE investments in analysis-guided R&D, process development, biological and chemical catalysis, materials characterization, promoting secondary feedstock use, and modeling.

The primary **targets** all application research projects must seek to address include:

- **Energy:** ≥50% energy savings relative to virgin material production for upcycled plastics;
- **Carbon:** ≥75% carbon utilization from waste plastics in an upcycled product; and
- **Economics:** ≥2x economic incentive for upcycled products relative to today's standard recycling.

This Topic will provide funding for collaborative projects between an applicant and the BOTTLE Consortium to address critical biomanufacturing challenges. More information on the BOTTLE Consortium can be found at www.bottle.org. Partnerships with the National Laboratories can be negotiated though use of a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA). Interested parties can reach out to the BOTTLE Consortium prior to submission of the full application using the contact information here: www.bottle.org/contact-us.html. The current partners in the BOTTLE consortium include the following National Laboratories and academic partners:

- National Renewable Energy Laboratory
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Los Alamos National Laboratory
- Colorado State University
- Montana State University
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Projects selected under this Topic Area will complement and support the ongoing BOTTLE Consortium. Recipients are expected to contribute information, data, and methods that will inform the planning of additional R&D efforts associated with the overall BOTTLE Consortium. The selected recipients are expected to actively collaborate with the BOTTLE Consortium and share data produced under their awards with the DOE National Laboratories. Project principal investigators will be expected to participate in annual, or more frequent, meetings related to the BOTTLE Consortium. The data management plan (see FOA section IV.D.xvi for details) must address how data will be shared to meet this expectation.

Topic Area 3 Authorizing Statutes:
Topic Area 3 activities are authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 16232(b) and § 16191(a)(2)(C). Excerpts of the relevant authorizing statutes are provided in Section I.E of the FOA.

Topic Area 3 Specific Areas of Interest:
- Applications aligned with the **aims** and **targets** of the BOTTLE consortium.
- Applications that include a work plan involving the BOTTLE consortium.

Topic Area 3 Specific Requirements:
- Applications must identify how they are aligned with accomplishing the aims and targets of the BOTTLE consortium listed above.
- Applicants must submit a Data Management Plan (see section IV. D. xvi) to address how data will be shared with the BOTTLE Consortium.

Topic Area 3 Applications Specifically Not of Interest:
- Those identified in Section I.C. of the FOA.
- Processes proposing the deconstruction of a stream other than Waste Plastic as specified and defined in Appendix C.

Topic Area 3 Metrics:
The application must propose to meet at least one of the minimum targets in the table below by the end of the project:

Metric	Unit	Target	Stretch Target
Energy savings when compared to production of the same or similar product from virgin material	% Energy Savings	50%	70%
Carbon utilization from waste plastics in an upcycled product	% carbon from waste plastic that is utilized in upcycled plastic	75%	85%

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.A. and I.B. of the FOA
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violate the laws of thermodynamics)
- Applications that fail to include the required data and information specified in the Topic Area
- Applications that do not use an acceptable feedstock for the specific Topic Area as defined in Appendix C
- Applications that propose the use of 'model' feedstocks. Note that using model compounds in portions of the project is acceptable as long as acceptable feedstocks (as defined by Appendix C) are used to achieve project metrics and goals.
- Applications Specifically Not of Interest described within the Topic Area descriptions in Section I.B. above. These include:
  - **Topic Area 1:**
    - Development of monomers for plastics without developing a final plastic product and testing for end-of-life properties.
    - Processes that will not be economical when scaled to industrially relevant size.
  - **Topic Area 2:**
    - Processes that can only work on near-pure (>99%) plastic substrates.
    - Processes that are unlikely to be economical when scaled to industrially relevant size.
    - Processes proposing deconstruction and upcycling of a stream other than Waste Plastic as defined in Appendix C.
  - **Topic Area 3:**
    - Processes proposing the deconstruction of a stream other than Waste Plastic as specified and defined in Appendix C.

D. Verification

All applications selected for award negotiations under this FOA will be required to participate in a verification process led by DOE's identified external third-party non-conflicted verification team. This team may be led by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's (NREL) Systems Integration team, DOE's independent engineering contractor, or another non-conflicted contractor. Personnel involved in verifications sign project specific Non-Disclosure Agreements and conflict of interest statements. This verification process provides technical assistance to both the DOE BETO and AMO and the project by providing an in-depth analysis of key technical and economic metrics to ensure transparency and increase the likelihood of project success.

The objectives of the verification effort are to:

- Verify the applicant's technical data/performance metrics/targets as described in the original application.
- Establish a framework to evaluate and track progress over time so that the milestones and Go/No-Go decision points separating budget periods may be tracked and evaluated.
- Update or provide data in the Technical Datasheets (described below), which successful applicants will be required to submit after their applications are selected for negotiation of award which successful applicants will be required to submit after their applications are selected for negotiation of awards..
- Establish benchmark, baseline, and associated target values.
- Identify potential major showstoppers and discuss risk mitigation strategies.
- Align project goals with DOE's expectations.

Typical verification includes three types of verification periods throughout the lifetime of the project: the "Initial Verification," conducted at the beginning of the project (months 0-3); the "Intermediate Verification(s)," conducted in the middle of the project; and the "Final Verification," conducted at the end of the project (within 3 months of closeout). The verification team will perform some or all of these verifications at the recipient's facility to initially verify the data included in the application or Technical Datasheets that will be required after an application is selected for negotiation of award, and subsequently in conjunction with site visits to monitor progress.

The specific objectives of these verifications are set forth below:

- The initial verification is to confirm the benchmark data and assumptions provided in the application, which will establish the project baseline against which future performance and cost improvements will be evaluated. During the initial verification, the verification team will work closely with the project team to discuss the project effort in detail; initiate the review of application data, metrics, and procedures as provided in the original application; and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site meeting. The

initial verification will verify the applicant's proposed technical baseline by direct observation and reproduction of laboratory tests, as well as verification of experimental procedures and data records. The project baseline will be set in this period, either through revision of the application data or by submission of additional/new data. The verification results are used by DOE in its sole discretion, among other factors, in making the Go/No-Go decision to proceed with Budget Period 2 (BP2). See section II.A.ii for information on period of performance and Go/No-Go decisions.

- An intermediate verification will be conducted toward the end of BP2. The intermediate verification assesses progress towards the project's BP2 Go/No-Go decision point and any targets established in the application, the initial verification, the achievement of the Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) milestones in support of the Go/No-Go decision point, and any other factors contributing to progress toward the project objectives. The verification results are used by DOE in its sole discretion, among other factors, in making the Go/No-Go decision to proceed with BP3.
- The final verification will be held prior to the end of the project. The objective of this final verification is to assess whether the final targets were achieved, document the challenges overcome, and record the technical or economic challenges that remain.

Technical Datasheet:

The Technical Datasheet to be submitted after selection for negotiation of award, is designed to guide applicants in providing information to assess the technical validity of the technology being developed within the selected project. In addition, the data provided will be used as the basis for review and discussion during the initial verification and will be considered the project's baseline. As such, it is expected the project will be able to reproduce this data if/when the verification team travels to the site to perform the verification. It is also expected the data will have been experimentally produced by the applicant in the applicant's facilities. However, if literature data needs to be used for parts of the process, those metrics based on literature data should be marked appropriately.

Verification Timeline:

The initial verification period, including on-site observation of experiments (if applicable) and report creation, can take up to three months. Applicants must include this time in their schedule. Selected projects that receive a 'Go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort will be subject to both an intermediate and a final verification. The time required for the intermediate and final verifications will be considerably less than the initial verification. However, the applicant must also consider that time should be allocated to collect data for these verifications.

Verification Conflict of Interest/Proprietary Information:

All of the technical and economic information requested will be disclosed to non-conflicted DOE National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) personnel and/or external third-party non-conflicted contractors performing the verifications (BETO's and AMO's verification teams) as well as non-conflicted third-party reviewers potentially participating in the Go/No-Go review process and/or interim review meetings. It is expected that developments and advancements in technical performance made during the course of the project will be shared with the public via technical publications in journals or conference proceedings. It is also anticipated the initial verification may, if necessary, involve pre-existing intellectual property of which DOE will not require publication. Data access, deliverables, and dissemination requirements will be negotiated and set forth in the Statement of Project Objectives and will be consistent with Section VIII. L. of this FOA. DOE and those working on DOE's behalf, such as support service contractors, NREL personnel, Independent Engineers, validators, and reviewers, must be able to have sufficient access to these data, including but not limited to raw technical and financial data, to assess the baseline performance of the technology – subject to appropriate non-disclosure agreements or other protections.

Verification Process:

The verification effort generally includes three steps: pre-verification, on-site verification (when applicable), and post-verification. The verification effort will be adapted to be appropriate for the technology readiness level and funding available to the project. However, the details provided below establish the framework for the process.

All steps are performed in concert with DOE's verification team and the project management team. During the pre-verification step, the verification team will work closely with the project team to discuss the effort in detail, initiate the review of the data from the Technical Datasheet and metrics as provided in the original application, and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site meeting. During the on-site verification meeting, the verification team will observe key experiments performed by the project team in order to verify and/or replicate benchmark/baseline data provided in the application and Technical Datasheet. In addition, the two teams will work together to discuss the goals and performance metrics, ideas for tracking project progress, and alignment with DOE's goals. At the conclusion of the on-site meeting, both teams will have the information needed to proceed forward. The post-verification step includes the verification team reporting to DOE and the DOE personnel working through the Go/No-Go decision point.

At the conclusion of the verification effort and once a Go/No-Go decision has been made, the DOE Technology Manager and Contracting Officer will send a formal document to the recipient regarding the Go/No-Go decision and activities will proceed from there (based on the decision). If a 'Go' decision is reached, the project team and DOE Technology Manager will proceed with the necessary steps to release the remaining scope and associated

funding for the project. A 'No-Go' decision may result in termination of the project or re-direction of scope.

Key Verification Requirements:

- During the initial verification effort (i.e., BP1), no additional experimental or project work, beyond that associated with the verification, may commence within the proposed scope. Only work associated with the verification – typically project management and data gathering activities – is allowed during the verification. The budget associated with the verification effort should correspond only to these types of activities and is typically minimal compared to the remaining project scope and budget.
- It is anticipated that the intermediate and final verifications will include the recipient presenting the project progress toward the targets established during the initial verification. Both the intermediate and final verifications must be noted and accounted for within the scope, schedule, and budget, so that if a project is selected and receives a 'Go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort, the schedule and budget will already account for the intermediate and final verifications.

E. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute for the Bioenergy Technologies Office is the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005), § 932, as codified at 42 U.S.C § 16232 (b), which authorizes, as relevant to this FOA, research, development, and demonstration programs including "(1) biopower energy systems; (2) biofuels; (3) bioproducts; (4) integrated biorefineries that may produce biopower, biofuels; and bioproducts; (5) cross-cutting research and development in feedstocks; and (6) economic analysis."

The activities anticipated to be funded under this FOA are further authorized under § 911 (a)(2)(C) of EPAct 2005, as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16191(a)(2)(C), which authorizes, as relevant to this FOA, research and development programs of "advanced technologies to improve the energy efficiency, environmental performance, and process efficiency of energy-intensive and waste-intensive industries[.]"

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make a total of approximately $25 million of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 13-16 awards under this FOA.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following Topic Areas listed in **Table II-1**:

Table II-1. Estimated Funding Per Topic Area

#	Topic Area Name	# of Awards *estimated*	Federal $ per award *minimum – maximum*	Total Federal Funding *estimated*
1	Highly Recyclable or Biodegradable Plastics	3-5	$1.5-$2.5 million	$8 million
2	Novel Methods for Deconstructing and Upcycling Existing Plastics	3-5	$1.5-$2.5 million	$8 million
3	BOTTLE Consortium Collaborations to Tackle Challenges in Plastic Waste	4-6	$1.5-$2.5 million	$9 million

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length for all Topic Areas, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, the extent milestone objectives are met, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See Section VI.B.ix of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)

In most cases, FFRDCs are funded independently of the remainder of the project team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC project team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the prime recipient for the project will remain the prime recipient for the project. Please see Section III for eligibility requirement for FFRDCs.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

A. Eligible Applicants

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the submission does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

i. Must be a Research Partnership

For this FOA, each application must propose a research partnership. A research partnership is a collaboration of three (3) or more entities to conduct research and development with the objective of developing solutions to a problem or a challenge common to the entities by pooling their resources for achieving a common goal. If an application proposes a team of less than three (3) entities, it will be deemed ineligible for further evaluation.

The research partnership must designate one member of the project team to serve as the lead entity. The lead entity must be the applicant and if the application is selected, the lead entity would serve as the prime recipient for the award.

The following types of entities are eligible to participate in research partnerships funded under this FOA:

1. Institutions of higher education;
2. For-profit entities;
3. Non-profit entities;
4. DOE National Laboratories (eligible as a subrecipient, but not eligible as a lead entity);
5. State, local and tribal governments; and
6. Federal agencies and instrumentalities other than DOE (eligible as a subrecipient, but not eligible as a lead entity).

Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding.

ii. The Lead Entity (Applicant) Must be a Domestic Entity

The lead entity/applicant must be a domestic entity. That is, the lead entity must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States and have a physical place of business in the United States.

If a foreign entity applies for funding as the lead entity (prime recipient), it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States to be the prime recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary or affiliate in the United States as the lead entity (prime recipient) in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the prime recipient on an award). To do so, the applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application.

Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a Foreign Entity Participation waiver request. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a subrecipient. If any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must complete a request for waiver of the

Performance of Work in the United States requirement. **See Appendix B for waiver request information.** The waiver must then be approved by DOE before work may be conducted outside the U.S.

iii. National Laboratories are Not Eligible to Participate as the Lead Entity

DOE/NNSA and Non-DOE/NNSA National Laboratories are not eligible to apply as the lead entity for the research partnership. National Laboratories may participate a member of the research partnership (sub-recipients).

BETO and AMO provide substantial funding through Annual Operating Plans (AOPs) to support R&D efforts at the National Laboratories. This includes large amounts of funding for work addressing deconstruction, upcycling, and redesign of plastics through the BOTTLE consortium at the National Laboratories referenced above in the description of Topic Area 3. Through this AOP funding, the National Laboratories are developing capabilities which can be applied to problems posed in industry. Allowing the National Laboratories to compete as subrecipients ensures that technologies developed by the significant AOP funded research can be transferred into industry. There is a significant potential for private industry to advance R&D efforts in plastics innovation and these Topic Areas will provide the opportunity for such private companies to compete for Federal funds while allowing the National Laboratories to receive funding as subrecipients.

B. Cost Sharing

The cost share for all Topic Areas must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)
To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendix A to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i. of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the federal government.

The prime recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up to date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of

payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

Concept Papers, Full Applications and Replies to Reviewer Comments must meet all compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions, including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

1. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.B. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

2. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

3. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

As part of the eligibility review, DOE will conduct a preliminary technical review of all Concept Papers and Full Applications to determine if the submissions are responsive to the FOA requirements. Section 1.C of the FOA "Applications Specifically Not of Interest," describes the type of submissions that are deemed nonresponsive and are not eligible for further review or consideration.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal (WP) system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the

project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The FFRDC effort, in aggregate, shall not exceed 50% of the total estimated cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the effort.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

An entity may submit more than one Concept Paper and Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project and provided that an eligible Concept Paper was submitted for each Full Application.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. **Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application**. At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/, unless specifically stated otherwise. **EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions**. EERE will not extend deadlines for

applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

A **Control Number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all application documents, as described below.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11-inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10-point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers and Full Applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline**. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, and Full Applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the applicant should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist applicants in resolving issues.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_1
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_2

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description
Cover Page	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.

Technical Description and Impacts	2 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly: • The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; • The proposed technology's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); • The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; • How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application; • The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; • The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and • The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project.
Addendum	1 page maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed project team, including: • Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and project team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities. Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i. of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a "discouraged" notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification posted on EERE Exchange at the close of that phase.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE Exchange to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. Applicants will receive a control number upon clicking the "Create Concept Paper" button in EERE Exchange and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., *Control number_Applicant Name_Full Application*).

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III. of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application. Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Submission	Components	File Name
Full Application (PDF, unless stated otherwise)	Technical Volume (PDF format. See Chart in Section IV.D.ii.)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume
	Resumes (PDF format. 1 page maximum per person)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes
	Letters of Commitment, if applicable (PDF format. 1 page maximum per letter)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs
	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) (Microsoft Word format. 10 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
	SF-424 Application for Federal Assistance (PDF format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424

	Budget Justification (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification
	Summary for Public Release (PDF format. 1 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary
	Summary Slide (Microsoft PowerPoint format. 1 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
	Subrecipient Budget Justification, if applicable (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
	DOE WP for FFRDC, if applicable (PDF format. See DOE O 412.1A, Attachment 3)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP
	Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC, if applicable (PDF format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth
	SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (PDF format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
	Foreign Entity and Foreign Work waiver requests, if applicable (PDF format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
	U.S. Manufacturing Plan (PDF format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP
	Data Management Plan for Topic Area 3 only	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_1
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_2

EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths.

If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume".

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 25 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. Citations and references may be included as an appendix that does not count against the Technical Volume page limit. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.ii of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content requirements:

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page must include the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed and should include the project title, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality. The cover page may include the table of contents.
Project Overview (This section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	The Project Overview should contain the following information: • Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. • Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. • DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives. • Responsiveness to FOA and specific topic requirements: The applicant should describe how the proposal meets all the FOA and the specific Topic Area Requirements, and is responsive to the topic area objectives and areas of interest.

Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	The Technical Description should contain the following information: • Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets specified in the FOA and given topic area. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. • Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. • Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state-of-the-art in the applicable field, the specific innovation(s) of the proposed technology (ies), the advantages of proposed technology (ies) over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state-of-the-art/technical baseline if the project is successful. **Topic Area 1 Specific Requirements:** The following requirements must be addressed in the narrative of the application, and the strength of the applicant's narrative will be evaluated by the independent technical review panel for scientific merit (see evaluation criteria in Section V.A.ii.). **Note: there are additional specific requirements for Topic Area 1a, also set forth below.** • Applicants must clearly identify whether they are proposing to design a highly recyclable plastic or a biodegradable/compostable plastic. • For highly-recyclable plastics: ○ Applicants must propose the design, synthesis, and testing of novel plastic(s) that can be recycled via a chemical biological or hybrid process. Applicants must propose to recycle the plastic(s) using chemical biological or hybrid methods and propose quantitative milestones for the improvement of the recyclability of the plastic over the course of the project. • For biodegradable and compostable plastics: ○ Applicants must propose the design, synthesis, and testing of novel plastic(s) that can completely biodegrade in the environment in a benign manner or in an industrial compost facility. Applicants must propose to perform degradation testing and propose quantitative milestones for the improvement of the degradation over the course of the project. • For both plastic types (biodegradable and compostable): ○ Techno-economic analysis and life cycle assessment of the proposed plastic production and recycling processes through the entire lifecycle of the product must be part of the

	proposed scope of work. Processes that have no path to economic feasibility will not be considered. As a part of this analysis the potential market size and carbon and energy savings over the incumbent product must be discussed. ○ Applicants must discuss end-of-life considerations. This includes methods to quantitatively characterize the end-of-life properties of the proposed material. ○ Applicants must identify an end-use application for their polymer and include the performance requirements for that application. The applicant will be required to report the measurements of their material for each performance requirement. ○ Applicants must also include an assessment of the manufacturability of their product that includes the likely method of manufacturing and necessary characteristics needed to ensure manufacturability via this method. **Additional Specific Requirements for Topic Area 1a** • Proposed plastics must contain at least 50% by mass bio-based feedstocks. See Appendix C for definitions of acceptable feedstocks which include biomass and starch-derived sugars not intended for human consumption. • Applicants may use model compounds for initial testing of their plastic; however, the plastic synthesized and tested at the end of the project must be synthesized from at least 50% bio-based feedstocks. **Topic Area 2 Specific Requirements:** The following requirements must be addressed in the narrative of the application and the strength of the applicant's narrative will be evaluated by the independent technical review panel for scientific merit (see evaluation criteria in section V.A.ii.): • Applications must target energy efficient chemical, biological, mechanical, or hybrid recycling strategies using an acceptable Plastic Waste as defined in Appendix C. • Proposed systems must target upgrading of deconstructed plastic intermediate streams or monomers into a high-value end product that would improve on the value of outputs from current recycling technologies and could reduce the embodied energy in products. • Intermediate and final verifications must be performed in realistic conditions, using a plastic stream provided through a partnership with a waste management or other industry partner. • Techno-economic analysis and life cycle assessment of the processes must be part of the proposed scope of work. Processes that appear to have no path to economic feasibility based on preliminary calculations will not be considered. **Topic Area 3 Specific Requirements:**

	• Applications must identify how they are aligned with accomplishing the aims and targets of the BOTTLE consortium listed above. • Applicants must submit a Data Management Plan (see section IV. D. xvi) to address how data will be shared with the BOTTLE Consortium.
Workplan and Market Transformation Plan (This section should constitute approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)	The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information: • Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes. • Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period. • WBS and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as "we will then complete a proprietary process" is unacceptable). It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks. • Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and

	must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO.
	• Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A Go/No-Go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, at a minimum, each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. The applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to evaluate the project at the Go/No-Go decision point. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered "SMART" and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone.
	• End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). At a minimum, each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO.
	• Project Schedule (Gantt chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points.
	• Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team's proposed management plan, including the following:
	  ○ The overall approach to and organization for managing the work
	  ○ The roles of each project team member
	  ○ Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among project team members
	  ○ The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices
	  ○ The approach to project risk management
	  ○ A description of how project changes will be handled
	  ○ If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control
	  ○ How communications will be maintained among project team members
	• Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following:

	○ Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan ○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, U.S. Manufacturing Plan, and product distribution.
Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)	The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information: • Describe the project team's unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients. Specifically, the applicant must describe how it is a research partnership. • Describe the project team's existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project. • This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives. • Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project. • Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable. • For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly: ○ The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant ○ Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant ○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed ○ Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction ○ Publication arrangements ○ Intellectual Property issues ○ Communication plans

iii. Resumes

Applicants are required to submit one-page resumes for key participating team members. Multi-page resumes are not allowed. Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes".

iv. Letters of Commitment

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third-party cost share providers. If applicable, also include any letters of commitment from partners/end users (1 page

maximum per letter). Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs".

v. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)

Applicants are required to complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, should not exceed 10 pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO".

Verification Task:

All applicants must include the initial verification task within their scope as Task 1. It must be separated from the rest of the scope of work by a Go/No-Go decision point, and applicants should estimate a three-month duration for the verification effort. This task, Task 1, will also be within a separate budget period, Budget Period 1 (BP1), from the remainder of the project. By way of example, the inclusion of the verification in the scope could include something like the following:

> 'Task 1. Initial Verification. At the beginning of the project, the baseline data and project targets provided in the Technical Datasheet will be experimentally verified. Process information and data will be provided to DOE (when applicable) to support the process claims within the original application. Technical metrics for project progress will be tailored to the project as needed. These metrics may include additional Go/No-Go decision points that will be incorporated into the overall project and Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO). Experiments will be conducted at the on-site verification visit to replicate the benchmark data provided in the application.
>
> There will be a Go/No-Go associated with Task 1.1 as follows: Process information and data supporting the technology readiness level of the overall process, the unit operations within the process, and the original application. Technical metrics are based on preliminary data and represent a meaningful baseline and set of targets.
>
> Upon successful completion of the initial verification effort and Go/No-Go decision point, the project will commence with work on the Priority Areas as discussed.'

Similar provisions must be included for the Intermediate Verification as a task that will occur mid-way through the project (~18 months) and the final verification that will occur at the end of the project (within 3 months of completion).

vi. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424".

vii. Budget Justification Workbook

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. Prime recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

viii. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary".

ix. Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. Save the Summary Slide in a single file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, prime recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

x. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than $250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

xi. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE WP in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1A, Work Authorization System, Attachment 3, available at: https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a/@@images/file. Save the WP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP".

xii. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth".

xiii. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Prime recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any

person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xiv. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Foreign Work (if applicable)

1. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.iii., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement.

2. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver".

xv. U.S. Manufacturing Plan

Pursuant to the DOE Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) dated September 9, 2013, each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

Each U.S. Manufacturing Plan must include a commitment that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States, unless the applicant can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible to do so (referred to hereinafter as

"the U.S. Competitiveness Provision"). The applicant further agrees to make the U.S. Competitiveness Provision binding on any subawardee and any assignee or licensee or any entity otherwise acquiring rights to any subject invention, including subsequent assignees or licensees. A subject invention is any invention conceived of or first actually reduced to practice under an award.

Due to the lower technology readiness levels of this FOA, DOE does not expect the U.S. Manufacturing Plans to be tied to a specific product or technology. However, in lieu of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, an applicant may propose a U.S. Manufacturing Plan with more specific commitments that would be beneficial to the U.S. economy and competitiveness. For example, an applicant may commit specific products to be manufactured in the U.S., commit to a specific investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology. An applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a specific licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

If DOE determines, at its sole discretion, that the more specific commitments would provide a sufficient benefit to the U.S. economy and industrial competitiveness, the specific commitments will be part of the terms and conditions of the award. For all other awards, the U.S. Competitiveness Provision shall be incorporated as part of the terms and conditions of the award as the U.S. Manufacturing Plan for that award.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is also a requirement for the Class Patent Waiver that applies to domestic large business under this FOA (see Section VIII.K. Title to Subject Inventions).

Save the U.S. Manufacturing Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP".

xvi. Data Management Plan (DMP)

Applicants for Topic Areas 1 and 2 whose Full Applications are selected for award negotiations will be required to submit a DMP during the award negotiations phase. Applicants for Topic Area 3 are required to submit a Data Management Plan with their Full Application.

An applicant may select one of the template Data Management Plans (DMP) listed below. Alternatively, instead of selecting one of the template DMPs below, an applicant may submit another DMP provided that the DMP, at a minimum, (1) describes how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, how the

results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved and (2) has a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications. DOE Public Access Plan dated July 24, 2014 provides additional guidance and information on DMPs. In addition, for Topic Area 3, the DMP must address how data sharing expectations will be handled, as discussed in I. B. iii. of this FOA.

Option 1 (when protected data is allowed): For the deliverables under the award, the recipient does not plan on making the underlying research data supporting the findings in the deliverables publicly-available for up to five (5) years after the data were first produced because such data will be considered protected under the award. The results from the DOE deliverables can be validated by DOE who will have access, upon request, to the research data. Other than providing deliverables as specified in the award, the recipient does not intend to publish the results from the project. However, in an instance where a publication includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Option 2: For any publication that includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Save the DMP in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP".

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to the comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will post the Reviewer Comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three (3) pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.

F. Post Selection Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information regarding the following (non-exhaustive list):

- Indirect cost information;
- Other budget information;
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable;
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable;
- Environmental Questionnaire;
- Data Management Plan for Topic Areas 1 and 2;
- Foreign National Involvement; and
- Technical Datasheet.

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the SAM at https://www.sam.gov before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid DUNS number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award

or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable federal cost principles for more information:

- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the federal award and **only** with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-Award Costs

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for their project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime

recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file titled "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver." The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds $5,000. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth at 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

vii. Domestic Preference – Infrastructure Projects

As appropriate and to the extent consistent with law, Applicants shall ensure that, to the greatest extent practicable, iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products (items and construction materials composed in whole or in part of non-ferrous metals such as aluminum; plastics and polymer-based products such as polyvinyl chloride pipe; aggregates such as concrete; glass, including optical fiber; and lumber) used in the proposed project shall be produced in the United States. This requirement shall flow down to all sub-awards including all contracts, subcontracts and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project.

viii. Lobbying

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

ix. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any Office of Management and Budget (OMB)-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts

with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

x. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following sub-criteria:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, describes how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following sub-criteria:

Topic Area-Specific Requirements

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process convincingly demonstrates the ability to meet the required **metric(s)** identified within the given Topic Area;
- Extent to which the proposed technology matches a "**Specific Area of Interest**" identified in the given Topic Area;
- Degree to which applicants articulate how they plan to achieve each element in the "**Topic Area Specific Requirements**" section for the given Topic Area.

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state-of-the-art to the proposed advancement;
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (30%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

- Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and

- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, U.S. manufacturing plan, and product distribution.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (20%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective April 14, 2017, which is available at: https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty; and
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications).
- The degree to which the proposed project will occur in a Qualified Opportunity Zone or otherwise advance the goals of Qualified Opportunity Zones.[1] The goals include spurring economic development and job creation in distressed communities throughout the United States

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

[1] Opportunity zones were added to the Internal Revenue Code by section 13823 of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, codified at 26 U.S.C. 1400Z-1. The list of designated Qualified Opportunity Zones can be found in IRS Notices 2018-48 (PDF) and 2019-42 (PDF). Further, a visual map of the census tracts designated as Qualified Opportunity Zones may also be found at Opportunity Zones Resources. Also see, frequently asked questions about Qualified Opportunity Zones.

ii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than Pre-Selection Interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iii. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

iv. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE Exchange.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

i. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds. EERE will post the merit reviewers' comments on the Exchange website, which are made available to applicants during the replies to reviewers' comments period. Those comments provide details on the strengths and weaknesses of the application. DOE does not have any additional comments to provide to applicants. EERE, therefore, will not be holding debriefings for this FOA.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to

this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov. This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.**

The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA**.

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a DUNS number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the SAM at https://www.sam.gov. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at https://www.fedconnect.net. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access Under DOE Order 142.3A Chg 2 (LtdChg), "Unclassified Foreign Visits and Assignments Program"

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA may be required to provide information to DOE in order to satisfy requirements for foreign nationals' access to DOE sites, information, technologies, equipment, programs or personnel. A foreign national is defined as any person who is not a U.S. citizen by birth or naturalization. If a selected applicant (including any of its subrecipients, contractors or vendors) anticipates involving foreign nationals in the performance of its award, the selected applicant may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to ensure compliance with the requirements for access approval. National laboratory personnel already cleared for site access may be excluded. Access approval for foreign nationals from countries identified on the U.S. Department of State's list of State Sponsors of Terrorism must receive final approval authority from the Secretary of Energy or the Secretary's assignee before they commence any work under the award.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at https://www.energy.gov/nepa.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and

b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:

a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

b. It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

(1) *''These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.''*

(2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement (https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

(3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.

2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.

3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).

4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

x. Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP)

An IP Management Plan may be required after selection and during negotiation per the discretion of the Contracting Officer. If required during negotiation, it will be due within 30 days of selection. If required after initial award and during the project verification period, it will be due 30 days after award.

The award will set forth the treatment of and obligations related to intellectual property rights between EERE and the individual members. The IPMP should describe how the members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with federal intellectual property laws, regulations, and policies (see Sections VIII.K.-VIII.N. of this FOA for more details on applicable federal intellectual property laws and regulations). Guidance regarding the contents of IPMP is available from EERE upon request.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of items that the IPMP may cover:

- The treatment of confidential information between members (e.g., the use of NDAs);
- The treatment of background intellectual property (e.g., any requirements for identifying it or making it available);
- The treatment of inventions made under the award (e.g., any requirements for disclosing to the other members on an application, filing patent applications, paying for patent prosecution, and cross-licensing or other licensing arrangements between the members);
- The treatment of data produced, including software, under the award (e.g., any publication process or other dissemination strategies, copyrighting strategy or arrangement between members);
- Any technology transfer and commercialization requirements or arrangements between the members;
- The treatment of any intellectual property issues that may arise due to a change in membership of the consortia or team; and
- The handling of disputes related to intellectual property between the members.

xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that prime recipients and subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for ten (10) years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xii. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards.

xiii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. This helpful EERE checklist can be accessed at https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms. See Attachment 2 Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, after clicking on "Model Cooperative Agreement" under the Award Package section.

Special Deliverables for Topic Area 3. Projects selected under Topic Area 3 will complement and support the ongoing BOTTLE Consortium. Recipients are expected to contribute information, data, and methods that will inform the planning of additional R&D efforts associated with the overall BOTTLE Consortium. The selected recipients are expected to actively collaborate with the BOTTLE Consortium and share data produced under their awards with the DOE National Laboratories. Project principal investigators will be expected to participate in annual, or more frequent, meetings related to the BOTTLE Consortium. The data management plan (see FOA section IV.D.xvi for details) must address how data will be shared to meet this expectation.

EERE Reporting Requirements
Attendance at the EERE Biennial Peer Review is required so that external subject matter experts can review project accomplishments and provide feedback to ensure optimal use of EERE funds.

Special Deliverables for All Topic Areas:
In addition to the deliverables required in the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, the following deliverables are required for awards made under all Topic Areas:

- Applications submitted under all Topic Areas will be required to participate in a Verification as described in Section I.D.

xiv. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the EERE program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) EERE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application; and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States government would otherwise exceed $20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xvi. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than $1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of $5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: fy20plasticsfoa@ee.doe.gov. Questions must be submitted not later than three (3) business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: https://eere-exchange.energy.gov. **Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA**. EERE will attempt to respond to a question within three (3) business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail

If an application includes trade secrets or information that is commercial or financial, or information that is confidential or privileged, it is furnished to the Government in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, EERE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the

application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S. Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Concept Paper, Full Application, Reply to Reviewer Comments, or other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

> **Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:**
> Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: "Contains Trade Secrets, Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure." In addition, each line or paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including EERE contractors. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not

those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

I. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

J. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;

- All other parties: The federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products

embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784; and

- Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC): Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.

K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where prime recipients and subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. government retains certain rights.

1. Government Use License

The U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the government.

2. March-In Rights

The U.S. government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

L. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

"Limited Rights Data:" The U.S. government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated ("Protected Data"). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

For Topic Area 3, additional reporting requirements are as follows: Projects selected under this Topic Area will complement and support the ongoing BOTTLE Consortium. Recipients are expected to contribute information, data, and methods that will inform the planning of

additional R&D efforts associated with the overall BOTTLE Consortium. The selected recipients are expected to actively collaborate with the BOTTLE Consortium and share data produced under their awards with the DOE National Laboratories. Project principal investigators will be expected to participate in annual, or more frequent, meetings related to the BOTTLE Consortium. The data management plan (see FOA section IV.D.xvi for details) must address how data will be shared to meet this expectation.

M. Copyright

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government.

N. Export Control

The U.S. government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the U.S. to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as "Export Controls". To ensure compliance with Export Controls, it is the prime recipient's responsibility to determine when its project activities trigger Export Controls and to ensure compliance.

Export Controls may apply to individual projects, depending on the nature of the tasks. When Export Controls apply, the recipient must take the appropriate steps to obtain any required governmental licenses, monitor and control access to restricted information, and safeguard all controlled materials. Under no circumstances may foreign entities (organizations, companies or persons) receive access to export controlled information unless proper export procedures have been satisfied and such access is authorized pursuant to law or regulation.

Applicants are advised that some of the results of the research conducted under this FOA are expected to be restricted for proprietary reasons and not published or shared broadly within the scientific community.

O. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. The term "PII" refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother's maiden name. (See OMB

Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at: https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security Numbers (SSNs) be included in the application**. Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See, the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. §3551).

P. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended $750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended $750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

APPENDIX A – COST SHARE INFORMATION

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms "cost sharing" and "cost matching" are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term "cost sharing," as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here "cost matching" for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with $1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share ($) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost
  - Example: $1,000,000 divided by 80% = $1,250,000

- Formula: Total Project Cost ($) minus federal share ($) = Non-federal share ($)
  - Example: $1,250,000 minus $1,000,000 = $250,000

- Formula: Non-federal share ($) divided by Total Project Cost ($) = Non-federal share (%)
  - Example: $250,000 divided by $1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award

1. Cash Cost Share – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.

2. In-Kind Cost Share – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.

3. Funds from other federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.

4. Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

(A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

(1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.

(2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.

(3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.

(4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:

a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in 2 CFR 200 Appendix VIII is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and

b. Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.

(5) They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.

(6) They are provided for in the approved budget.

(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

(1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases

where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or

b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.

(2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.

(3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.

(4) Valuing property donated by third parties.

a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.

b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:

i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.

ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.

(5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:

a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.

b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

APPENDIX B – WAIVER REQUESTS AND APPROVAL PROCESSES: 1. FOREIGN ENTITY PARTICIPATION AS THE PRIME RECIPIENT; AND 2. PERFORMANCE OF WORK IN THE UNITED STATES (FOREIGN WORK WAIVER)

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient
As set forth in Section III.A.iii., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation as the prime recipient* requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the prime recipient;
- Country of incorporation and the extent, if any, the entity is state owned or controlled;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the US economy;
    - How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
    - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the prime recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. ("foreign work");
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
- The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
- How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
- How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

APPENDIX C – ACCEPTABLE FEEDSTOCKS

- Topic Area 1a requires at least 50% Biomass and Starch-Derived Sugars as feedstocks.
- Topic Area 1b has no feedstock requirement.
- Topic Area 2 requires Waste Plastic as a feedstock for deconstruction.
- Topic Area 3 requires Waste Plastic as a feedstock for deconstruction.

Biomass is defined generally in the authorizing language of EPAct 2005, §932 (reproduced below). More specifically for the purposes of Topic Area 1, biomass includes agricultural residues, forest resources, perennial grasses, woody energy crops, algae, wet waste (e.g., biosolids), sorted municipal solid waste, urban wood waste, food waste, and biogas.

Starch-Derived Sugars are defined as sugars from starch-heavy crops not intended for human consumption (e.g. starches from field/feed corn).

Waste Plastic is defined as synthetic material made from organic polymers including but not limited to derivatives of polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, polyurethane, nylon, polyamide, and polylactam and which is sourced from a plastic stream provided through a partnership with a waste management or other industry partner. Waste plastics are the only acceptable feedstocks for Topic Area 2.

EPAct 2005, §932, codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16232. BIOENERGY PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS:—In this section:

(1) BIOMASS.—The term "biomass" means—

(A) any organic material grown for the purpose of being converted to energy;

(B) any organic byproduct of agriculture (including wastes from food production and processing) that can be converted into energy; or

(C) any waste material that can be converted to energy, is segregated from other waste materials, and is derived from—

(i) any of the following forest-related resources: mill residues, precommercial thinnings, slash, brush, or otherwise non-merchantable material; or

(ii) wood waste materials, including waste pallets, crates, dunnage, manufacturing and construction wood wastes (other than pressure-treated, chemically-treated, or painted wood wastes), and landscape or right-of-way tree trimmings, but not including municipal solid waste, gas derived from the biodegradation of municipal solid waste or paper that is commonly recycled.

(2) LIGNOCELLULOSIC FEEDSTOCK.—The term "lignocellulosic feedstock" means any portion of a plant or coproduct from conversion, including crops, trees, forest residues, and agricultural residues *not specifically grown for food*, [emphasis added] including from barley grain, grape seed, rice bran, rice hulls, rice straw, soybean matter, and sugarcane bagasse.

Appendix D– Glossary

Applicant – The lead organization submitting an application under the FOA.

Continuation application – A non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the Recipient must submit to EERE its continuation application, which includes the following information:

i. A report on the Recipient's progress towards meeting the objectives of the project, including any significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.

ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.

iii. A description of any planned changes from the negotiated Statement of Project Objectives and/or Milestone Summary Table.

Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) – a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements

Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) – FFRDCs are public-private partnerships which conduct research for the United States government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/.

Go/No-Go Decision Points – A decision point at the end of a budget period that defines the overall objectives, milestones and deliverables to be achieved by the recipient in that budget period. As of a result of EERE's review, EERE may take one of the following actions: 1) authorize federal funding for the next budget period; 2) recommend redirection of work; 3) discontinue providing federal funding beyond the current budget period; or 4) place a hold on federal funding pending further supporting data.

Project – The entire scope of the cooperative agreement which is contained in the recipient's Statement of Project Objectives.

Recipient or "Prime Recipient" – A non-federal entity that receives a federal award directly from a federal awarding agency to carry out an activity under a federal program. The term recipient does not include subrecipients.

Subrecipient – A non-federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a federal program; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other federal awards directly from a federal awarding agency. Also, a DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application. See section III.E.i.

APPENDIX E – LIST OF ACRONYMS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AMO	Advanced Manufacturing Office
BETO	Bioenergy Technologies Office
COI	Conflict of Interest
CRADA	Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
DEC	Determination of Exceptional Circumstances
DMP	Data Management Plan
DOE	Department of Energy
EERE	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPAct	Energy Policy Act
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FFATA	Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
IPMP	Intellectual Property Management Plan
MPIN	Marketing Partner ID Number
NDA	Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Agency
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
PII	Personal Identifiable Information
R&D	Research and Development
SAM	System for Award Management
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WP	Work Proposal