

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)**

Inflation Reduction Act Funding for Advanced Biofuels

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0003178

FOA Type: Mod 000001

Assistance Listing Number: 81.087

FOA Issue Date:	02/22/2024
Informational Webinar:	03/04/2024 3:00 p.m. ET
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	03/22/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	06/14/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	07/26/2024 5:00 p.m. ET
Expected Date for DOE Selection Notifications:	08/23/2024
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations:	08/23/2024- 09/30/2024

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5 p.m. ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.
- **Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)** - Each applicant (unless the applicant is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110) is required to: (1) register in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting an application; (2) provide a valid UEI number in the application; and (3)

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

maintain an active SAM registration with current information when the applicant has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

NOTE: Due to the high number of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process, they should use the [HELP](#) feature on [SAM.gov](#). SAM.gov will address service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

Modifications

All modifications to the FOA are HIGHLIGHTED in the body of the FOA.

000001	04/26/2024	Update the Full Application Submission deadline, the Replies to Reviewer Comments deadline, the Expected Date for DOE Selection Notifications, and the Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations.
--------	------------	---

Table of Contents

Modifications.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
I. Funding Opportunity Description	1
A. Background and Context	1
i. Program Purpose	1
ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals	3
iii. Teaming Partner List.....	6
B. Topic Areas	6
i. Overview.....	6
ii. Topic Area 1: Pre-pilot Scale-Up of Integrated Biorefinery Technologies	9
iii. Topic Area 2: Biointermediate Processing Toolbox.....	12
C. Verifications	18
D. Applications Specifically Not of Interest.....	23
E. R&D Community Benefits Plan.....	24
F. Authorizing Statutes.....	25
II. Award Information.....	26
A. Award Overview	26
i. Estimated Funding	26
ii. Period of Performance	26
iii. New Applications Only	26
B. DOE Funding Agreements	27
i. Cooperative Agreements.....	27
ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)	27
III. Eligibility Information.....	27
A. Eligible Applicants	27
i. Domestic Entities.....	28
ii. Foreign Entities.....	29
B. Cost Sharing.....	29
i. Legal Responsibility	29
ii. Cost Share Allocation.....	30
iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability	30
iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	31
v. Cost Share Verification	31
vi. Cost Share Payment.....	32
C. Compliance Criteria	32
D. Responsiveness Criteria.....	33
E. Other Eligibility Requirements	33
i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Listed as the Applicant	33
ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Included as a Subrecipient	33
F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review	35
G. Questions Regarding Eligibility.....	35
IV. Application and Submission Information	36
A. Application Process	36

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

i.	Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE	36
B.	Application Forms	37
C.	Content and Form of the Concept Paper	37
D.	Content and Form of the Full Application	38
i.	Full Application Content Requirements.....	39
ii.	Technical Volume	40
iii.	Resumes	45
iv.	Letters of Commitment	46
v.	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)	46
vi.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	46
vii.	Budget Justification Workbook	46
viii.	Summary for Public Release	47
ix.	Summary Slide	47
x.	Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)	48
xi.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)	48
xii.	Authorization for Non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)	48
xiii.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)	48
xiv.	Waiver Requests.....	49
xv.	R&D Community Benefits Plan.....	49
xvi.	Current and Pending Support.....	53
xvii.	Transparency of Foreign Connections	56
xviii.	Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice	57
xix.	Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data.....	58
E.	Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	59
F.	Post Selection Information Requests	59
G.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)	61
H.	Submission Dates and Times	61
I.	Intergovernmental Review	61
J.	Funding Restrictions	61
i.	Allowable Costs	61
ii.	Pre-Award Costs	62
iii.	Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver).....	63
iv.	Construction	63
v.	Foreign Travel	64
vi.	Equipment and Supplies	64
vii.	Build America Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects	64
viii.	Lobbying	66
ix.	Risk Assessment.....	66
x.	Invoice Review and Approval	67
xi.	Prohibition Related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs	67
xii.	Affirmative Action and Pay Transparency Requirements.....	68
xiii.	Foreign Collaboration Considerations	69
V.	Application Review Information	70
A.	Technical Review Criteria	70
i.	Concept Papers.....	70
ii.	Full Applications	71
iii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments	74
B.	Standards for Application Evaluation	74
C.	Other Selection Factors	75
i.	Program Policy Factors	75
D.	Evaluation and Selection Process	76
i.	Overview.....	76

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

ii.	Pre-Selection Clarification	76
iii.	Recipient Responsibility and Qualifications.....	76
iv.	Selection	77
E.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates	77
VI.	Award Administration Information.....	77
A.	Award Notices	77
i.	Ineligible Submissions.....	77
ii.	Concept Paper Notifications.....	77
iii.	Full Application Notifications.....	78
iv.	Applicants Selected for Award Negotiations	78
v.	Alternate Selection Determinations	78
vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	79
B.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	79
i.	Registration Requirements.....	79
ii.	Award Administrative Requirements	80
iii.	Foreign National Participation.....	80
iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting.....	81
v.	National Policy Requirements	81
vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	81
vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications	81
viii.	Statement of Federal Stewardship	83
ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement.....	84
x.	Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP).....	84
xi.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting.....	85
xii.	Intellectual Property Provisions	85
xiii.	Reporting	85
xiv.	Go/No-Go Review	85
xv.	Conference Spending.....	87
xvi.	Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements	87
xvii.	Real Property and Equipment	87
xviii.	Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty	88
xix.	Participants and Collaborating Organizations	88
xx.	Current and Pending Support.....	88
xxi.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments.....	89
xxii.	Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance	90
xxiii.	Data Management Plan.....	91
xxiv.	Fraud, Waste, and Abuse.....	91
xxv.	Human Subjects Research	92
VII.	Questions/Agency Contacts.....	92
VIII.	Other Information.....	92
A.	FOA Modifications.....	92
B.	Government Right to Reject or Negotiate.....	93
C.	Commitment of Public Funds.....	93
D.	Treatment of Application Information	93
E.	Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	94
F.	Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities	94
G.	Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability	95
H.	Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	95
I.	Retention of Submissions.....	95
J.	Title to Subject Inventions.....	95

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

K.	Government Rights in Subject Inventions	96
L.	Rights in Technical Data	97
M.	Copyright	97
N.	Export Control	97
O.	Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment	98
P.	Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	98
Q.	Annual Independent Audits	98
R.	Informational Webinar	99
Appendix A – Cost Share Information		100
Appendix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage		105
Appendix C – Waiver Requests For: 1. Foreign Entity Participation; and 2. Foreign Work.....		107
Appendix D – Required Use of American Iron, Steel, Manufactured Products, and Construction Materials.....		110
Appendix E – Definition of Technology Readiness Levels.....		114
Appendix F – List of Acronyms.....		115
Appendix G – R&D Community Benefits Plan Guidance		117
Appendix H – Glossary		124

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Background and Context

The Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) is issuing this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA). Awards made under this FOA will be funded, in whole, with funds appropriated by the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) of 2022.¹

Funding made available through IRA reflects the single largest investment in climate and energy in American history, enabling America to tackle the climate crisis, advancing environmental justice, securing America's position as a world leader in domestic clean energy manufacturing, and putting the United States on a pathway to achieving the Biden Administration's climate goals, including a net-zero economy by 2050. IRA Section 60108(b) appropriated funds to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for new grants to industry and other related activities under the EPA's Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program to support investments in advanced biofuels.

EPA has provided funding to the Department of Energy (DOE) to implement the advanced biofuels investments under IRA Section 60108(b). **Potential applicants are advised to read the FOA carefully.** The EPA's RFS program administers biofuel requirements for *current* commercial-scale activities, while BETO supports research in *next-generation* activities. To evaluate the lifecycle impact of a commercial-scale refinery, EPA's RFS program defines a fuel pathway as a specific combination of (1) feedstock, (2) production process, and (3) fuel type. **This FOA is intended to support EPA's RFS program and is different in allowable feedstocks and allowable primary fuels, among other things, compared to other recent BETO FOAs.** All full applications must use the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document to show the potential lifecycle greenhouse gas emission to demonstrate the application is supporting advanced biofuels (see Section IV.D.xix.).

i. Program Purpose

Building a clean and equitable energy economy and addressing the climate crisis is a top priority of the Biden Administration. This FOA will advance the Biden Administration's goals to deliver an equitable, clean energy future, and put the United States on a path to achieve net-zero emissions, economy-wide, by no

¹ Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, Public Law 117-169 (August 16, 2022). <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376/text>.

later than 2050² to the benefit of all Americans. For over a decade, EPA's RFS program has helped develop the market for advanced biofuels, including the current production of billions of gallons of biomass-based diesel and renewable natural gas. These fuels reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 50% compared to the petroleum fuels they replace. As shown in the RFS Program: Standards for 2023-2025 final rule,³ the RFS continues to expand by increasing renewable volume obligations and reducing the number of small refinery exemptions, while monitoring how renewable fuel production impacts the environment, national energy security, national infrastructure, the cost of fuels to consumers, and the impacts on job creation, agricultural commodity prices and supplies, rural economic development, and food prices.⁴ There is a need for more feedstocks and conversion technologies to enable more advanced biofuels with the lowest cost of production and the greatest reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. DOE is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering, catalyzing clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D), and ensuring environmental justice and inclusion of underserved communities. This FOA will support the Biden Administration's action items to produce 3 billion gallons of sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) per year and reduce aviation emissions by 20% by 2030 towards unlocking the potential for a fully zero-carbon aviation sector by 2050.⁵

In support of these Administration priorities, BETO focuses on developing technologies that convert domestic lignocellulosic biomass and renewable organic waste resources into affordable low-carbon biofuels and bioproducts that significantly reduce carbon emissions on a life-cycle basis as compared to equivalent petroleum-based products. These bioenergy technologies can enable a transition to a clean energy economy, create high-quality jobs, and support rural economies. The research and development (R&D) activities to be funded under this FOA will support the government-wide approach to the climate crisis by driving the innovation that can accelerate the deployment of clean energy technologies, which are critical for climate protection.

This FOA also supports high-impact technology R&D to accelerate the bioeconomy. BETO is focusing on applied RD&D to improve the performance and reduce the cost of biofuel production technologies and scale-up production systems in partnership with industry. By reducing cost and technical risk, BETO can help pave the way for industry to deploy commercial-scale integrated

² [Executive Order \(EO\) 14008](#), "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad," January 27, 2021.

³ <https://www.epa.gov/renewable-fuel-standard-program/final-renewable-fuels-standards-rule-2023-2024-and-2025>

⁴ <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-07-12/pdf/2023-13462.pdf>

⁵ https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2021-09/S1-Signed-SAF-MOU-9-08-21_0.pdf

biorefineries and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from hard-to-decarbonize sectors, such as the aviation industry. Additionally, this FOA will reduce risks in processing or co-processing biointermediates in legacy refinery infrastructure.

ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals

BETO manages a diverse portfolio of technologies covering the full spectrum of bioenergy production, from the feedstock source to final products. Although BETO focuses on biofuel, it also pursues strategies to develop high-value bioproducts as byproducts that can lower the cost and accelerate the development of biofuel technologies.

Biofuels and bioproducts are produced via a variety of technology configurations that can be referred to as technology pathways. Each technology pathway includes a specific feedstock or feedstocks and conversion technology combination to produce a product slate of biofuels and/or bioproducts. BETO's programs focus on overcoming key technology barriers that affect technology pathways and the ability to economically scale-up these pathways to industrially relevant volumes.

Illustrative biofuel pathway progress is assessed annually by BETO using technoeconomic analysis (TEA), which translates technology development into modeled gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) price improvements. These results, along with life cycle analysis (LCA) of energy and emissions and supply chain sustainability analyses, which estimate the environmental impact of improvements, are referred to by BETO as the state of technology. Figure 1 below illustrates the TEA impact of technology development progress representing a significant reduction in the modeled Minimum Fuel Selling Price (MFSP) for one example technology pathway.





Figure 1: Illustrative biofuel pathway progress toward a cost competitive fuel (woody feedstocks converted via catalytic pyrolysis and upgrading pathway).⁶

Similarly, Figure 2 shows sustainability factors that must be kept in mind and worked on simultaneously as cost reduction occurs in order to fully realize the effect of design changes to lower cost.

⁶ Dutta, Abhijit, Calvin Mukarakate, Kristiina Iisa, Huamin Wang, Michael Talmadge, Daniel Santosa, Kylee Harris, Frederick Baddour, et al. 2021. *Ex Situ Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Hydrocarbon Fuels: 2020 State of Technology*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-5100-80291. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/80291.pdf>.



(c)
Figure 2: Supply chain for the catalytic fast pyrolysis design case for (a) greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, (b) water consumption, and (c) NO_x emissions.⁷ 2020 State of Technology (left bars) compared to petroleum gasoline (right, black bars).

Significant RD&D is still required to de-risk these technologies for commercial adoption. The Topic Areas in this FOA directly seek to address the following R&D needs:

- Development of key process steps from the laboratory/bench-scale at start of project to the pilot/engineering-scale at end of project, to reduce technological uncertainties and enable integrated piloting and/or scale-up to demonstration that could occur after the completion of this work; and
- Development of key technology innovations for processing or co-processing biointermediates in legacy refinery infrastructure, tested and verified at industrially relevant scale, to reduce technological uncertainties and enable industry deployment.

All work under EERE funding agreements, such as those awarded as a result of this FOA, must be performed in the United States.

⁷ Cai, Hao, Longwen Ou, Michael Wang, Ryan Davis, Abhijit Dutta, Kylee Harris, Matthew Wiatrowski, Eric Tan, et al. 2021. *Supply Chain Sustainability Analysis of Renewable Hydrocarbon Fuels via Indirect Liquefaction, Ex Situ Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis, Hydrothermal Liquefaction, Combined Algal Processing, and Biochemical Conversion: Update of the 2020 State-of-Technology Cases*. Argonne, IL: Argonne National Laboratory. ANL/ESD-21/1 Rev. 1. <https://publications.anl.gov/anlpubs/2021/09/171390.pdf>.

iii. **Teaming Partner List**

DOE is compiling a Teaming Partner List to facilitate the formation of project teams for this FOA. The Teaming Partner List allows organizations that may wish to participate on a project to express their interest to other applicants and explore potential partnerships.

The Teaming Partner List will be available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/Default.aspx#FoalId786ac4d9-fac6-4124-9af4-7bb53519bab9> and will be regularly updated to reflect new teaming partners who provide their organization's information.

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS: View the Teaming Partner List by visiting the EERE eXCHANGE homepage and clicking on "Teaming Partners" within the left-hand navigation pane. This page allows users to view published Teaming Partner Lists. To join the Teaming Partner List, submit a request within eXCHANGE. Select the appropriate Teaming Partner List from the drop-down menu, and fill in the following information: Investigator Name, Organization Name, Organization Type, Topic Area, Background and Capabilities, Website, Contact Address, Contact Email, and Contact Phone.

DISCLAIMER: By submitting a request to be included on the Teaming Partner List, the requesting organization consents to the publication of the above-referenced information. By facilitating the Teaming Partner List, DOE is not endorsing, sponsoring, or otherwise evaluating the qualifications of the individuals and organizations that are identifying themselves for placement on this Teaming Partner List. DOE will not pay for the provision of any information, nor will it compensate any applicants or requesting organizations for the development of such information.

B. Topic Areas

Table 1: Topic Area Breakdown

No.	Title
1	Pre-Pilot Scale-Up of Integrated Biorefinery Technologies
2	Biointermediate Processing Toolbox

i. **Overview**

To meet the demand for advanced biofuels, including SAF, many different feedstocks must be utilized, which will require a portfolio of efficient conversion technologies. Significant progress has been made on a number of technologies to

produce SAF and other biofuels, through both government and private sector support, and some technologies at the laboratory-scale are now ready for scaling-up to support their ultimate commercialization. BETO recognizes that availability of financing for first-of-a-kind process systems at the pilot-scale can be a barrier to commercializing advanced biofuels. BETO is looking for bioenergy technology developers that have completed work at the laboratory-scale and are ready to advance to the pilot-scale. This may mean designing and building a pilot-scale unit, using real feedstocks, operating a reactor for longer times than before, finding the optimal operating conditions, and/or incorporating mass/heat recycling. This FOA does not seek a fully integrated pilot-scale unit, but rather a critical process step or steps. The expectation is that a successful project at the end of this FOA would then be ready to proceed to building and operating an integrated pilot-scale facility, or scaling up to a demonstration-scale facility. Table 1 above lists the two Topic Areas. Topic Area 1 seeks projects of a wide variety of allowable feedstocks (see Section I.B.ii.). Topic Area 2 specifically seeks the utilization of biointermediates (see Section I.B.iii.).

Based on lessons learned from previous projects, this FOA requires projects to demonstrate that they have successfully met appropriate targets, addressed technical risks, and have collected sufficient data at prior stages of development and are positioned to scale their technology to the next stage. Therefore, applications should clearly state the previous data they have developed (see Section IV.D.ii.). If awarded, the first budget period of a project consists of verification, where the awardee may be required to repeat its experiments at the prior scale (see Section I.C.). This process verifies the awardee's work and helps set the baseline against which to measure progress during the project.

Both Topic Areas under this FOA will fund projects currently at Technology Readiness Level (TRL) 3 to 4 (see Appendix E). BETO will identify, evaluate, and select applications proposing the scale-up of key process steps from laboratory scale unit operations to industrially relevant piece(s) of equipment at the pilot scale (TRL 5). The meaning of industrially relevant can vary based on the technology. Indicators that equipment is industrially relevant would be that:

- The equipment is capable of receiving feedstock of the physical and chemical variability as would be seen in the commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment is capable of receiving feedstock continuously if that would be the vision of a commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment can continuously evacuate any solid, liquid, and gaseous products if that would be the vision of a commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment can operate at durations long enough to test durability of any catalyst/microorganism/solvent involved;

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

- The equipment is manufactured of materials that would be used in the commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment can run at the optimal conditions where throughput of unit biomass per unit time, conversion efficiency, and other size-independent metrics are optimized and similar to the values needed for the commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment is using catalysts, as applicable, manufactured in methods that would be done for the commercial-scale unit;
- The equipment is designed close enough to the vision of a demonstration- or commercial-scale such that if a sufficient quantity of operational testing is conducted using the equipment, then a final investment decision could be made on investing on the next higher scale of technology development; and/or
- Any internal mass/heat transfer needed is performed in a way that would be used in the commercial-scale unit.

The steps are not required to comprise a fully integrated pilot scale unit by the end of the project, but rather can be utilized to support future integration of the entire process at pilot or demonstration scale.

To support the RFS, non-fuel bioproducts are not allowable as the primary product for an applicant's proposed technology under either Topic Area 1 or 2. Bioproducts are allowable as *coproducts* if they are essential for the overall economics of biofuel production. However, of all the carbon contained in the biofuels and coproducts, at least 50% of the total carbon must be contained within the biofuel(s). In addition, carbon found in waste streams, or streams consumed as part of the production process, is not included in determining whether 50% of the total carbon is contained within the biofuel(s). For instance, carbon dioxide (CO₂) from a fermentation, light gases produced from biomass feedstock used for process heat, or char from a catalytic fast pyrolysis process would be considered traditional waste streams and thus not be included in the total carbon contained in the biofuels and coproducts, even though there are technologies that can utilize these streams to further reduce the lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions and benefit the overall economics of a process. This calculation will be different among technologies and the applicant is responsible for fully explaining and establishing the applicability of its process streams under this requirement.

BETO anticipates that \$9,400,000 in federal funding will be available for awards collectively made under both Topic Areas 1 and 2. Selections may be made under one Topic Area, a combination of the two Topic Areas, or no selections under

either Topic Area, depending on the applicant pool and the outcome of the evaluation process.

Important Note: Because of the IRA funding source and Section 60108(b) authorization language for advanced biofuels under the RFS program, allowable feedstocks and allowable primary products under this FOA are different than other recent BETO FOAs. Applicants must, therefore, pay particular attention to and follow all requirements and definitions set forth in this FOA.

In addition, President Biden, in Executive Order 14008, *Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad*, established the Justice40 Initiative, which set the goal that 40% of the overall benefits of certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities that are marginalized by underinvestment and overburdened by pollution.

Under this FOA, 20% of an applicant's evaluation score (Criterion 4) will be based on the applicant's R&D Community Benefits Plan, which must cover (1) job quality and workforce continuity, (2) diversity, equity, inclusivity, and accessibility, and (3) Justice40 initiative. This FOA seeks projects that are responsive to all three community benefits criteria above. Meaningful actions could include, but are not limited to, activities such as reviewing state environmental justice laws that would impact a biorefinery and actively adjusting project plans accordingly; and/or creating a two-way communication with the local community where a recipient first understands potential adverse project impacts, conducts monitoring of the impacts, then reports findings to the community at the end of the project. Projects must have one milestone related to the R&D Community Benefits Plan per budget period. More requirements and suggestions regarding the R&D Community Benefits Plan requirements are found in Sections I.E., V.A.ii., and Appendix G.

All work for projects selected under this FOA must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix C.

ii. Topic Area 1: Pre-pilot Scale-Up of Integrated Biorefinery Technologies

Many technologies developed at the laboratory/bench scale, both externally and within the other BETO programs, require further development prior to full system scaling. Topic Area 1 will provide funding for recipients to scale up key process steps that are ready to move out of the laboratory scale (TRL 3 or 4) and into industrially relevant piece(s) of equipment (TRL 5). The proposed unit

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

operation(s) within an application are not required to comprise a fully integrated pilot-scale unit (TRL 6) by the end of the project, but rather can be utilized to support future integration of the entire process at pilot- or demonstration-scale. Applicants to Topic Area 1 will be required to demonstrate proof of technology success at the laboratory scale in Budget Period 1 and provide a market justification for further system scaling. Lowering scale-up risk for these processes will contribute to the BETO goal of supporting at least four demonstration-scale integrated biorefineries by 2030. These processes also respond to the requirement of IRA Section 60108(b) to support investments in advanced biofuels.

The following metrics will be required for award:

Table 2: Topic Area 1 – Pre-pilot Requirements

Metric:	Minimum:
Allowable Feedstocks	Planted crops except for the conditions on Non-Allowable Feedstocks; agricultural residues; lignocellulosic feedstocks; woody biomass and forestry residues except for the conditions on Non-Allowable Feedstocks; algae grown photosynthetically; separated yard waste; ^{8a} separated food waste ^{8b} including recycled cooking and trap grease; animal waste material and animal byproducts; organic waste that is available on a renewable or recurring basis except for the conditions on Non-Allowable Feedstocks; biogenic waste carbon dioxide.
Non-Allowable Feedstocks	Corn starch if the corn starch is used for ethanol as the Allowable Fuel Type; non-biogenic carbon dioxide; construction and demolition waste; organic waste if it is not available on a renewable or recurring basis or if the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document does not demonstrate the fuel would have lifecycle GHG emission of no greater than 46 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value.
Allowable Fuel Types	Transportation fuel ^{8c} including fuel for use in motor vehicles and nonroad vehicles, except for the conditions on Non-Allowable Fuel Type; heating oil; ^{8d} sustainable aviation fuel ^{8e} . A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit technologies producing sustainable aviation fuel to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.).
Non-Allowable Fuel Types	Fuel for ocean-going vessels; ethanol if the feedstock is corn starch.

⁸ See Appendix H (Glossary) for definition

GHG Emissions ^{9,10,11}	Maximum 46 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value. A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit technologies proposing maximum GHG emission of 27 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value, to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.). See Section IV.D.xix. for instructions on the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document.
Fuel Selling Price	Cost competitive after projected RFS credits with petroleum-based fuels (model TEA for envisioned mature commercial facility (n th plant))
Cumulative Time on Stream by End of Project	500 hours
Minimum Throughput by End of Project	0.5 DTPD (dry ton per day) biomass; or 8 MMBTU/day of biogas equivalent; or 35 gallons/day of final fuel equivalent for processes that utilize CO ₂ as a feedstock
Continuous Reaction Time on Stream, at the Minimum Throughput by End of Project Metric	100 hours minimum
R&D Community Benefits Plan	Required
Bioproducts	Bioproducts must be less than 50% by carbon of the sum of carbon of all biofuels and bioproducts. Non-Allowable Fuel Types are not considered Bioproducts. A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit applications proposing higher percentages of biofuels to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.).

Topic Area 1 Specific Requirements

The following requirements must be addressed in the Full Application and the strength of the applicant's discussion will be evaluated by the reviewers for engineering and scientific merit (see Technical Evaluation Criteria in Section V.A.ii.):

⁹ Applicants may use any standardized approach to calculating life cycle GHG emissions e.g. Argonne National Laboratory GREET (Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Technologies) model⁹ or provide schemes developed through the CORSIA (Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation) methodology¹⁰ for calculating life cycle emissions

¹⁰ <https://greet.es.anl.gov/>

¹¹ <https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-Eligible-Fuels.aspx>

- Projects must meet or exceed all minimum metrics listed above in Table 2: Topic Area 1 – Pre-pilot Requirements.
- Applications must contain techno-economic and life cycle analyses (TEA and LCA) that relate the key technical parameters of the proposed technology described in the proposal application to achieving cost competitive MFSP and GHG reduction targets. Previously achieved values, scales, and durations should be delineated from the values necessary to meet the targeted MFSP to assure the level of technology advancement needed is clear.
- Projects selected for negotiation of award will be subject to verification immediately after award approval, within Budget Period 1.
- A Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data are required as part of the application. See Section IV.D.xix.
- Biofuels must demonstrate a reasonable chance of receiving ASTM International (ASTM) or other regulatory approvals, as evidenced by substantial discussion in the narrative and inclusion of necessary tasks in the Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO).
- Applications cannot include greater than 10% of the total project budget for earlier stage research and development (R&D) (<TRL 4), including expenses for equipment, salaries, and supplies.

Topic Area 1 Special Deliverables

In addition to the deliverables required in the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, the following deliverables are required for awards made under Topic Area 1:

- Attendance and participation at the BETO Biennial Peer Review is required so that external subject matter experts can review project accomplishments and provide feedback to ensure optimal use of BETO funds.

Topic Area 1 Applications Specifically Not of Interest

- Those identified in Section I.D. of the FOA.

iii. Topic Area 2: Biointermediate Processing Toolbox

The Renewable Fuel Standard program managed by the U.S. EPA includes an approved pathway for cellulosic (D-code 3) RINs (Renewable Identification Numbers) generated from renewable gasoline, renewable gasoline blendstock, co-processed

cellulosic diesel, jet fuel, and heating oil from a variety of feedstocks¹² via a variety of technologies,¹³ including processing or co-processing liquid biocrudes produced from woody biomass and other feedstocks. D-code 3 RINs can also be used to demonstrate compliance as advanced biofuels (nested D-code 5 RINs). As documented in BETO's 2023 Multi-Year Program Plan,¹⁴ co-processing within legacy petroleum refinery infrastructure represents a near-term opportunity to incorporate large volumes of biomass to partially decarbonize fuels and chemicals. As of 2023, there are no U.S. refineries commercially practicing co-processing of biocrude, despite there being an allowable pathway for generating D-code 3 RINs (with significant value)¹⁵ in the EPA RFS. Meanwhile, industry is rapidly constructing and/or retrofitting refineries that process and/or co-process vegetable oils and biogenic fats/oils/greases to hydrocarbon fuels including renewable diesel and SAF. The demand for vegetable oils and biogenic fats/oils/greases is likely to exceed supply since these feedstocks are also used for biodiesel and other markets. Other biomass, such as wood, and waste feedstocks are used for the biopower market. For transportation fuels, the concept of processing a biointermediate to a fuel using refinery infrastructure is a strategy to reduce capital costs and accelerate the timeline to commercialization. Using readily available feedstocks and legacy refinery infrastructure could help accelerate the near-term SAF Grand Challenge goal of 3 billion gallons SAF by 2030. This FOA seeks applications to demonstrate a pilot run of co-processing or processing a biointermediate such as biocrude to an advanced biofuel in particular sustainable aviation fuel.

BETO has supported enabling work at DOE national laboratories on co-processing of biocrudes¹⁶ (such as liquids from fast pyrolysis, catalytic fast pyrolysis, or hydrothermal liquefaction) with petroleum feeds and recently provided a public webinar series on the work.¹⁷ If successfully adopted, co-processing technologies can be a near-term solution to accelerate the advanced biofuel production supply chains of wood, waste, and other currently available feedstocks.

¹² Crop residue, slash, pre-commercial thinnings, tree residue, and separated yard waste; biogenic components of separated municipal solid waste; cellulosic components of separated food waste; and cellulosic components of annual cover crops. See Row M of <https://www.epa.gov/renewable-fuel-standard-program/approved-pathways-renewable-fuel>

¹³ Catalytic pyrolysis and upgrading; gasification and upgrading; thermocatalytic hydrodeoxygenation and upgrading; direct biological conversion; biological conversion and upgrading utilizing natural gas, biogas, and/or biomass as the only process energy sources providing that process used converts cellulosic biomass to fuel; any process utilizing biogas and/or biomass as the only process energy sources which converts cellulosic biomass to fuel. See Row M of <https://www.epa.gov/renewable-fuel-standard-program/approved-pathways-renewable-fuel>

¹⁴ <https://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/articles/2023-multi-year-program-plan>

¹⁵ <https://www.epa.gov/fuels-registration-reporting-and-compliance-help/rin-trades-and-price-information>

¹⁶ See Appendix H – Glossary for the definition of biocrude for this FOA.

¹⁷ <https://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/articles/two-day-webinar-series-biocarbon-incorporation-transportation-fuels-co>

Generally, challenges preventing adoption of co-processing biocrudes include:

- insufficient supply of biocrudes for co-processing pilot/demonstration tests;
- thermal-oxidative stability of biocrudes;
- materials compatibility of biocrudes with refinery infrastructure materials of construction;
- immiscibility of biocrudes and petroleum using conventional nozzle injections at the reactor throat;
- catalytic deactivation in the co-processing reactor due to oxygenates or inorganics in the biocrude stream;
- low yields of fuels due to poor performance of conventional catalysts that must simultaneously convert petroleum and biomass feedstocks;
- low yields of biogenic carbon in liquid fuels due to poor catalyst/reactor performance;
- difficulty in guaranteeing biogenic carbon incorporation in the liquid fuels due to costs, time, or accuracy levels of current biogenic carbon measurement methods.

Topic Area 2 seeks solutions for helping solve the most difficult remaining technical challenges preventing co-processing or processing of biocrude, to produce SAF and other advanced biofuels. Applicants to Topic Area 2 will be required, by end of project, to demonstrate proof of technology success at an industrially relevant scale of at least TRL 5 and provide a market justification for further system scaling. For purposes of Topic Area 2, industrially relevant means processing or co-processing real biocrude at long operation times close to the time needed for a refinery to consider making an investment decision at the end of this project. The actual times needed for a refinery to make a final investment decision may, or may not, be larger than the stretch goals in Table 3, but the available funds for this FOA limit the required times and stretch targets in Table 3. Stretch targets are included because an application may find it reasonable to pursue such targets given the state-of-technology at the start of application, the cost to perform experiments, and the availability of funds for the project. Lowering scale-up risk for these processes will contribute to the investments in advanced biofuels required by IRA Section 60108(b).

Engineering solutions for the key process steps can include modified catalysts for co-processing in refinery units (such as hydrotreating, fluidized catalytic cracking, and hydrocracking), pretreatment unit operations for cleaning or stabilizing biocrudes, injector systems for co-feeding biocrude with petroleum or biogenic fats/oils/greases or vegetable oils, methods that accurately count biogenic carbon content in co-processed fuels with lower cost and faster response time than current options, and testing of modified refinery infrastructure if necessary for materials compatibility with biocrude. Projects are encouraged to utilize predictive modeling and high-performance computing

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

to accelerate and optimize their unit operation(s) design(s). The following metrics will be required for award:

Table 3: Topic Area 2 – Biointermediate Processing Toolbox Requirements

Metric:	Minimum:
Allowable Feedstocks	Biocrude; ^{8f} biodiesel distillate bottoms; ^{8g} biomass-based sugars; ^{8h} digestate; ⁸ⁱ free fatty acid (FFA) feedstock; ^{8j} glycerin; ^{8k} soapstock; ^{8l} undenatured ethanol; ^{8m} biogas ⁸ⁿ used to make a renewable fuel other than renewable compressed natural gas ^{8o} or renewable liquified natural gas. ^{8p}
Non-Allowable Feedstocks	Lipids/triglycerides or any other feedstock intended to be an FFA feedstock with less than 50% FFA; biogas used to make renewable compressed natural gas or renewable liquified natural gas; biocrude converted from the non-cellulosic components of separated food waste such as recycled cooking and trap grease; biointermediates converted from construction and demolition waste; biointermediates converted from organic waste that is not available on a renewable or recurring basis or if the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document does not demonstrate the fuel would have lifecycle GHG emission of no greater than 46 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value.
Allowable Fuel Types	Transportation fuel ^{8q} including fuel for use in motor vehicles and nonroad vehicles, except for the conditions on Non-Allowable Fuel Type; heating oil; ^{8r} sustainable aviation fuel. ^{8s} A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit technologies producing sustainable aviation fuel to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.).
Non-Allowable Fuel Type	Fuel for ocean-going vessels.
Fuel Selling Price	Cost competitive after projected RFS credits with petroleum-based fuels (model TEA for envisioned mature commercial facility (n th plant))
Cumulative Time on Stream by End of Project	1,000 hours minimum; stretch target of 4,000 hours
Continuous Time on Stream	500 hours minimum; stretch target of 2,000 hours. If co-processing with petroleum, the test must include near-real-time measurement of biogenic carbon in the co-processed fuel.
Minimum Throughput by End of Project	0.02 Tons Per Day biointermediate at the throat of processing reactor (0.2 barrels per day biointermediate at the throat of processing reactor)

GHG Reductions ^{18,19,20}	Maximum 46 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value. A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit technologies proposing maximum GHG emission of 27 g CO ₂ e/MJ combusted, lower heating value, to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.). See Section IV.D.xix. for instructions on the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document.
R&D Community Benefits Plan	Required, with meaningful actions that demonstrate how a biofuel facility or other commercial facility processing or co-processing biointermediates would engage with local communities and enable biorefinery planning to respond to environmental justice laws.
Bioproducts	Bioproducts must be less than 50% by carbon of the sum of carbon of all biofuels and bioproducts. Non-Allowable Fuel Types are not considered Bioproducts. A Program Policy Factor is included for the Selection Official's consideration under this FOA, which may permit applications proposing higher percentages of biofuels to be prioritized (see Section V.C.i.).

Anticipated Approaches for Topic Area 2 Include, but are Not Limited To

- Modified catalysts for co-processing in refinery units (such as hydrotreating, fluidized catalytic cracking, and hydrocracking);
- Pretreatment unit operations for cleaning or stabilizing biocrudes;
- Injector systems for co-feeding biocrude with petroleum, fats/oils/greases or vegetable oils, or other feeds;
- Methods that accurately count biogenic carbon content in co-processed fuels with lower cost and faster response time than current options;
- Testing of modified refinery infrastructure if necessary for materials compatibility;
- Development and validation of biogenic carbon measurement methods are of interest, in particular, methods that may be able to overcome the barriers of current methods. Current approved methods are either cost-prohibitive to use at the refinery, or provide data at precision levels too low to be of value for co-processing around 10% since the fuel must have 10% biogenic carbon incorporation to have RIN value. Also of interest would be carbon tracking methods that identify different feedstocks, for example, differentiating between soybean oil and used cooking oil;
- Applications using triglyceride/lipid feedstocks such as vegetable oils and biogenic fats/oils/greases are allowable and of interest for developing

¹⁸ Applicants may use any standardized approach to calculating life cycle GHG emissions e.g. Argonne National Laboratory GREET (Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Technologies) model⁹ or provide schemes developed through the CORSIA (Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation) methodology¹⁰ for calculating life cycle emissions

¹⁹ <https://greet.es.anl.gov/>

²⁰ <https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-Eligible-Fuels.aspx>

biogenic carbon tracking and measurement methods since there are no proven, widely-accepted methods that offer both high precision and relatively low cost with a relatively fast response. Triglyceride/lipid feedstocks are not biointermediates so other feedstocks would still be needed in the application. Additionally, applications using biointermediates that are byproducts from the triglyceride-based industry, such as free fatty acid feedstock, are of interest since they have not been commercially proven;

- Applicants that work closely with equipment/technology manufacturers, catalyst manufacturers and/or downstream and upstream process owners to ensure the feedstocks, processes, equipment, and catalyst employed in the proposed project are available and that assumptions within the application are reasonable; and
- Development of predictive models and high-performance computing as tools to accelerate scale-up.

Topic Area 2 Specific Requirements

The following requirements must be addressed in the application and the strength of the applicant's discussion will be evaluated by the independent technical review panel for engineering and scientific merit (see evaluation criteria in Section V.A.ii.):

- Projects must meet or exceed all minimum metrics listed above in Table 3: Topic Area 2 – Biointermediate Processing Toolbox Requirements.
- Applications must contain techno-economic and life cycle analyses (TEA and LCA) that relate the key technical parameters of the proposed technology described in the proposal application to achieving cost competitive MFSP and GHG reduction targets. Previously achieved values, scales, and durations should be delineated from the values necessary to meet the targeted MFSP so that the level of technology advancement needed is clear. The boundaries of the analysis in the TEA and LCA should start from the feedstock.
- A Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data template are required as part of the application. See Section IV.D.xix. The boundaries of the analysis in the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data should start from the feedstock.
- The application must include a design basis discussion showing the vision for the commercial unit, including identification of feedstock, methods for feedstock pre-processing, and description of commercial-scale reactors for conversion of biomass feedstock to biointermediate. If co-processing, this discussion should also discuss the expected level of co-processing and

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

target metrics for fraction of biogenic carbon incorporation into the co-processed fuels; if processing neat, this discussion should also discuss the throughput capacity of the expected commercial-scale legacy refinery unit.

- The Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) must include an engineering deliverable, by the end of project, to demonstrate their technologies under industrially relevant conditions such as an industrial pilot or a refinery demonstration. If the technology is co-processing, the technology demonstration must include co-processing the biointermediate and identifying the biogenic content in the co-processed fuel.
- Biofuels must demonstrate a reasonable chance of receiving ASTM International (ASTM) or other regulatory approvals, as evidenced by substantial discussion in the narrative and inclusion of necessary tasks in the Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO).
- Applications cannot include greater than 10% of the total project budget for earlier stage research and development (R&D) (<TRL 4), including expenses for equipment, salaries, and supplies.

Topic Area 2 Special Deliverables

In addition to the deliverables required in the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, the following deliverables are required for awards made under Topic Area 2:

- Attendance and participation at the BETO Biennial Peer Review is required so that external subject matter experts can review project accomplishments and provide feedback to ensure optimal use of BETO funds.

Topic Area 2 Applications Specifically Not of Interest

- Those identified in Section I.D. of the FOA.

All work for projects selected under this FOA must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix C.

C. Verifications

All applications selected for award negotiations under this FOA are required to participate in a verification process led by DOE's identified external third-party non-conflicted verification team. This team may be led by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's Systems Integration team, DOE BETO's independent contractor, or another non-conflicted BETO contractor. Personnel involved in verifications sign project specific Non-Disclosure Agreements and

Conflict of Interest statements. This verification process provides technical assistance to both the DOE BETO and the project by providing an in-depth analysis of key technical and economic metrics to ensure transparency and increase the likelihood of project success.

The objectives of the verification effort are to:

- Verify the applicant's technical data/performance metrics/targets as described in the original application.
- Establish a framework to evaluate and track progress over time so that the milestones and Go/No-Go decision points separating budget periods may be tracked and evaluated.
- Update or provide data in the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document (see Section IV.D.xix.).
- Establish benchmark, baseline, and associated target values.
- Identify and address potential safety concerns. This is not intended to replace an organization's own hazard and safety analysis but instead to add another layer of safety.
- Align project goals with BETO's expectations.

There are three types of verification periods throughout the lifetime of the project: the "Initial Verification," conducted at the beginning of the project (months 0-3); the "Intermediate Verification(s)," conducted as a part of Go/No-Go decisions separating budget periods; and the "Final Verification," conducted at the end of the project (within 3 months of closeout). The verification team will perform some or all of these verifications at the Recipient's facility to initially verify the data included in the application or in negotiated Statement of Project Objectives, and subsequently in conjunction with site visits to monitor progress.

The specific objectives of these verifications are set forth below:

- The initial verification is to confirm the benchmark data and assumptions provided in the application, which will establish the project baseline against which future performance and cost improvements will be evaluated. During the initial verification, the verification team will work closely with the project team to discuss the project effort in detail; initiate the review of application data, metrics, and procedures as provided in the original application; and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site (or virtual) meeting. The project baseline will be set in this period, either through revision of the application data or by submission of additional/new data. The verification

results are used by DOE at its sole discretion, among other factors, in making the Go/No-Go decision to proceed with Budget Period 2 (BP2). See Section II.A.ii. for information on period of performance and Go/No-Go decisions.

- An intermediate verification will be conducted toward the end of BP2. The intermediate verification assess progress towards the project's BP2 Go/No-Go decision point and any targets established in the application, the initial verification, the achievement of the Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) milestones in support of the Go/No-Go decision point, and any other factors contributing to progress toward the project objectives. The verification results are used by DOE at its sole discretion, among other factors, in making the Go/No-Go decision to proceed with BP3. In projects with more than 3 budget periods, additional interim verifications may be conducted.
- The final verification will be held prior to the end of the project. The objective of this final verification is to assess whether the final targets were achieved, document the challenges overcome, and record the technical or economic challenges that remain.

Supplemental Content Requirements:

The Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data requirement included with the FOA (Section IV.D.xix.), were designed to guide applicants in providing information to assess the technical validity of the technology being developed within the selected project. **Applications submitted without the appropriate supplemental content as defined in the Topic Area will be deemed non-responsive and excluded from further review under this FOA.** In addition, the data provided will be used as the basis for review and discussion during the initial verification and will be considered the project's baseline. As such, it is expected the project will be able to reproduce this data when/if the verification team travels to the site to perform the verification. It is also expected the data will have been experimentally produced by the applicant in the applicant's facilities. For pre-pilot projects only, if literature data needs to be used for parts of the process, those metrics based on literature data should be marked appropriately.

Verification Timeline:

The initial verification period, including on-site observation of experiments (if applicable) and report creation, can take up to three months. Applicants must include this time in their schedule. Selected projects that receive a 'Go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort will be subject to both an

intermediate and a final verification. The time required for the intermediate and final verifications will be considerably less than the initial verification. However, the Applicant must also consider that time should be allocated to collect data for these verifications.

Verification Task:

All Applicants must include the initial verification task within their scope as Task 1. It must be separated from the rest of the scope of work by a Go/No-Go decision point, and Applicants should estimate a three-month duration for the verification effort. This task, Task 1, will also be within a separate budget period, Budget Period 1 (BP1), from the remainder of the project. By way of example, the inclusion of the verification in the scope could include something like the following:

Task 1. Initial Verification. At the beginning of the project, the baseline data and project targets provided in the Technical Tables will be experimentally verified. Process information and data will be provided to DOE (when applicable) to support the process claims within the original application. Technical metrics for project progress will be tailored to the project as needed.

These metrics may include additional Go/No-Go decision points that will be incorporated into the overall project and Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO). Experiments will be conducted at the on-site verification visit to replicate the benchmark data provided in the application as described in the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data.

There will be a Go/No-Go associated with Task 1.1 as follows: Process information and data supporting the technology readiness level of the overall process, the unit operations within the process, and the original application. Technical metrics are based on preliminary data and represent a meaningful baseline and set of targets.

Upon successful completion of the initial verification effort and Go/No-Go decision point, the project will commence with work on the Priority Areas as discussed.

Similar provisions must be included for the Intermediate Verification as a task that will occur mid-way through the project (~18 months) and the final

verification that will occur at the end of the project (within 3 months of completion).

Verification Conflict of Interest/Proprietary Information:

All of the technical and economic information requested will be disclosed to non-conflicted DOE National Renewable Energy Laboratory Systems Integration (NREL-SI) personnel and/or external third-party non-conflicted validators performing the verifications (BETO's verification team) as well as non-conflicted third-party reviewers potentially participating in the Go/No-Go review process and/or interim review meetings. It is expected that developments and advancements in technical performance made during the course of the project will be shared with the public via technical publications in journals or conference proceedings. It is also anticipated that the initial verification may, if necessary, involve pre-existing intellectual property of which DOE will not require publication. Data access, deliverables and dissemination requirements will be negotiated and set forth in the Statement of Project Objectives and will be consistent with Section VIII.L. of this FOA. DOE and those working on DOE's behalf, such as support service contractors, NREL personnel, Independent Engineers, validators, and reviewers, must be able to have sufficient access to these data, including but not limited to raw technical and financial data, to assess the baseline performance of the technology – subject to appropriate non-disclosure agreements or other protections.

Verification Process:

The verification effort generally includes three steps: pre-verification, on-site verification (when applicable), and post-verification. The verification effort will be adapted to be appropriate for the technology readiness level and funding available to the project. However, the details provided below establish the framework for the process.

All steps are performed in concert with BETO's verification team and the project management team. During the pre-verification step, the verification team will work closely with the project team to discuss the effort in detail, initiate the review of the data from the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data and metrics as provided in the original application, and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site meeting. During the on-site verification meeting, the two teams will work together to discuss the goals and performance metrics, ideas for tracking project progress, and alignment with BETO's goals. At the conclusion of the on-site meeting, both teams will have the information needed to proceed

forward. The post-verification step includes the verification team reporting to DOE and the DOE personnel working through the Go/No-Go decision point.

At the conclusion of the verification effort and once a Go/No-Go decision has been made, the DOE Technology Manager and Contracting Officer will send a formal document to the Recipient regarding the Go/No-Go decision and activities will proceed from there (based on the decision). If a 'Go' decision is reached, the project team and DOE Technology Manager will proceed with the necessary steps to release the remaining scope and associated funding for the project. A 'No-Go' decision may result in termination of the project or re-direction of scope.

Key Verification Requirements:

- During the initial verification effort (i.e., BP1), no additional experimental or project work, beyond that associated with the verification, may commence within the proposed scope. Only work associated with the verification – typically project management and data gathering activities – is allowed during the verification. The budget associated with the verification effort should correspond only to these types of activities and is typically minimal compared to the remaining project scope and budget.
- It is anticipated that the intermediate and final verifications will include the Recipient presenting the project progress toward the targets established during the initial verification. Both the intermediate and final verifications must be noted and accounted for within the scope, schedule, and budget, so that if a project is selected and receives a 'Go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort, the schedule and budget will already account for the intermediate and final verifications.

D. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Sections I.A. and I.B. of the FOA.
- Applications without a for-profit entity or entities providing technical capability, as the prime recipient or subrecipients, with aggregate effort equivalent to at least 20% of the total cost of the project.
- Applications proposing co-processing of lipids/triglycerides (vegetable oils and/or biogenic oils/fats/greases) with petroleum.
- Applications proposing the primary fuel type to be fuel for ocean-going vessels, or commonly known as sustainable marine fuel; or applications

proposing the primary fuel type to be ethanol and the feedstock to be corn starch.

- Applications that do not have a Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document demonstrating that the proposed technology would have a lifecycle GHG emission of no greater than 46 g CO₂e/MJ combusted, lower heating value.
- Technologies proposing that 50% or more, of the sum of all carbon for biofuels and bioproducts, is used for bioproducts.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- **For Topic Area 1 only:** applications proposing to use any Non-Allowable Feedstocks identified in Table 2 or applications proposing to use a feedstock not listed in the Allowable Feedstocks row of Table 2.
- **For Topic Area 2 only:** applications proposing to use Non-Allowable Feedstocks or applications proposing to use a feedstock not listed in the Allowable Feedstocks row of Table 3.
- **For Topic Area 2 only:** applications proposing to blend a biointermediate only at the beginning of the refining process with crude oil (i.e., pre-vacuum distillation or pre-atmospheric distillation) or only at the end of the refining process as finished fuels (i.e., blending).

E. R&D Community Benefits Plan

DOE is committed to investing in research and development (R&D) of innovations that deliver benefits to the American public and lead to commercialization of technologies and products that foster sustainable, resilient, and equitable access to clean energy. Further, DOE is committed to supporting the development of more diverse, equitable, inclusive, and accessible workplaces to help maintain the nation's leadership in science and technology.

To support the goal of building a clean and equitable energy economy, IRA-funded projects are expected to (1) invest in job quality and workforce continuity; (2) advance diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility (DEIA); and (3) contribute to the President's goal that 40% of the overall benefits of certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities (the Justice40 Initiative).²¹ To ensure these goals are met, applications must include an R&D

²¹ The Justice40 initiative, established by E.O. 14008, sets a goal that 40% of the overall benefits of certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities. Pursuant to E.O. 14008 and the Office of Management and Budget's Interim Justice40 Implementation Guidance M-21-28 and M-23-09, DOE recognizes disadvantaged communities as identified by the White House Council on Environmental Quality's Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST), located at <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/>.

Community Benefits Plan (CBP) that describes how the proposed project would incorporate the three objectives stated above. See Section IV.D.xv. and Appendix G for the more information on the R&D Community Benefits Plan content requirements.

BETO has recently supported a number of projects at the DOE National Laboratories to evaluate issues that may be of relevance for an applicant's CBP. For example, BETO has supported national laboratory work on developing processes and tools for considering energy equity and environmental justice in biorefinery siting. Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory recently led a project called "Energy Equity in the Transition to Renewables: The Bioenergy Case" and the slides from their presentation at 2023 BETO Peer Review are publicly available.²² That project reviewed literature on engagement and energy equity, inventoried BETO projects, recommended new research directions that study justice or remedy injustice, and co-developed bioenergy justice indicators with diverse stakeholders. Additionally, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory led a project called "Bio-C2G Model for Rapid, Agile Assessment of Biofuel and Co-product Routes" and the slides from their presentation at 2023 BETO Peer Review are publicly available.²³ Their biorefinery siting tool includes features to evaluate environmental justice indicators. National Renewable Energy Laboratory has a project "Strategic Analysis Support" and the slides from their presentation at 2023 BETO Peer Review are also publicly available.²⁴ The project has an Environmental Justice Analysis task.

F. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute is EAct 2005, § 931 as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16231; EAct 2005 § 932, as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16232.

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

²² <https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-05/beto-25-project-peer-review-dma-apr-2023-efroymsen.pdf>

²³ <https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-05/beto-15-project-peer-review-dma-apr-2023-scown.pdf>

²⁴ <https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-05/beto-21-project-peer-review-dma-apr-2023-tao.pdf>

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

DOE expects to make a total of approximately \$9,400,000 of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. DOE anticipates making approximately 3 to 5 awards under this FOA. DOE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$0 and \$2,000,000 for Topic Area 1 and \$0 and \$9,400,000 for Topic Area 2.

DOE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following Topic Areas:

Topic Area Number	Topic Area Title	Anticipated Number of Awards	Anticipated Minimum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Anticipated Maximum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Approximate Total Federal Funding Available for All Awards	Anticipated Period of Performance (months)
1	Pre-Pilot Scale-Up of Integrated Biorefinery Technologies	0 to 5	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$9,400,000	24 to 36
2	Biointermediate Processing Toolbox	0 to 4	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$9,400,000	24 to 36

DOE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed.

ii. Period of Performance

DOE anticipates making awards that will run from 24 months up to 36 months, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and DOE's Go/No-Go decision. For a complete list and more information on the Go/No-Go review, see Section VI.B.xiv.

iii. New Applications Only

DOE will accept only new applications under this FOA. DOE will not consider applications for renewals of existing DOE-funded awards through this FOA.

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

B. DOE Funding Agreements

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, DOE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. DOE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the U.S. government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

DOE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, DOE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

DOE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See Section VI.B.ix. of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)²⁵

In most cases, FFRDCs are funded independently of the remainder of the project team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC project team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the prime recipient for the project will remain the prime recipient for the project. See Section III.E.i. and Section III.E.ii.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

A. Eligible Applicants

²⁵ FFRDCs are public-private partnerships that conduct research for the U.S. government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/>.

i. Domestic Entities

The proposed prime recipient and subrecipient(s) must be domestic entities. The following types of domestic entities are eligible to participate as a prime recipient or subrecipient of this FOA:

1. Institutions of higher education;
2. For-profit entities;
3. Non-profit entities; and
4. State and local governmental entities, and federally recognized American Indian Tribes and Alaska Native entities.²⁶

To qualify as a domestic entity, the entity must be organized, chartered or incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular state or territory of the United States; have majority domestic ownership and control; and have a physical place of business in the United States.

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are restricted from applying for funding as a Prime Recipient for Topic Area 1, but are eligible to participate as a Subrecipient. For Topic Area 1, each FFRDC is permitted to participate as a Subrecipient with effort equivalent to up to 50% of the total estimated cost of the project; however, in aggregate, total FFRDC effort shall not exceed 50% of the total estimated cost of the project.

For Topic Area 2, DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

For both Topic Areas 1 and 2, if the Prime Recipient is not a for-profit entity providing technical capability, then one or more for-profit entity partners providing technical capability must participate as Subrecipient(s) with aggregate effort equivalent to at least 20% of the total cost of the project.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to participate as a subrecipient but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Entities banned from doing business with the United States government such as entities debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participating in Federal programs are not eligible.

²⁶ Federally recognized American Indian tribes and Alaska Native entities are recognized as having a government-to-government relationship with the United States, as denoted by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs. A complete list of federally recognized American Indian tribes and Alaska Native entities can be found at <https://www.bia.gov/service/tribal-leaders-directory/federally-recognized-tribes>.

Non-profit organizations described in Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are **not** eligible to apply for funding.

ii. Foreign Entities

In limited circumstances, DOE may approve a waiver to allow a foreign entity to participate as a prime recipient or subrecipient. A foreign entity may submit a Full Application to this FOA, but the Full Application must be accompanied by an explicit written waiver request. Likewise, if the applicant seeks to include a foreign entity as a subrecipient, the applicant must submit a separate explicit written waiver request in the Full Application for each proposed foreign subrecipient.

Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a foreign entity waiver request. The applicant does not have the right to appeal DOE's decision concerning a waiver request.

B. Cost Sharing

Applicants are bound by the cost share proposed in their Full Applications if selected for award negotiations.

- **Cost Sharing Generally**

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total project costs²⁷ for research and development projects.²⁸ The cost share must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law.

To help applicants calculate proper cost share amounts, DOE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices A and B to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the

²⁷ Total project costs are the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of project costs.

²⁸ Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub.L. 109-58, sec. 988. Also see 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the entire project is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i. of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application. Cost share may be provided in the form of cash or cash equivalents, or in-kind contributions. Cost share must come from non-federal sources (unless otherwise allowed by law), such as project participants, state or local governments, or other third-party financing. DOE Loan Guarantee, cannot be leveraged by applicants to provide the required cost share or otherwise support the same scope that is proposed under a project.

Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include but are not limited to personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs, and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified, and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include but are not limited to the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the federal government did not provide the funding to the state or local government.

The recipient may not use any of the following sources to meet cost share obligations:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

DOE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the DOE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up to date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

All applicant submissions must:

- Comply with the applicable content and form requirements listed in Section IV. of the FOA;
- Include all required documents;
- Be uploaded and submitted to EERE eXCHANGE <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>; and
- Be submitted by the deadline stated in the FOA.

DOE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE eXCHANGE, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours before the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least one hour to

submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE eXCHANGE, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline. DOE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All Applications Specifically Not of Interest, as described in Section I.D. of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Listed as the Applicant

A DOE/NNSA FFRDC is eligible to apply for funding **only under Topic Area 2** of this FOA if its cognizant Contracting Officer provides written authorization and this authorization is submitted with the application.

The following wording is acceptable for the authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the Laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the Laboratory and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the Laboratory.

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is selected for award negotiation **under Topic Area 2 only**, the proposed work will be authorized under the DOE work authorization process and performed under the laboratory's Management and Operating (M&O) contract.

ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

a. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be

submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

b. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the Laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the Laboratory and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the Laboratory.

c. Funding, Cost Share, and Subaward with FFRDCs

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award. DOE/NNSA FFRDCs participating as a subrecipient on a project will be funded directly through the DOE field work proposal (WP) process. Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs participating as a subrecipient will be funded through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is excluded from the award, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

Unless instructed otherwise by the DOE Contracting Officer for the DOE award, all FFRDCs are required to enter into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement²⁹ (CRADA) or, if the role of the DOE/NNSA FFRDC is limited to technical assistance and intellectual property is not anticipated to be generated from the DOE/NNSA FFRDC's work, a Technical Assistance Agreement (TAA), with at least the prime recipient before any project work begins. Any questions regarding the use of a CRADA or TAA should be directed to the cognizant DOE field intellectual property (IP) counsel.

²⁹ A cooperative research and development agreement is a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see <https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements>

The CRADA or TAA is used to ensure accountability for project work and provide the appropriate management of IP, e.g., data protection and background IP. The CRADA or TAA must be agreed upon by all parties and submitted to DOE or other sponsoring agency, when applicable, for approval, or submitted to DOE for notice under the Master Scope of Work process, when applicable, using any DOE or other sponsoring agency approved CRADA or TAA template without substantive changes by the time the award is made to the prime recipient.

The applicant should prepare the budgets using rates appropriate for funding the FFRDCs through subawards. The applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

d. Responsibility

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues, including but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC.

e. Limit on FFRDC Effort

For Topic Area 1 only, the FFRDC effort, in aggregate, shall not exceed 50% of the total estimated cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the effort.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

An entity may submit more than one Concept Paper and Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project and an eligible Concept Paper was submitted for each Full Application.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

DOE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to apply in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process includes multiple submission phases: Concept Paper and Full Application. **Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application.**

All submissions must conform to the form and content requirements described below, including maximum page lengths.

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5" x 11" paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Calibri typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12-point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10-point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;
- A **control number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE eXCHANGE application process. The control number must be included with all application documents. Specifically, the control number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page and included in the file name (i.e., *Control Number_Applicant Name_Full Application*);
- Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, DOE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

i. Additional Information on EERE eXCHANGE

EERE eXCHANGE is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines.

Applicants who experience technical difficulties with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline should contact the EERE eXCHANGE helpdesk for assistance (EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov).

B. Application Forms

To access application forms and instructions available on EERE eXCHANGE, go to <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. Files larger than 50MB cannot be uploaded and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file is larger than 50MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1

TechnicalVolume_Part_2

DOE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 50MB.

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. The Concept Paper must conform to the requirements listed below, including the stated page limits.

Section	Page Limit	Description
Cover Page	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific announcement Topic Area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, the project location(s), and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Technology Description	4 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly: • The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; • How the biofuel would be considered an advanced biofuel, by describing the feedstock, pathway, fuel type, and GHG emissions; • The proposed technology's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); • The current state of the art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; • How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application;

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

		• The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; • How the proposed location of the proposed project will support technology development and long-term success; • The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and • The impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project.
R&D Community Benefits Plan	1 page maximum	Applicants are required to succinctly describe their approach to the R&D Community Benefits Plan, addressing the three core elements: • Investing in job quality and workforce continuity; • Advancing diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility; and • Contributing to the Justice40 Initiative goal that 40% of the overall climate and clean energy investments flow to disadvantaged communities.
Addendum	2 pages maximum	Applicants are required to succinctly describe the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed project team, including: • Whether the PI and project team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities; and • Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.

DOE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V. of the FOA. DOE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. See Section VI.A.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE eXCHANGE website at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE eXCHANGE to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the control number issued to the applicant.

i. Full Application Content Requirements

Each Full Application must be limited to a single concept. Full Applications must conform to the following requirements and must not exceed the stated page limits.

Component	File Format	Page Limit	File Name
Technical Volume	PDF	25	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume
Resumes	PDF	3 pages each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes
Letters of Commitment	PDF	1 page each	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs
Statement of Project Objectives	MS Word	15	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424
Budget Justification Workbook	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification
Summary/Abstract for Public Release	PDF	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary
Summary Slide	MS PowerPoint	1	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
Subrecipient Budget Justification	MS Excel	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
DOE Work Proposal for FFRDC, if applicable (see DOE O 412.1A, Attachment 2)	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP
Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
Foreign Entity Waiver Requests and Foreign Work Waiver Requests	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov

Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Open-source Software Distribution Plan (if applicable)	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_OSSDP
R&D Community Benefits Plan	PDF	5	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CBP
Current and Pending Support	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CPS
Transparency of Foreign Connections	PDF	n/a	BusinessSensitive_ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TFC
Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice	PDF	n/a	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_PDFN
Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data	PDF	15	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_BFDSupplementalData

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE eXCHANGE website is 50MB. See Section IV.B.

DOE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements. This volume must address the technical review criteria as discussed in Section V. of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title:
“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume”.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, DOE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 25 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the technical review criteria (see Section V. of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper.

Technical Volume Content Requirements	
SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, names of project managers, Senior/Key Personnel and their organizations, the project location(s), and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Project Overview (Approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	The Project Overview should contain the following information: • Background: The applicant should discuss the background of its organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. • Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. • DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.
Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (Approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	The Technical Description should contain the following information: • Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology or focus area, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. • Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. This section should also address the project's access to necessary infrastructure (e.g., transportation, water, electricity transmission), including any use of existing infrastructure, as well as to a skilled workforce. • Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state-of-the-art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology or focus area, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	impact on advancing the state-of-the-art/technical baseline if the project is successful.
Workplan (Approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)	The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go decision points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information: • Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes. • Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period, including milestones in the Community Benefits Plan. • WBS and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as “we will then complete a proprietary process” is unacceptable). It is the applicant’s responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks. • Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely (SMART) technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO. • Go/No-Go Decision Points (See Section VI.B.xiv. for more information on the Go/No-Go Review): The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. At a minimum, each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. See Section VI.B.xiv. The applicant should also provide the specific technical and community benefits plan criteria to be used to evaluate the project at the Go/No-Go decision point. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered “SMART” and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone. • End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). At a minimum, each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. • Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points. • Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects: Within the first two pages of the Workplan, include a short statement on whether the project will involve the construction, alteration, and/or repair of infrastructure in the United States. See Appendix D for applicable definitions and other information to inform this statement. • Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team’s proposed management plan, including the following: ○ The overall approach to and organization for managing the work; ○ The roles of each project team member; ○ Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among project team members; ○ The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices; ○ The approach to project risk management, including a plan for securing a qualified workforce and mitigating risks to project performance including but not limited to community or labor disputes; ○ A description of how project changes will be handled; ○ If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control;
--	--

	○ How communications will be maintained among project team members. ● Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following: ○ Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan; ○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, and product distribution.
Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)	The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information: ● A description of the project team’s unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients; ● A description of the project team’s existing equipment and facilities, or equipment or facilities already in place on the proposed project site, that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project; ● Relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives; ● The time commitment of the key team members to support the project; ● A description of the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable; ● The skills, certifications, or other credentials of the construction and ongoing operations workforce; ● For multi-organizational projects, describe succinctly: ○ The roles and the work to be performed by the project manager and Senior/Key Personnel at the prime and sub levels; ○ Business agreements between the applicant and sub; ○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; ○ Process for making decisions on technical direction; ○ Publication arrangements; ○ Intellectual property issues; and ○ Communication plans.

iii. Resumes

A resume provides information reviewers can use to evaluate an individual's skills, experience, and potential for leadership within the scientific community. Applicants must submit a resume (limited to three pages) for each Principal Investigator or Lead Project Manager and Senior/Key Personnel that includes the following:

1. Contact information;
2. Education and training: Provide name of institution, major/area, degree, and year for undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral training;
3. Research and professional experience: Beginning with the current position, list professional/academic positions in chronological order with a brief description. List all current academic, professional, or institutional appointments, foreign or domestic, at the applicant institution or elsewhere, whether or not remuneration is received, and, whether full-time, part-time, or voluntary;
4. Awards and honors;
5. A list of up to 10 publications most closely related to the proposed project. For each publication, identify the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article title, book or journal title, volume number, page numbers, year of publication, and website address if available electronically. Patents, copyrights, and software systems developed may be provided in addition to or substituted for publications. An abbreviated style such as the Physical Review Letters (PRL) convention for citations (list only the first author) may be used for publications with more than 10 authors;
6. Synergistic activities: List up to five professional and scholarly activities related to the proposed effort; and
7. There should be no lapses in time over the past 10 years or since age 18, whichever period is shorter.

As an alternative to a resume, it is acceptable to use the biographical sketch format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The biographical sketch format may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, also available at https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/researchprotection/commonform_biographicalsketch.pdf. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes".

iv. Letters of Commitment

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third-party cost share providers. If applicable, the letter must state that the third party is committed to providing a specific minimum dollar amount or value of in-kind contributions allocated to cost sharing. The following information for each third party contributing to cost sharing should be identified: (1) the name of the organization; (2) the proposed dollar amount to be provided; and (3) the proposed cost sharing type (cash-or in-kind contributions). Each letter must not exceed one page. Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs".

Letters of support or endorsement for the project from entities that do not have a substantive role in the project will not be accepted.

v. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)

Applicants must complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 15 pages when printed using standard 8.5" x 11" paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12-point (except in figures or tables, which may be 10-point font). Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO".

vi. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Applicants must complete the SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance, which is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms>, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase, or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_424".

vii. Budget Justification Workbook

Applicants must complete the Budget Justification Workbook, available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/>. Applicants must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project, including all work

to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The “Instructions and Summary” included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the “Instructions and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title: “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification”.

viii. Summary for Public Release

Applicants must submit a one-page summary of their project that is suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the lead project manager/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), major participants (for collaborative projects), and the project’s commitments and goals described in the Community Benefits Plan. This document must not include any proprietary or business-sensitive information, as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The summary must not exceed one page when printed, using standard 8.5” x 11” paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12-point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following naming convention: “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary”.

ix. Summary Slide

Applicants must provide a single slide summarizing the proposed project. The Summary Slide template is available on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov/> and must include the following information:

- A technology summary;
- A description of the technology’s impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project’s key idea/takeaway;
- Topline community benefits;
- Project title, prime recipient, PI/LPM, and Senior/Key Personnel information; and
- Requested DOE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Save the Summary Slide in a single Microsoft PowerPoint file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

x. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25% of the total work effort, whichever is less. The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title:

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

xi. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE work proposal (WP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1A, Work Authorization System, Attachment 2, available at:

<https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-chg1-AdmChg>. Save the WP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP".

xii. Authorization for Non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title:

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth".

xiii. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xiv. Waiver Requests

Foreign Entity Participation

As set forth in Section III., all recipients must qualify as domestic entities. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a waiver request.

Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work for the projects selected under this FOA must be performed in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver".

xv. R&D Community Benefits Plan

The R&D Community Benefits Plan must set forth the applicant's approach to ensuring the federal investments advance the following three objectives: (1) investing in job quality and workforce continuity; (2) DEIA; and (3) contributing to the Justice40 Initiative. The below sections set forth the content requirements for the R&D Community Benefits Plan, which addresses each of these objectives. Applicants must address all three sections.

Applications are encouraged to use tools such as the White House Council on Environmental Quality's Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST),³⁰ EPA's EJScreen: Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool,³¹ the Environmental Justice Indicators function of Lawrence Berkeley National

³⁰ <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/en/#5.9/27.642/-84.367>

³¹ <https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen>

Laboratory's BioSiting Webtool,³² or other similar tools to evaluate the environmental justice considerations of siting biorefineries.

The applicant's R&D Community Benefits Plan must include at least one Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely (SMART) milestone per budget period to measure progress on the proposed actions. The R&D Community Benefits Plan will be evaluated as part of the technical review process. If a project is selected, EERE will incorporate the R&D Community Benefits Plan into the award and the recipient must implement its R&D Community Benefits Plan when carrying out its project. EERE will evaluate the recipient's progress throughout the life of the award, including as part of the Go/No-Go review process.

The plan should be specific to the proposed project and not a restatement of an organization's policies. Applicants should describe the future implications or a milestone-based plan for identifying future implications of their research on energy equity, including, but not limited to, benefits for the U.S. workforce. These impacts may be uncertain, occur over a long period of time, and/or have many factors within and outside the specific proposed research. Applicants are encouraged to describe the influencing factors and the most likely workforce and energy equity implications of the proposed research if the research is successful. While some guidance and example activities are provided in Appendix G, applicants are encouraged to leverage promising practices and develop a plan tailored to their project.

The R&D Community Benefits Plan must not exceed five pages. It must be submitted in PDF format using the following convention name for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CBP." This Plan must address the technical review criterion titled "R&D Community Benefits Plan." See Section V. of the FOA.

The R&D Community Benefits Plan must address the following three sections:

1) Investing in Job Quality and Workforce Continuity:

This section must articulate the applicant's consideration of long-term workforce impacts and opportunities of the research. It must identify how the project is

³² <https://biositing.jbei.org/national>

designed and executed to include an understanding of the future workforce needs if the innovation is successful.

2) Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility:

To building a clean and equitable energy economy, it is important that there are opportunities for people of all racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, and geographic backgrounds, sexual orientation, gender identity, persons with disabilities, and those re-entering the workforce from incarceration. This section of the plan must demonstrate how DEIA is incorporated in the technical project objectives. The plan must identify the specific action the applicant would take that integrates into the research goals and project teams. Submitting an institutional DEIA plan without specific integration into the project will be deemed insufficient.

3) Justice40 Initiative:

Applicants must provide an overview of benefits to disadvantaged communities that the project can deliver, supported by measurable milestones. The Justice40 Initiative section must include:

- A. Identification of applicable disadvantaged communities³³ to which the anticipated project benefits will flow.
- B. Identification of applicable benefits that are quantifiable, measurable, and trackable, including, at a minimum, a discussion of the relevance of at least one of the eight DOE Justice40 Initiative benefits outlined below. It is up to the applicant to decide which benefits apply and explain.

Benefits include (but are not limited to) measurable direct or indirect investments or positive project outcomes that achieve or contribute to the following in disadvantaged communities: (1) a decrease in energy burden; (2) a decrease in environmental exposure and burdens; (3) an increase in access to low-cost capital; (4) an increase in high-quality job creation, the clean energy job pipeline, and job training for individuals; (5) increases in clean energy enterprise creation and contracting (e.g., socially disadvantaged business enterprises); (6) increases in energy democracy, including community ownership; (7) increased parity in clean energy technology access and adoption; and (8) an increase in energy

³³ DOE recognizes as disadvantaged those census tracts identified by the White House Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST), which is located at <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/>

resilience. Applicants should also discuss how the project will maximize all the benefits listed in number 4 above. Applicants should review the 8 benefits and identify which most fit with their application. For the purpose of this FOA, it is most likely that these applications would directly contribute to the benefit (4) - an increase in high-quality job creation, the clean energy job pipeline, and job training for individuals. Other possible benefits, direct or indirect, could include (1) - a decrease in energy burden; (2) - a decrease in environmental exposure and burdens; (5) - increases in clean energy enterprise creation and contracting (e.g., socially disadvantaged business enterprises); and (8) - an increase in energy resilience. It is up to the applicant to make the decision on which benefits apply.

- C. A description of how and when anticipated benefits are expected to flow to disadvantaged communities. For example, whether the benefits will be provided directly within the disadvantaged community identified in the Justice40 Initiative section or in another way; whether the benefits will flow during project development or after project completion; and how the applicant will track benefits delivered.
- D. A discussion of anticipated negative and cumulative environmental impacts on disadvantage communities. Applicants should discuss any anticipated negative or positive environmental impacts associated with the project, and how they will mitigate any negative impacts. Within the context of cumulative impacts created by the project, applicants should use Environmental Protection Agency's EJSCREEN tool to quantitatively discuss existing environmental impacts in the project area. See [EJScreen: Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool](#).

Anticipated Approaches for the Justice40 initiative of the Community Benefits Plan Include, but are Not Limited To

- Reviewing relevant state and local laws related to environmental justice policy and evaluating how the proposed project, if scaled to siting, construction, and operation, would follow the laws.
- Engaging with local governments and local community groups. Engagement should be a back-and-forth, pro-active, activity. Engagement could include a 3-step process of 1) holding listening sessions to hear if the community is thinking about potential adverse impacts if the proposed project were scaled to siting, construction, and operation. Then, 2) actively responding to any impacts, for example, such as monitoring air quality, water quality, noise impact, and emissions-related

and traffic-related impact if trucks of biomass or biointermediate were hauled to a site, etc. And then, 3) reporting the findings to the community.

- Incorporating an environmental justice advisory board.
- Hiring or contracting on a social scientist to conduct the work listed above or any other work needed for the R&D Community Benefits Plan.

See Appendix G for more guidance.

xvi. Current and Pending Support

Current and pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. As part of the application, the Principal Investigator or Lead Project Manager and all senior/key personnel at the applicant and subrecipient level must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All connections with foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding;
- The award or other identifying number;
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research;
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs and cost share. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding;
- The award period (start date through end date); and
- The person-months of effort per year dedicated to the award or activity.

To identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.

Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to

either the applicant institution or DOE. Supporting documents of any identified source of support must be provided to DOE on request, including certified translations of any document.

PIs and senior/key personnel must provide a separate disclosure statement listing the required information above regarding current and pending support. Each individual must sign and date their respective disclosure statement and include the following certification statement:

I, [Full Name and Title], certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information contained in this Current and Pending Support Disclosure Statement is true, complete, and accurate. I understand that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, misrepresentations, half-truths, or omissions of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims, or otherwise. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 287, and 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 and 3801-3812). I further understand and agree that (1) the statements and representations made herein are material to DOE's funding decision, and (2) I have a responsibility to update the disclosures during the period of performance of the award should circumstances change which impact the responses provided above.

The information may be provided in the approved common disclosure format available at Common Form for Current and Pending (Other) Support (nsf.gov). If the NSF format is used, the individual must still include a signature, date, and a certification statement using the language included in the paragraph above. Information on disclosures required by all senior/key personnel for the Current and Pending Support document is available at <https://new.nsf.gov/funding/senior-personnel-documents#current-and-pending-other-support-5db>.

Save the Current and Pending Support in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_CPS".

Definitions:

Current and pending support – (a) All resources made available, or expected to be made available, to an individual in support of the individual's RD&D efforts, regardless of (i) whether the source is foreign or domestic; (ii) whether the resource is made available through the entity applying for an award or directly to

the individual; or (iii) whether the resource has monetary value; and (b) includes in-kind contributions requiring a commitment of time and directly supporting the individual's RD&D efforts, such as the provision of office or laboratory space, equipment, supplies, employees, or students. This term has the same meaning as the term Other Support as applied to researchers in NSPM-33: For researchers, Other Support includes all resources made available to a researcher in support of and/or related to all of their professional RD&D efforts, including resources provided directly to the individual or through the organization, and regardless of whether or not they have monetary value (e.g., even if the support received is only in-kind, such as office/laboratory space, equipment, supplies, or employees). This includes resource and/or financial support from all foreign and domestic entities, including but not limited to gifts provided with terms or conditions, financial support for laboratory personnel, and participation of student and visiting researchers supported by other sources of funding.

Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program – An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to physically relocate to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at United States research facilities or receipt of federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to United States entities. Compensation could take many forms, including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.

Senior/key personnel – An individual who contributes in a substantive, meaningful way to the scientific development or execution of a research,

development and demonstration (RD&D) project proposed to be carried out with a DOE award.³⁴

xvii. Transparency of Foreign Connections

Applicants must provide the following information as it relates to the proposed recipient and subrecipients. Include a separate disclosure for the applicant and each proposed subrecipient. U.S. National Laboratories, domestic government entities, and institutions of higher education are only required to respond to items 1, 2 and 9, and if applying as to serve as the prime recipient, must provide complete responses for project team members that are not U.S. National Laboratories, domestic government entities, or institutions of higher education.

1. Entity name, website address, and physical address;
2. The identity of all owners, principal investigators, project managers, and senior/key personnel who are a party to any *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program* of a foreign country of risk (i.e., China, Iran, North Korea, and Russia);
3. The existence of any joint venture or subsidiary that is based in, funded by, or has a foreign affiliation with any foreign country of risk, including the People's Republic of China;
4. Any current or pending contractual or financial obligation or other agreement specific to a business arrangement, or joint venture-like arrangement with an enterprise owned by a foreign state or any foreign entity;
5. Percentage, if any, that the proposed recipient or subrecipient has foreign ownership or control;
6. Percentage, if any, that the proposed recipient or subrecipient is wholly or partially owned by an entity in a foreign country of risk;
7. Percentage, if any, of venture capital or institutional investment by an entity that has a general partner or individual holding a leadership role in such entity who has a foreign affiliation with any foreign country of risk;
8. Any technology licensing or intellectual property sales to a foreign country of risk, during the 5-year period preceding submission of the proposal;
9. Any foreign business entity, offshore entity, or entity outside the United States related to the proposed recipient or subrecipient;

³⁴ Typically, these individuals have doctoral or other professional degrees, although individuals at the masters or baccalaureate level may be considered Senior/Key Personnel if their involvement meets this definition. Consultants, graduate students, and those with a postdoctoral role also may be considered Senior/Key Personnel if they meet this definition.

10. Complete list of all directors (and board observers), including their full name, citizenship and shareholder affiliation, date of appointment, duration of term, as well as a description of observer rights as applicable;
11. Complete capitalization table for your entity, including all equity interests (including LLC and partnership interests, as well as derivative securities). Include both the number of shares issued to each equity holder, as well as the percentage of that series and all equity on a fully diluted basis. Identify the principal place of incorporation (or organization) for each equity holder. If the equity holder is a natural person, identify the citizenship(s). If the recipient or subrecipient is a publicly traded company, provide the above information for shareholders with an interest greater than 5 percent;
12. A summary table identifying all rounds of financing, the purchase dates, the investors for each round, and all the associated governance and information rights obtained by investors during each round of financing; and
13. An organization chart to illustrate the relationship between your entity and the immediate parent, ultimate parent, and any intermediate parent, as well as any subsidiary or affiliates. Identify where each entity is incorporated.

DOE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information based on the information submitted.

Save the Transparency of Foreign Connections information in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title:
"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TFC."

xviii. Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice

If the applicant or project team member has other active awards of federal funds, the applicant must determine whether the activities of those awards potentially overlap with the activities set forth in its application to this FOA. If there is a potential overlap, the applicant must notify DOE in writing of the potential overlap and state how it will ensure any project funds (i.e., recipient cost share and federal funds) will not be used for identical cost items under multiple awards. Likewise, for projects that receive funding under this FOA, if a recipient or project team member receives any other award of federal funds for activities that potentially overlap with the activities funded under the DOE award, the recipient must promptly notify DOE in writing of the potential overlap and state whether project funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items under the DOE award. If there are identical cost items, the

recipient must promptly notify the DOE Contracting Officer in writing of the potential duplication and eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

Save the Potentially Duplicative Funding Notice in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title:

“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_PDFN.pdf.”

xix. Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data

A Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data is required. Please See Block Flow Diagram Instructions in Section i. and Life Cycle Analysis Instructions in Section ii. below.

i. Block Flow Diagram Instructions:

All topic areas will utilize a Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data template (BFD & SD). The purpose of the BFD & SD is to assess the merits of the selected technology and the status of the process technology in order to gain an understanding of project risks and the potential viability of the proposed project. Please refer to the Word documents titled, “BFD & SD Template”, for the respective scale, available for download from EERE Exchange for the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data instructions, overview, and recommended templates. Use of the template is not required, however equivalent data must be submitted with all applications.

ii. Life Cycle Analysis Instructions:

All applications will utilize Life Cycle Analyses (LCA). The LCA will be utilized to assess the potential GHG reduction and environmental performance of the proposed technology. Applicants may use any standardized approach to calculating life cycle GHG emissions e.g., Argonne National Laboratory GREET model³⁵ or provide schemes developed by the CORSIA³⁶ (Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation) methodology for calculating life cycle emissions. Argonne National Laboratory has developed publicly available life-cycle assessment tools that Applicants may utilize. Use of these tools are not required, however equivalent data must be submitted with applications. **The selection of an application from this FOA has no bearing whatsoever on the determination of the LCA of the pathway for the RFS program.**

³⁵ <https://greet.es.anl.gov/>

³⁶ <https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-Eligible-Fuels.aspx>

The LCA must demonstrate how the proposed biofuel from the proposed feedstock and conversion technology would have a GHG emission of no greater than 46 g CO₂e/MJ combusted, lower heating value. This value is chosen because the RFS³⁷ uses the 2005 baseline³⁸ from the National Energy Technology Laboratory model.

Save the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title:

“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_BFDSupplementalData”.

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

DOE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants have a brief opportunity to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments (Reply). The Reply must not exceed three pages. If a Reply is more than three pages in length, DOE will review only the first three pages and disregard additional pages. Applicants may use the Reply to respond to one or more comments or to supplement their Full Application. The Reply may include text, graphs, charts, or data.

DOE will post the reviewer comments in EERE eXCHANGE. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant’s responsibility to monitor EERE eXCHANGE if the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their Reply due to failure to check EERE eXCHANGE or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit a Reply.

Applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. DOE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

F. Post Selection Information Requests

If selected for award negotiations, DOE reserves the right to require that selected applicants provide additional or clarifying information regarding the application submissions, the project, the project team, the award requirements, and any other matters related to anticipated award. The following is a list of examples of information that may be required:

³⁷ <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2010-03-26/pdf/2010-3851.pdf>

³⁸ [Updating the U.S. Life Cycle GHG Petroleum Baseline to 2014 with Projections to 2040 Using Open-Source Engineering-Based Models \(acs.org\)](#)

-
- Personnel proposed to work on the project and collaborating organizations (See Section VI.B.xix. Participants and Collaborating Organizations);
 - Current and Pending Support (See Sections IV.D.xvi. and VI.B.xx. Current and Pending Support);
 - A Data Management Plan describing how all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work will be digitally accessible at the time of publications, in accordance with Section VI.B.xxiii.;
 - Indirect cost information;
 - Other budget information;
 - Letters of Commitment from third parties contributing to cost share, if applicable;
 - Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
 - Information for the DOE Office of Civil Rights to process assurance reviews under 10 CFR 1040;
 - Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable; and
 - Environmental Questionnaire.

G. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR 25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Register in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting an application; (2) provide a valid UEI in the application; and (3) maintain an active SAM registration with current information when the applicant has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

NOTE: Due to the high demand of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process, they should use the [HELP](#) feature on [SAM.gov](#). SAM.gov will work entity service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

H. Submission Dates and Times

All required submissions must be submitted in EERE eXCHANGE no later than 5 p.m. ET on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles. Pursuant to 2 CFR 910.352, the cost principles in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (48 CFR 31.2) apply to for-profit

entities. The cost principles contained in 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E apply to all entities other than for-profits.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Applicants selected for award negotiations (selectee) must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the federal award and **only** with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the DOE Contracting Officer.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. DOE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-Award Costs

DOE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to DOE completing the NEPA review process.

DOE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for its project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer overrides the requirement to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of DOE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Requirement

All work performed under awards issued under this FOA must be performed in the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, DOE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to DOE. Appendix C lists the information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.

Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file. The applicant does not have the right to appeal DOE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

DOE strongly encourages the use of project labor agreements (PLAs) in connection with construction projects. A PLA is a pre-hire agreement between a private entity (or entities) and a labor organization (or organizations) representing individuals who will be working on the construction project. Applicants that commit to using best-practice project labor agreements will generally be likely to produce a construction workforce plan that meets the criteria in this FOA. By contrast, applicants that do not commit to using a PLA will

be required to submit workforce continuity plans and show that they have taken other measures to reduce the risk of delays in project delivery.

For large construction projects, DOE may require a PLA. Assessment of applicability will be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 U.S.C. § 40118), commonly referred to as the “Fly America Act,” and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a United States flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

Property disposition may be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth at 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

vii. Build America Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects

Pursuant to the Build America Buy America Act, subtitle IX of BIL (Buy America or BABA), federally assisted projects that involve infrastructure work, undertaken by applicable recipient types, require that:

- All iron, steel, and manufactured products used in the infrastructure work are produced in the United States; and
- All construction materials used in the infrastructure work are manufactured in the United States.

Whether a given project must apply this requirement is project-specific and dependent on several factors, such as the recipient’s entity type, whether the work involves “infrastructure,” as defined in Section 70914 of the BIL, and

whether the infrastructure in question is publicly owned or serves a public function.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult Appendix D of this FOA to determine whether their project may have to apply this requirement, both to make an early determination as to the need of a waiver, as well as to determine what impact, if any, this requirement may have on the proposed project's budget.

Please note that, based on implementation guidance from the Office of Management and Budget issued on April 18, 2022, the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a "non-Federal entity," e.g., a State, local government, Indian tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or nonprofit organization. Subawards should conform to the terms of the prime award from which they flow; in other words, for-profit prime recipients are not required to flow down these Buy America requirements to subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are non-Federal entities as defined above. Conversely, prime recipients which are non-Federal entities must flow the Buy America requirements down to all subrecipients, even if those subrecipients are for-profit entities. Finally, for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient to: (1) fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-produced products and (2) fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and domestically manufactured products that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation. Applicants may seek waivers of these requirements in very limited circumstances and for good cause shown. Further details on requesting a waiver can be found in Appendix D and the terms and conditions of an award.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult Appendix D for more information.

viii. Lobbying

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

ix. Risk Assessment

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.206, DOE will conduct an additional review of the risk posed by applications submitted under this FOA. Such risk assessment will consider:

1. Financial stability;
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in 2 CFR 200 as amended and adopted by 2 CFR 910;
3. History of performance;
4. Audit reports and findings; and
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

DOE may make use of other publicly available information and the history of an applicant's performance under DOE or other federal agency awards.

Depending on the severity of the findings and whether the findings were resolved, DOE may elect not to fund the applicant.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180 and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred,

suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

Further, as DOE invests in critical infrastructure and funds critical and emerging technology areas, DOE also considers possible vectors of undue foreign influence in evaluating risk. As part of the research, technology, and economic security risk review, DOE may contact the applicant and/or proposed project team members for additional information to inform the review. If high risks are identified and cannot be sufficiently mitigated, DOE may elect to not fund the applicant.

x. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

xi. Prohibition Related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs

a. Prohibition

Persons participating in a *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk* are prohibited from participating in projects selected for federal funding under this FOA. Should an award result from this FOA, the recipient must exercise ongoing due diligence to reasonably ensure that no individuals participating on the DOE-funded project are participating in a *Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk*. Consequences for violations of this prohibition will be determined according to applicable law, regulations, and policy. Further, the recipient must notify DOE within five (5) business days upon learning that an individual on the project team is or is

believed to be participating in a foreign government talent recruitment program of a foreign country of risk. DOE may modify and add requirements related to this prohibition to the extent required by law.

b. Definitions

- 1. Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program.** An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to relocate physically to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at United States research facilities or receipt of federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to U.S. entities. Compensation could take many forms including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.
- 2. Foreign Country of Risk.** DOE has designated the following countries as foreign countries of risk: Iran, North Korea, Russia, and China. This list is subject to change.

xii. Affirmative Action and Pay Transparency Requirements

All applicants must comply with all applicable federal labor and employment laws, including but not limited to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Occupational Safety and Health Act, and the National Labor Relations Act, which protects employees' right to bargain collectively and engage in concerted activities for the purpose of workers' mutual aid or protection.

All federally assisted construction contracts exceeding \$10,000 annually will be subject to the requirements of Executive Order 11246, Equal Employment Opportunity:

(1) Recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors are prohibited from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin.

(2) Recipients and contractors are required to take affirmative action to ensure that equal opportunity is provided in all aspects of their employment. This includes flowing down the appropriate language to all subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors.

(3) Recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors are prohibited from taking adverse employment actions against applicants and employees for asking about, discussing, or sharing information about their pay or, under certain circumstances, the pay of their co-workers.

DOE's Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Programs (OFCCP) uses a neutral process to schedule compliance evaluations. Consult OFCCP's Technical Assistance Guide³⁹ to gain an understanding of the requirements and possible actions the recipients, subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors must take. Additional guidance may also be found in the National Policy Assurances, produced by DOE.

xiii. Foreign Collaboration Considerations

- a. Consideration of new collaborations with foreign entities, organizations, and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with advanced written notification of any potential collaboration with foreign entities, organizations, or governments in connection with its DOE-funded award scope. The recipient will then be required to await further guidance from DOE prior to contacting the proposed foreign entity, organization, or government regarding the potential collaboration or negotiating the terms of any potential agreement.
- b. Existing collaborations with foreign entities, organizations, and governments. The recipient will be required to provide DOE with a written list of all existing

³⁹ See OFCCP's Technical Assistance Guide at:

<https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/ofccp/Construction/files/ConstructionTAG.pdf?msclkid=9e397d68c4b111ec9d8e6fecb6c710ec> Also see the National Policy Assurances <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>

foreign collaborations in which has entered in connection with its DOE-funded award scope.

- c. Description of collaborations that should be reported. In general, a collaboration will involve some provision of a thing of value to, or from, the recipient. A thing of value includes but may not be limited to all resources made available to, or from, the recipient in support of and/or related to the DOE award, regardless of whether or not they have monetary value. Things of value also may include in-kind contributions (such as office/laboratory space, data, equipment, supplies, employees, students). In-kind contributions not intended for direct use on the DOE award but resulting in provision of a thing of value from or to the DOE award must also be reported. Collaborations do not include routine workshops, conferences, use of the recipient's services and facilities by foreign investigators resulting from its standard published process for evaluating requests for access, or the routine use of foreign facilities by awardee staff in accordance with the recipient's standard policies and procedures.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges of the technology, regulatory and financial aspects of the proposal including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the technical review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology, process, or project is innovative or replicable;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state of the art to the proposed advancement;
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations, and discussion of prior work with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work;
- Extent to which project has buy-in from needed stakeholders to ensure success;
- Degree to which key manufacturing and supply chain challenges are considered, as applicable, for viable scale-up in this and future demonstrations;
- Degree to which siting and environmental constraints are considered for deployment;
- Extent to which project has the potential to reduce emissions and provide clean energy acceleration benefits for a community or region; and
- Sufficiency of existing infrastructure to support addition of proposed demonstration.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- Ability of the project to advance industry adoption;
- Extent to which the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics;
- Potential impact of the project on advancing the state of the art;
- Extent to which demonstration/deployment is replicable and may lead to future demonstrations; and
- Extent to which the project facilitates stakeholder relationships across new or existing stakeholders to gain technical buy-in and increase potential for future deployments.

Project Management

- Adequacy of proposed project management systems including the ability to track scope, cost, and schedule progress and changes;
- Reasonableness of budget and spend plan as detailed in the budget justification workbook for proposed project and objectives;
- Adequacy of contingency funding based on quality of cost estimate and identified risks;
- Adequacy, reasonableness, and soundness of the project schedule, as well as periodic Go/No-Go decisions prior to further funds disbursement, interim milestones, and metrics to track process;
- Adequacy, reasonableness, and soundness of the project schedule, as well as annual Go/No-Go decisions prior to a budget period continuation application, interim milestones, and metrics to track process;
- Adequacy of the identification of risks, including labor and community opposition or disputes, and “timely” and appropriate strategies for mitigation and resolution; and
- Soundness of a plan to expeditiously address environmental, siting, and other regulatory requirements for the project, including evaluation of resilience to climate change.

Criterion 2: Project Demonstration and Market Transformation Plan (20%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Demonstration Approach, Workplan, and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

- Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- Level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined project baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Open-source Software Distribution Plan, etc., and product distribution.

Industry Adoption Plan

- Identification of the interest and extent of industry adoption of the technology/process.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (10%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- Capability of the project manager(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- Diversity of expertise and perspectives of the team and the inclusion of industry partners that will amplify impact;
- Sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- Degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further demonstration, development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- Level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- Reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

Criterion 4: R&D Community Benefits Plan (20%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility

- Clear articulation of the project's goals related to diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility;
- Quality of the project's DEIA goals, as measured by the goals' depth, breadth, likelihood of success, inclusion of appropriate and relevant SMART milestones, and overall project integration;

- Degree of commitment and ability to track progress toward meeting each of the DEIA goals; and
- Extent of engagement of organizations that represent disadvantaged communities as a core element of their mission, including Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), Minority Business Entities, and nonprofit or community-based organizations.

Justice 40

- Clear workplan tasks, staffing, research, and timeline for engaging energy equity stakeholders and/or evaluating the possible near- and long-term implications of the project for the benefit of the American public, including but not limited to public health and public prosperity benefits;
- Approach, methodology, and expertise articulated in the plan for addressing energy equity and justice issues associated with the technology innovation; and
- Likelihood that the plan will result in improved understanding of distributional public benefits and costs related to the innovation if successful.

Workforce Implications

- Clear and comprehensive workplan tasks, staffing, research, and timeline for engaging workforce stakeholders and/or evaluating the possible near- and long-term implications of the project for the U.S. workforce;
- Approach to document the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the workforce required for successful commercial deployment of innovations resulting from this research; and
- Likelihood that the plan will result in improved understanding of the workforce implications related to the innovation if successful.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

DOE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective September 2020, which is available at:

<https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current>.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available DOE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate demonstration and commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty;
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications);
- The degree to which the proposed project incorporates applicant or team members from Minority Serving Institutions (e.g., Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/other Minority Institutions); and partnerships with socially-disadvantaged businesses (e.g. veterans, new entrants, etc.)
- The degree to which the proposed project, when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects to be selected from the subject FOA, contributes to the total portfolio meeting the goals reflected in the R&D Community Benefits Plan criteria;
- The degree to which the proposed project will employ procurement of U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials;
- The degree to which the proposed project's primary biofuel stream(s) contains the proposed process(es)'s utilizable biogenic carbon;
- The degree to which the proposed project reduces Greenhouse Gas emissions when compared to the petroleum derived equivalent, including if the proposed project reduces Greenhouse Gas emissions by 70% or greater; and
- The degree to which the proposed project's biofuel stream(s) include sustainable aviation fuel.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors and risk reviews, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Pre-Selection Clarification

DOE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to DOE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with DOE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to DOE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and DOE's selection decisions. If DOE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

DOE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iii. Recipient Responsibility and Qualifications

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any responsibility and qualification information about the applicant that is in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov) (see 41 U.S.C. § 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov) and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov).

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the entity information domain in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov), in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 CFR 200.206.

iv. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, risk reviews, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

DOE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. DOE will post these notifications to EERE eXCHANGE. DOE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notifications.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, DOE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

iii. Full Application Notifications

DOE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE eXCHANGE. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, DOE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Applicants Selected for Award Negotiations

Successful applicants will receive written notification that they have been selected for award negotiations. Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by DOE to issue an award nor is it a guarantee of federal government funding. Applicants do not receive an award unless and until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process takes approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE eXCHANGE with whom DOE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, DOE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the selection. DOE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and DOE designated the application to be an

alternate, which means DOE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. DOE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

DOE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several required one-time actions applicants must take before applying to this FOA. Some of these actions may take several weeks, so it is vital applicants build in enough time to complete them. Failure to complete these actions could interfere with application or negotiation deadlines or the ability to receive an award if selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Funding Opportunity Exchange (eXCHANGE)

Register and create an account on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>. This account will allow the user to apply to any open EERE FOAs in EERE eXCHANGE.

To access [EERE eXCHANGE](#), potential applicants must have a [Login.gov](#) account. As part of the eXCHANGE registration process, new users will be directed to create an account in Login.gov. Please note that the email address associated with Login.gov must match the email address associated with the eXCHANGE account. For more information, refer to the eXCHANGE Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) Quick Guide in the [Manuals section](#) of eXCHANGE.

Each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, should use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants must also designate backup points of contact. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.** The eXCHANGE registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.**

2. System for Award Management

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Register in SAM (<https://www.sam.gov>). Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

3. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect (<https://www.fedconnect.net>). To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

4. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. Please note that Letters of Intent, Concept Papers, and Full Applications will **not** be accepted through Grants.gov.

5. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE eXCHANGE and FedConnect, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Participation

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA and project participants (including subrecipients and contractors) who anticipate involving foreign nationals in the performance of an award may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to satisfy requirements for foreign national participation. A "foreign national" is defined as any person who is not a United States citizen by birth or naturalization. The volume and type of information collected may depend on various factors associated with the

award. DOE concurrence may be required before a foreign national can participate in the performance of any work under an award.

DOE may elect to deny a foreign national's participation in the award. Likewise, DOE may elect to deny a foreign national's access to a DOE site, information, technologies, equipment, programs or personnel.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

DOE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. § 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website at <https://www.energy.gov/nepa>.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations

In submitting an application to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

- a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and
- b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations, a corporation is any for-profit or nonprofit entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations].

3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations

In submitting an application to this FOA the applicant represents that:

- a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.
- b. It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive Order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an

Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive Orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”

- (1) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf>), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf>), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
- (2) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the U.S. government, may contain provisions appropriate to the activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received during such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the U.S. government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the U.S. Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

DOE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under DOE awards. Stewardship activities include but are not limited to conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

DOE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. DOE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, DOE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project. Substantial involvement includes but is not limited to the following:

1. DOE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.
2. DOE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
3. DOE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of DOE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).
4. DOE participates in major project decision-making processes.
5. Because these funds were appropriated to EPA, EPA will, in collaboration with BETO, maintain substantial involvement with awards during the performance of the project. BETO will forward quarterly progress reports, invoices, and quarterly financial reports to EPA. BETO will invite EPA to progress update meetings.

x. Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP)

As a quarter 1 milestone if selected for award, applicants may be required at the discretion of the Contracting Officer to submit an executed IPMP between the members of the consortia or team.

The award will set forth the treatment of and obligations related to intellectual property rights between DOE and the individual members. The IPMP should describe how the members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with federal intellectual property laws, regulations, and policies (see Sections VIII.K.-VIII.N. of this FOA for more details on applicable federal intellectual property laws and regulations). Guidance regarding the contents of IPMP is available from DOE upon request.

The following is a list of examples of items the IPMP may cover:

- The treatment of confidential information between members (e.g., the use of NDAs);
- The treatment of background intellectual property (e.g., any requirements for identifying it or making it available);
- The treatment of inventions made under the award (e.g., any requirements for disclosing to the other members on an application, filing patent applications, paying for patent prosecution, and cross-licensing or other licensing arrangements between the members);
- The treatment of data produced, including software, under the award (e.g., any publication process or other dissemination strategies, copyrighting strategy or arrangement between members);
- Any technology transfer and commercialization requirements or arrangements between the members;
- The treatment of any intellectual property issues that may arise due to a change in membership of the consortia or team; and
- The handling of disputes related to intellectual property between the members.

xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

To ensure that prime recipients and subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, DOE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for 10 years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to DOE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or its licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as DOE may specify.

xii. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

xiii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement.

xiv. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. A Go/No-Go Review is a risk

management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to beginning the execution of future phases. At the Go/No-Go decision points, DOE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, the extent milestone objectives are met, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) DOE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application;⁴⁰ and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, DOE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

⁴⁰ A continuation application is a non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the recipient must submit its continuation application, which includes the following information:

- i. A progress report on the project objectives, including significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.
- ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.
- iii. A description of any planned changes from the SOPO and/or Milestone Summary Table.

xv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the U.S. government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the U.S. government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xvi. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1 million the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

xvii. Real Property and Equipment

Real property and equipment purchased with project funds (federal share and recipient cost share) are subject to the requirements at 2 CFR 200.310, 200.311, 200.313, and 200.316 (non-federal entities, except for-profit entities) and 2 CFR 910.360 (for-profit entities). For projects selected for awards under this FOA, the recipients may (1) take disposition action on the real property and equipment; or (2) continue to use the real property and equipment after the conclusion of the award period of performance with Contracting Officer approval. The recipient's written request for Continued Use must identify the property and include: a

summary of how the property will be used (must align with the authorized project purposes); a proposed use period, (e.g., perpetuity, until fully depreciated, or a calendar date when the recipient expects to submit disposition instructions); acknowledgement that the recipient shall not sell or encumber the property or permit any encumbrance without prior written DOE approval; current fair market value of the property; and an estimated useful life or depreciation schedule for equipment.

When the property is no longer needed for authorized project purposes, the recipient must request disposition instructions from DOE. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth in 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

xviii. Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty

States, local governments, and other public entities may not condition subawards in a manner that would discriminate against or otherwise disadvantage subrecipients based on their religious character.

xix. Participants and Collaborating Organizations

If selected for award negotiations, the selected applicant must submit a list of personnel who are proposed to work on the project, both at the recipient and subrecipient level and a list of proposed collaborating organizations prior to award. Recipients will have an ongoing responsibility to notify DOE of changes to the personnel and collaborating organizations and submit updated information during the life of the award.

xx. Current and Pending Support

If selected for award negotiations, within 30 days of the selection notice the selectee must submit: 1) current and pending support disclosures and resumes for any new PIs or senior/key personnel, and 2) updated disclosures if there have been any changes to the current and pending support submitted with the application. Throughout the life of the award, the recipient has an ongoing responsibility to submit: 1) current and pending support disclosure statements and resumes for any new PI and senior/key personnel, and 2) updated disclosures if there are changes to the current and pending support previously submitted to DOE. Also see Section IV.D.xvi.

xxi. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

A primary objective of DOE's multi-billion-dollar research, development, and demonstration investments is to cultivate new research and development ecosystems, manufacturing capabilities, and supply chains for and by United States industry and labor. Therefore, in exchange for receiving taxpayer dollars to support an applicant's project, the applicant must agree to a U.S. Competitiveness provision requiring that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States unless the recipient can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible. Award terms, including the specific U.S. Competitiveness Provision applicable to the various types of recipients and projects, are available at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

Please note that a subject invention is any invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of work under an award. An invention is any invention or discovery which is or may be patentable. The recipient includes any awardee, recipient, sub-awardee, or sub-recipient.

As noted in the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, if an entity cannot meet the requirements of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, the entity may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision. For example, the entity may propose modifying the language of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision in order to change the scope of the requirements or to provide more specifics on the application of the requirements for a particular technology. As another example, the entity may request that the U.S. Competitiveness Provision be waived in lieu of a net benefits statement or United States manufacturing plan. The statement or plan would contain specific and enforceable commitments that would be beneficial to the United States economy and competitiveness. Examples of such commitments could include manufacturing specific products in the United States, making a specific investment in a new or existing United States manufacturing facility, keeping certain activities based in the United States or supporting a certain number of jobs in the United States related to the technology. DOE may, in its sole discretion, determine that the proposed modification or waiver promotes commercialization and provides substantial United States economic benefits, and grant the request. If granted, DOE will modify the award terms and conditions for the requesting entity accordingly.

More information and guidance on the waiver and modification request process can be found in the DOE Financial Assistance Letter on this topic, available at

<https://www.energy.gov/management/pf-2022-09-fal-2022-01-implementation-doe-determination-exceptional-circumstances-under>. Additional information on DOE's Commitment to Domestic Manufacturing for DOE-funded R&D is available at <https://www.energy.gov/gc/us-manufacturing>.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is implemented by DOE pursuant to a Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) under the Bayh-Dole Act and DOE Patent Waivers. See Section VIII.J. Title to Subject Inventions of this FOA for more information on the DEC and DOE Patent Waivers.

xxii. Interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance

The DOE interim Conflict of Interest Policy for Financial Assistance (COI Policy)⁴¹ is applicable to all non-Federal entities applying for, or that receive, DOE funding by means of a financial assistance award (e.g., a grant, cooperative agreement, or technology investment agreement) and, through the implementation of this policy by the entity, to each Investigator who is planning to participate in, or is participating in, the project funded wholly or in part under the DOE financial assistance award. The term "Investigator" means the PI and any other person, regardless of title or position, who is responsible for the purpose, design, conduct, or reporting of a project funded by DOE or proposed for funding by DOE. Recipients must flow down the requirements of the interim COI Policy to any subrecipient non-federal entities. Further, for DOE funded projects, the recipient must include all financial conflicts of interest (FCOI) (i.e., managed and unmanaged/unmanageable) in its initial and ongoing FCOI reports.

It is understood that non-federal entities and individuals receiving DOE financial assistance awards will need sufficient time to come into full compliance with DOE's interim COI Policy. To provide some flexibility, DOE allows for a staggered implementation. Specifically, prior to award, applicants selected for award negotiations must: ensure all Investigators complete their significant financial disclosures; review the disclosures; determine whether a FCOI exists; develop and implement a management plan for FCOIs; and provide DOE with an initial FCOI report that includes all FCOIs (i.e., managed and unmanaged/unmanageable). Recipients will have 180 days from the date of the award to come into full compliance with the other requirements set forth in DOE's interim COI Policy. Prior to award, the applicant must certify that it is, or will be within 180 days of the award, compliant with all requirements in the COI Policy.

⁴¹ DOE's interim COI Policy can be found at [PF 2022-17 FAL 2022-02 Department of Energy Interim Conflict of Interest Policy Requirements for Financial Assistance](#).

xxiii. Data Management Plan

Each applicant whose Full Application is selected for award negotiations will be required to submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) during the award negotiations phase. A DMP explains how, when appropriate, data generated in the course of the work performed under a DOE award will be shared and preserved to validate the results of the proposed work or how the results could be validated if the data is not shared or preserved. The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications.

xxiv. Fraud, Waste, and Abuse

The mission of the DOE Office of Inspector General (OIG) is to strengthen the integrity, economy, and efficiency of the Department's programs and operations, including deterring and detecting fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement. The OIG accomplishes this mission primarily through investigations, audits, and inspections of DOE activities to include grants, cooperative agreements, loans, and contracts.

The OIG maintains a hotline for reporting allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement. To report such allegations, please visit

<https://www.energy.gov/ig/ig-hotline>.

Additionally, recipients of DOE awards must be cognizant of the requirements of [2 CFR 200.113 Mandatory disclosures](#), which states:

The non-Federal entity or applicant for a Federal award must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Non-Federal entities that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in appendix XII of 2 CFR Part 200 are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM.gov (currently FAPIIS). Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in [2 CFR 200.339](#). (See also [2 CFR part 180](#), [31 U.S.C. § 3321](#), and [41 U.S.C. § 2313](#).) [[85 FR 49539](#), Aug. 13, 2020]

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) are encouraged to allocate sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated for personnel and data infrastructure needs to support performance management and program evaluation needs, including but not limited to

independent program and project audits to mitigate risks for fraud, waste, and abuse.

xxv. Human Subjects Research

Research involving human subjects, biospecimens, or identifiable private information conducted with DOE funding is subject to the requirements of DOE Order 443.1C, Protection of Human Research Subjects, 45 CFR Part 46, Protection of Human Subjects (subpart A which is referred to as the “Common Rule”), and 10 CFR Part 745, Protection of Human Subjects. Additional information on the DOE Human Subjects Research Program can be found at: [HUMAN SUBJECTS Human Subjects Pr... | U.S. DOE Office of Science \(SC\) \(osti.gov\)](#).

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, DOE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process described below. Questions regarding this FOA must be submitted to IRAAdvancedBiofuels@ee.doe.gov no later than three (3) business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE eXCHANGE at <https://eere-eXCHANGE.energy.gov>. **You must first select the FOA Number to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA.** EERE will attempt to respond to a question within three (3) business days unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE eXCHANGE website should be submitted to EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on EERE eXCHANGE and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

DOE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

Applicants should not include trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail.

If an application includes trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, it is furnished to the federal government in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, DOE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the federal government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

If an applicant chooses to submit trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information, the applicant must provide **two copies** of the submission (e.g., Concept Paper, Full Application). The first copy should be marked "non-confidential," with the information believed to be confidential deleted. The second copy should be marked "confidential" and must clearly and conspicuously identify the trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information and must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The federal government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information and may use or disclose such information for any purpose as authorized by law.

The cover sheet of the Full Application, and other applicant submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance agreement between the submitter and the government. The government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

In addition, (1) the header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or business-sensitive, proprietary, or otherwise confidential information must be marked as follows: “Contains Business Sensitive Information, Trade Secrets, or Proprietary or Otherwise Confidential Information Exempt from Public Disclosure,” and (2) every line or paragraph containing such information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting. DOE will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat it according to its determination.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including DOE contractors. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those that describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

DOE reserves the right to conduct an independent third-party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The cancellation of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or cancellation of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

I. Retention of Submissions

DOE expects to retain copies of all Full Applications and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to DOE for funding, applicants consent to DOE's retention of their submissions.

J. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. § 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);
- Class Patent Waiver:

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. To avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a

subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States.

K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where prime recipients and subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. government retains certain rights.

Government Use License

The U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the government.

March-In Rights

The U.S. government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through “march-in rights,” the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The United States manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

L. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

“Limited Rights Data”: The U.S. government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade-secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under DOE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated (“Protected Data”). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the award’s intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

M. Copyright

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without DOE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government.

N. Export Control

The United States government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the United States to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as “Export Controls.” All recipients and subrecipients are responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable United States Export Control laws and regulations relating to any work performed under a resulting award.

The recipient must immediately report to DOE any export control violations related to the project funded under the DOE award, at the recipient or subrecipient level, and provide the corrective action(s) to prevent future violations.

O. Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment

As set forth in 2 CFR 200.216, recipients and subrecipients are prohibited from obligating or expending project funds (federal funds and recipient cost share) to procure or obtain; extend or renew a contract to procure or obtain; or enter into a contract (or extend or renew a contract) to procure or obtain equipment, services, or systems that use *covered telecommunications equipment or services* as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. As described in Section 889 of Public Law 115-232, covered telecommunications equipment is telecommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities).

See Public Law 115-232, Section 889, 2 CFR 200.216, and 2 CFR 200.471 for additional information.

P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. "PII" refers to information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, Social Security number, or biometric records, alone or combined with other personal or identifying information linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth or mother's maiden name. (See OMB Memorandum M-17-12 dated January 3, 2017.)

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security numbers (SSNs) be included in the application.** Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. § 3551).

Q. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit

performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, nonprofit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 CFR 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. DOE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

R. Informational Webinar

DOE will conduct one informational webinar during the FOA process. It will be held after the initial FOA release but before the due date for Concept Papers.

Attendance is not mandatory and will not positively or negatively impact the overall review of any applicant submissions. The webinar will be open to all applicants who wish to participate. Applicants should refrain from asking questions or communicating information that would reveal confidential and/or proprietary information specific to their project. The webinar date is listed on the cover page of the FOA.

APPENDIX A – COST SHARE INFORMATION

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms “cost sharing” and “cost matching” are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. DOE almost always uses “cost sharing,” as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here “cost matching” for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1 million in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)
Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies for Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or two sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under a DOE grant or cooperative agreement, it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though they are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the above regulations, other factors may come into play, such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of 10 years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, DOE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, DOE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the DOE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award

- 1. Cash Cost Share** encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, or equipment for their company with organizational resources. If the cost of the item or service is reimbursed, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
- 2. In-Kind Cost Share** encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, and donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. Consult your DOE contact if you have questions before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.
- 3. Funds from other federal sources** may **not** be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.
- 4. Fee or profit**, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may incur only those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

(A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third-party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- (1)** They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
- (2)** They are not included as contributions for any other federally assisted project or program.
- (3)** They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
- (4)** They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a.** For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A-122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and
 - b.** Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5)** They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6)** They are provided for in the approved budget.

(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1)** Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or

equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

- a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
 - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are

applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:

- i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately owned building in the same locality.
- ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.

(5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:

- a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
- b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

APPENDIX B – SAMPLE COST SHARE CALCULATION FOR BLENDED COST SHARE PERCENTAGE

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2 million in federal funds, with four tasks requiring different non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost)

Task 1 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (non-federal share)

Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)

Task 2 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (non-federal share)

Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (non-federal share)

Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (non-federal share)

The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal Share	% Federal Share	\$ Non-Federal Share	% Non-Federal Share	Total Project Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (non-federal)

Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (federal)

APPENDIX C – WAIVER REQUESTS FOR: 1. FOREIGN ENTITY PARTICIPATION; AND 2. FOREIGN WORK

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation

Many of the technology areas DOE funds fall in the category of critical and emerging technologies (CETs). CETs are a subset of advanced technologies that are potentially significant to United States national and economy security.⁴² For projects selected under this FOA, all recipients and subrecipients must be organized, chartered or incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States; have majority domestic ownership and control; and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Waiver Criteria

Foreign entities seeking to participate in a project funded under this FOA must demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE that:

- a. Its participation is in the best interest of the United States industry and United States economic development;
- b. The project team has appropriate measures in place to control sensitive information and protect against unauthorized transfer of scientific and technical information;
- c. Adequate protocols exist between the United States subsidiary and its foreign parent organization to comply with export control laws and any obligations to protect proprietary information from the foreign parent organization;
- d. The work is conducted within the United States and the entity acknowledges and demonstrates that it has the intent and ability to comply with the U.S. Competitiveness Provision (see Section VI.B.xxi.); and
- e. The foreign entity will satisfy other conditions that may be deemed necessary by DOE to protect United States government interests.

Content for Waiver Request

A Foreign Entity waiver request must include the following:

- a. Information about the entity: name, point of contact, physical address, and proposed type of involvement in the project;
- b. Country of incorporation, the extent of the ownership/level control by foreign entities, whether the entity is state owned or controlled, a summary of the ownership breakdown of the foreign entity and the percentage of

⁴² See, [Critical and Emerging Technologies List Update \(whitehouse.gov\)](https://www.whitehouse.gov/critical-emerging-technologies/).

-
- ownership/control by foreign entities, foreign shareholders, foreign state or foreign individuals;
- c. The rationale for proposing a foreign entity participate (must address criteria above);
 - d. A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the United States economy;
 - How the project will benefit the United States, including manufacturing, contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
 - How the project will promote manufacturing of products and/or services in the United States;
 - e. A description of how the foreign entity's participation is essential to the project;
 - f. A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
 - g. Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the United States, the applicant must also complete a separate request foreign work waiver).

DOE may also require:

- A risk assessment with respect to IP and data protection protocols that includes the export control risk based on the data protection protocols, the technology being developed and the foreign entity and country. These submissions could be prepared by the project lead (if not the prime recipient), but the prime recipient must make a representation to DOE as to whether it believes the data protection protocols are adequate and make a representation of the risk assessment – high, medium or low risk of data leakage to a foreign entity.
- Additional language to be added to any agreement or subagreement to protect IP, mitigate risk or other related purposes.

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

DOE's decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work funded under this FOA must be performed in the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

*Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of DOE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request for a foreign work waiver must include the following:

1. The rationale for performing the work outside the United States (“foreign work”);
2. A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the United States;
3. An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
4. A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the U.S. economy;
5. The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
6. How the foreign work will benefit the United States, including manufacturing, contributions to employment in the United States and growth in new markets and jobs in the United States;
7. How the foreign work will promote manufacturing of products and/or services in the United States;
8. A description of the likelihood of IP being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
9. The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
10. The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
11. The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

DOE’s decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

APPENDIX D – REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS, AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS BUY AMERICA REQUIREMENTS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

A. Definitions

For purposes of the Buy America requirements, based both on the statute and OMB Guidance Document dated April 18, 2022, the following definitions apply:

Construction materials includes an article, material, or supply—other than an item of primarily iron or steel; a manufactured product; cement and cementitious materials; aggregates such as stone, sand, or gravel; or aggregate binding agents or additives⁴³—that is or consists primarily of:

- Non-ferrous metals;
- Plastic and polymer-based products (including polyvinylchloride, composite building materials, and polymers used in fiber optic cables);
- Glass (including optic glass);
- Lumber; or
- Drywall.

Infrastructure includes, at a minimum, the structures, facilities, and equipment for, in the United States, roads, highways, and bridges; public transportation; dams, ports, harbors, and other maritime facilities; intercity passenger and freight railroads; freight and intermodal facilities; airports; water systems, including drinking water and wastewater systems; electrical transmission facilities and systems; utilities; broadband infrastructure; and buildings and real property. Infrastructure includes facilities that generate, transport, and distribute energy.

Moreover, according to the OMB guidance document:

When determining if a program has infrastructure expenditures, Federal agencies should interpret the term “infrastructure” broadly and consider the definition provided above as illustrative and not exhaustive. When determining if a particular construction project of a type not listed in the definition above constitutes “infrastructure,” agencies should consider whether the project will serve a public function, including whether the project is publicly owned and operated, privately operated on behalf of the public, or is a place of public accommodation, as opposed to a project that is privately owned and not open to the public. Projects with the former qualities have greater indicia of infrastructure, while projects with the latter quality have fewer. Projects consisting solely of the

⁴³ BIL, § 70917(c)(1).

purchase, construction, or improvement of a private home for personal use, for example, would not constitute an infrastructure project.

The Agency, not the applicant, will have the final say as to whether a given project includes infrastructure, as defined herein. Accordingly, in cases where the “public” nature of the infrastructure is unclear but the other relevant criteria are met, DOE strongly recommends that applicants complete their full application with the assumption that Buy America requirements will apply to the proposed project.

Project means the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States.

B. Buy America Requirements for Infrastructure Projects (“Buy America” requirements)

In accordance with Section 70914 of the BIL, none of the project funds (includes federal share and recipient cost share) may be used for a project for infrastructure unless:

- (1) all iron and steel used in the project are produced in the United States--this means all manufacturing processes, from the initial melting stage through the application of coatings, occurred in the United States;
- (2) all manufactured products used in the project are produced in the United States—this means the manufactured product was manufactured in the United States; and the cost of the components of the manufactured product that are mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States is greater than 55 percent of the total cost of all components of the manufactured product, unless another standard for determining the minimum amount of domestic content of the manufactured product has been established under applicable law or regulation; and
- (3) all construction materials⁴⁴ are produced in the United States—this means that all manufacturing processes for the construction material occurred in the United States.

The Buy America requirements only apply to articles, materials, and supplies that are consumed in, incorporated into, or affixed to an infrastructure project. As such, it does not apply to tools, equipment, and supplies, such as temporary scaffolding, brought to the construction site and removed at or before the completion of the infrastructure project. Nor does the Buy America requirements apply to equipment and furnishings, such as movable chairs, desks, and portable computer equipment, that are used at or within the finished infrastructure project, but are not an integral part of the structure or permanently affixed to the infrastructure project.

⁴⁴ Excludes cement and cementitious materials, aggregates such as stone, sand, or gravel, or aggregate binding agents or additives.

These requirements must flow down to all sub-awards, all contracts, subcontracts, and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project, except where the prime recipient is a for-profit entity. Based on guidance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Buy America requirements of the BIL do not apply to DOE projects in which the prime recipient is a for-profit entity; the requirements only apply to projects whose prime recipient is a State, local government, Indian tribe, Institution of Higher Education, or nonprofit organization.

For additional information related to the application and implementation of these Buy America requirements, please see OMB Memorandum M-22-11, issued April 18, 2022:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/M-22-11.pdf>

Note that for all applicants—both non-Federal entities and for-profit entities—DOE is including a Program Policy Factor that the Selection Official may consider in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations that considers whether the applicant has made a commitment to procure U.S. iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials in its project.

C. Waivers

The DOE financial assistance agreement will require each recipient: (1) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of U.S.-produced products and (2) to fulfill the commitments made in its application regarding the procurement of other key component metals and domestically manufactured products that are deemed available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality at the time of award negotiation.

In limited circumstances, DOE may waive the application of the Buy America requirements where DOE determines that:

- (1) Applying the Buy America requirements would be inconsistent with the public interest;
- (2) The types of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality; or
- (3) The inclusion of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials produced in the United States will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25%.

If an applicant or recipient is seeking a waiver of the Buy America requirements, it may submit a waiver request after it has been notified of its selection for award negotiations. A waiver request must include:

- A detailed justification for the use of “non-domestic” iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials to include an explanation as to how the non-domestic item(s) is essential to the project;
- A certification that the applicant or recipient made a good faith effort to solicit bids for domestic products supported by terms included in requests for proposals, contracts, and nonproprietary communications with potential suppliers;
- Applicant/Recipient name and Unique Entity Identifier (UEI);
- Total estimated project cost, DOE and cost-share amounts;
- Project description and location (to the extent known);
- List and description of iron or steel item(s), manufactured goods, and construction material(s) the applicant or recipient seeks to waive from Domestic Content Procurement Preference requirement, including name, cost, country(ies) of origin (if known), and relevant PSC and NAICS code for each;
- Waiver justification including due diligence performed (e.g., market research, industry outreach) by the applicant or recipient; and
- Anticipated impact if no waiver is issued

DOE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

Waiver requests are subject to public comment periods of no less than 15 days and must be reviewed by the Made in America Office. There may be instances where an award qualifies, in whole or in part, for an existing waiver described at [DOE Buy America Requirement Waiver Requests](#).

DOE’s decision concerning a waiver request is not appealable.

APPENDIX E – DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

TRL 1:	Basic principles observed and reported
TRL 2:	Technology concept and/or application formulated
TRL 3:	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept
TRL 4:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment
TRL 5:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment
TRL 6:	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment
TRL 7:	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment
TRL 8:	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstrated
TRL 9:	Actual system proven through successful mission operations

APPENDIX F – LIST OF ACRONYMS

BETO	Bioenergy Technologies Office
CEJST	Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COI	Conflict of Interest
CRADA	Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
DEC	Determination of Exceptional Circumstances
DEIA	Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility
DMP	Data Management Plan
DOE	Department of Energy
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
DOL	Department of Labor
EERE	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FCOI	Financial Conflicts of Interest
FFA	Free Fatty Acid
FFATA	Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
GGE	Gasoline Gallon Equivalent
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HBCUs	Historically Black Colleges and Universities
IPMP	Intellectual Property Management Plan
IRA	Inflation Reduction Act
IRB	Institutional Review Board
LCA	Lifecycle Analysis
M&O	Management and Operating
MFA	Multi-Factor Authentication
MFSP	Minimum Fuel Selling Price
MPIN	Marketing Partner ID Number
MSI	Minority-Serving institution
MYPP	Multi-Year Program Plan
NDA	Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
NSF	National Science Foundation
OFCCP	Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Programs
OIG	Office of Inspector General

Questions about this FOA? Email IRAAdvancedBiofuelsFOA@ee.doe.gov
Problems with EERE eXCHANGE? Email EERE-eXCHANGESupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OSS	Open-Source Software
OSTI	Office of Scientific and Technical Information
OTA	Other Transactions Authority
PII	Personal Identifiable Information
R&D	Research and Development
RD&D	Research, Development, and Demonstration
RDD&D	Research, Development, Demonstration, and Deployment
RFI	Request for Information
RFP	Request for Proposal
RFS	Renewable Fuel Standard
RIN	Renewable Identification Number
SAF	Sustainable Aviation Fuel
SAM	System for Award Management
SciENCv	Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
SPOC	Single Point of Contact
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics
TAA	Technical Assistance Agreement
TEA	Technoeconomic Analysis
TIA	Technology Investment Agreement
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WP	Work Proposal

APPENDIX G – R&D COMMUNITY BENEFITS PLAN GUIDANCE

DOE is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering; catalyzing high-quality domestic clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment; and ensuring energy equity and energy justice⁴⁵ for disadvantaged communities. Therefore, and in accordance with the Administration’s priority to empower workers and harness opportunities to create good union jobs as stated in EO 14008 (Executive Order on Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad),⁴⁶ it is important to consider the impacts of the successful commercial deployment of any innovations resulting from this FOA on the current and future workforce.

The goal of the R&D Community Benefits Plan is to allow the application to illustrate engagement in critical thought about implications of how the proposed work will benefit the American people and lead to broadly shared prosperity, including for workers and disadvantaged communities.⁴⁷ The three sections of the R&D Community Benefits Plans are considered together because there may be significant overlap among audiences considered in workforce and disadvantaged communities.

Example DEIA, Energy Equity, and Workforce Plan Elements

Outlined below are examples of activities that applicants might consider when developing their R&D Community Benefits Plan. Applicants are not required to implement any of these specific examples and should propose activities that best fit their research goals, institutional environment, team composition, and other factors. Creativity is encouraged.

DEIA

⁴⁵ DOE defines energy justice as “the goal of achieving equity in both the social and economic participation in the energy system, while also remediating social, economic, and health burdens on those disproportionately harmed by the energy system” (Initiative for Energy Justice, 2019). Aligned with that definition, the remainder of this document refers to “energy equity” to encompass energy justice and DOE’s efforts related to Justice40. <https://www.energy.gov/diversity/articles/how-energy-justice-presidential-initiatives-and-executive-orders-shape-equity>

⁴⁶ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/02/01/2021-02177/tackling-the-climate-crisis-at-home-and-abroad>

⁴⁷ The Justice40 initiative, established by E.O. 14008, sets a goal that 40% of the overall benefits of certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities. Pursuant to E.O. 14008 and the Office of Management and Budget’s Interim Justice40 Implementation Guidance M-21-28 and M-23-09, DOE recognizes disadvantaged communities as identified by the White House Council on Environmental Quality’s Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST), located at <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/>.

DOE strongly encourages applicants to involve individuals and entities from disadvantaged communities. Tapping all the available talent requires intentional approaches and yields broad benefits.

Equity extends beyond diversity to equitable treatment. Equitable access to opportunity for members of the project team is paramount. This includes ensuring all members of the team, including students, are paid a living wage, provided appropriate working conditions, and provided appropriate benefits. In the execution of their project plan, applicants are asked to describe efforts in diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility. In this context, efforts toward DEIA are defined as:⁴⁸

- 1) The practice of including the many communities, identities, races, ethnicities, backgrounds, abilities, cultures, and beliefs of the American people;
- 2) The consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including protecting workers rights and adhering to Equal Employment Opportunity laws;
- 3) The recognition, appreciation, and use of the talents and skills of employees of all backgrounds; and
- 4) The provision of accommodations so that all people, including people with disabilities, can fully and independently access facilities, information and communication technology, programs, and services.

Successful plans will not only describe how the project team seeks to increase DEIA but also will describe the overall approaches to retention, engagement, professional development, and career advancement. Specifically, they will demonstrate clear approaches to ensure all team members' strengths are meaningfully leveraged, and all members are provided opportunities and paths for career development, especially including paths for interns and trainees to secure permanent positions. Diversity should be considered at all levels of the project team, not just leveraging early career individuals to meet diversity goals.

DOE strongly encourages applicants to consider partnerships to promote DEIA, justice, and workforce participation. Socially-disadvantaged businesses that meet the eligibility requirements are encouraged to lead these partnerships as the prime applicant or participate on an application as a

⁴⁸ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Strategic-Plan-to-Advance-Diversity-Equity-Inclusion-and-Accessibility-in-the-Federal-Workforce-11.23.21.pdf>

proposed partner to the prime applicant.

When crafting the DEIA section of the Plan, applicants should describe how they will act to promote each of the four DEIA efforts above into their investigation. It is important to note that diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility are four different but related concepts that should not be conflated. For instance, you can achieve diversity without equity; all four must be addressed. Applicants could discuss how the proposed investigation could contribute to training and developing a diverse scientific workforce. Applicants could describe the efforts they plan to take, or will continue to take, to create an inclusive workplace, free from retaliation, harassment, and discrimination. Applicants could outline any barriers to creating an equitable and inclusive workplace and address the ways in which the team will work to overcome these barriers within the bounds of the specific research project. The plan could detail specific efforts to inform project team members in any capacity of their labor rights and rights under Equal Employment Opportunity laws and their free and fair chance to join a union. Note that this inclusion of informing project team members is also incorporated into awards through the National Policy Assurances.

Equal treatment of workers, including students, is necessary, but overcoming institutional bias requires intentionally reducing sometimes hidden barriers to equal opportunity. Applicants could consider measures like childcare, flexible schedules, paid parental leave, pay transparency, and other supports to ensure that societal barriers do not hinder realization of DEIA intentions. Some of these considerations may result in common approaches in different sections of the plan, and that is acceptable as long as the submission is not a singular approach to all sections.

EERE especially encourages applicants to form partnerships with diverse and often underrepresented institutions, such as MSIs, labor unions, and community colleges that otherwise meet the eligibility requirements. Underrepresented institutions that meet the eligibility requirements are encouraged to lead these partnerships as the prime applicant. The DEIA section of the Plan could include engagement with underrepresented institutions to broaden the participation of disadvantaged communities and/or with local stakeholders, such as residents and businesses, entities that carry out workforce development programs, labor unions, local government, and community-based organizations that represent, support, or work with disadvantaged communities. Applicants should ensure there is transparency, accountability, and follow-through when engaging with community members

and stakeholders.

Specific examples include:

- Building collaborations and partnerships with researchers and staff at MSIs;
- Addressing barriers identified in climate surveys to remove inequities;
- Providing anti-bias training and education in the project design and implementation teams;
- Offering training, mentorship, education, and other support to students and early/mid-career professionals from disadvantaged communities;
- Providing efforts toward improving a workplace culture of inclusion;
- Developing technology and technology integration innovations to meet the needs of disadvantaged communities;
- Creating partnerships with local communities, especially under-resourced and disadvantaged communities;
- Voluntary recognition of a union and informing employees of their rights, regardless of their classification;
- Making research products and engagement materials accessible in a greater variety of formats to increase accessibility of research outputs;
- Implementing training or distributing materials to reduce stigma towards individuals with disabilities;
- Designing technologies that strategically fit within the existing workforce for installation and maintenance of the potential innovation.

Energy Equity

The Energy Equity section should articulate how project proposals will drive equitable access to, participation in, and distribution of the benefits produced from successful technology innovations to disadvantaged communities and groups. Intentional inclusion of energy equity requires evaluating the anticipated long-term costs and benefits that will accrue to disadvantaged groups as a result of the project, and how research questions and project plans are designed for and support historically disadvantaged communities' engagement in clean energy decisions. Similar to potential cost reductions or groundbreaking research findings resulting from the research, energy equity and justice benefits may be uncertain, occur over a long period of time, and have many factors within and outside the specific proposed research

influencing them.

Applicants should describe the influencing factors and the most likely energy equity implications of the proposed research. Applicants should describe any long-term constraints the proposed technology may pose to communities' access to natural resources and Tribal cultural resources. There may be existing equity research available to use and cite in this description, or the applicant could describe milestone-based efforts toward developing that understanding through this innovation. These near- and long-term outcomes may include but are not limited to: a decrease in the percent of income a household spends on energy costs (energy burden);⁴⁹ an increase in access to low-cost capital; a decrease in environmental exposure and burdens; increases in clean energy enterprise creation and contracting (e.g., socially disadvantaged enterprises); increased parity in clean energy technology access and adoption; increases in energy democracy, including community ownership; and an increase in energy resilience.

Specific examples include:

- Describing how a successful innovation will support economic development in diverse geographic or demographic communities;
- Creating a plan to engage equity and justice stakeholders in evaluating the broader impacts of the innovation or in the development of the research methodology;
- Describing how the proposed research strategy and methodology was informed by input from a wide variety of stakeholders;
- Creating a literature review of the equity and justice implications of the outcomes of the specific research if the innovation is successful, or a plan with dedicated budget and expertise (staffing or subawardee) to evaluate the potential equity implications of successful innovation outcomes.

Investing in Job Quality and Workforce Continuity

The Workforce section of the R&D Community Benefits Plan should articulate the future workforce implications of the innovation or a milestone-driven plan for understanding those implications. This includes documenting the skills, knowledge, and abilities that would be required of workers installing, maintaining, and operating the technology that may be derivative

⁴⁹ Energy burden is defined as the percentage of gross household income spent on energy costs:
<https://www.energy.gov/eere/slsc/low-income-community-energy-solutions>

of the applicant's research, as well as the training pathways and its accessibility for workers to acquire the necessary skills. There may be field-specific or relevant existing research that could be cited in this section. In addition, applicants could detail the process they will use to evaluate long-term impacts on jobs, including job growth or job loss, a change in job quality, disruptions to existing industry and resulting changes to relationships between employers and employees and improvements or reductions in the ability of workers to organize for collective representation, and anything else that could result in changes to regional or national labor markets.

For additional support with developing the Workforce section of a R&D Community Benefits Plan, please refer to the DOE's Community Benefits Plan Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) webpage (<https://www.energy.gov/bil/community-benefits-plan-frequently-asked-questions-faqs>). This new resource, though created primarily for BIL-funded demonstration and deployment projects, may be useful for R&D projects.

Applicants will find section 2 of the FAQ ("Investing in America's Workers through Quality Jobs") particularly helpful for understanding key federal policies, terms, and concepts, as well as workforce development strategies relevant to examination of the workforce implications of applicants' proposed research.

Specific examples include:

- Outlining the challenges and opportunities for commercializing the technology in the United States;
- Creating a literature review of the workforce implications of the outcomes of the specific research if the innovation is successful, or a plan with dedicated budget and expertise (staffing or subawardee) to evaluate the potential equity implications of successful innovation outcomes;
- Creating a plan and milestones for assessing how a successful innovation will have implications for job savings or loss, either at the macroeconomic level or within specific industries;
- Describing how the project will support workforce training to address needs for successful innovation;
- Voluntary recognition of a union and informing employees of their rights, regardless of its classification;
- Creating a plan to evaluate how a successful innovation will result in potential workforce shifts between industries or geographies.

Justice40

Applicants must provide an overview of benefits to disadvantaged communities that the project can deliver, supported by measurable milestones. See Section IV.D.xv. for additional information.

Inclusion of SMART milestones

EERE requires that the applicant's R&D Community Benefits Plan include one Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely (SMART) milestone for each budget period. An exemplary SMART milestone clearly answers the following questions:

- What needs to be accomplished?
- What measures and deliverables will be used to track progress toward accomplishment?
- What evidence suggests that the accomplishment is achievable?
- Why choose this milestone?
- When will the milestone be reached?

APPENDIX H – GLOSSARY

"Agricultural residues" for the purpose of this FOA means biomass left over from the harvesting or processing of planted crops.

"Algae" for the purpose of this FOA means algae grown photosynthetically such that their energy and carbon are predominantly derived from photosynthesis.

"Biocrude" for the purpose of this FOA means a liquid converted from agricultural residues, lignocellulosic feedstocks, woody biomass except from construction and demolition waste or long-rotation, forestry residues except from construction and demolition waste, algae grown photosynthetically, separated yard waste, cellulosic components of separated food waste, animal waste material and animal byproducts, organic waste that is available on a renewable or recurring basis except if the Block Flow Diagram and Supplemental Data document does not show lifecycle GHG emissions of no more than 46 gCO₂e/MJ (megajoule) combusted, lower heating value.

"Biodiesel distillate bottoms" for the purpose of this FOA means the heavier product from distillation at a biodiesel production facility that does not meet the definition of biodiesel.

"Biogas" for the purpose of this FOA means a mixture of biomethane, inert gases, and impurities that is produced through the anaerobic digestion of renewable biomass.

"Biointermediate" for the purpose of this FOA means any feedstock material that is intended for use to produce renewable fuel and is either biocrude, biodiesel distillate bottoms, biomass-based sugars, digestate, free fatty acid (FFA) feedstock, glycerin, soapstock, undenatured ethanol, or biogas used to make a renewable fuel other than renewable CNG/LNG.

"Biomass-based sugars" for the purpose of this FOA means sugars (e.g., dextrose, sucrose, etc.) extracted from renewable biomass.

"Co-processed" for the purpose of this FOA means that renewable biomass or a biointermediate was simultaneously processed with fossil fuels or other non-renewable feedstock in the same unit or units to produce a fuel that is partially derived from renewable biomass or a biointermediate.

"Digestate" for the purpose of this FOA means the material that remains following the anaerobic digestion of renewable biomass in an anaerobic digester.

"Forestry residues" for the purpose of this FOA means pre-commercial thinnings, slash and any woody residue generated during the processing of trees for use in lumber, paper, furniture, or other applications.

"Fuel for use in ocean-going vessel" for the purpose of this FOA means any marine fuel (whether burned in ocean waters, Great Lakes, or other internal waters) and any other fuel intended for use only in ocean-going vessels.

"Glycerin" for the purpose of this FOA means a coproduct from the production of biodiesel that primarily contains glycerol.

"Heating oil" for the purpose means any No. 1, No. 2, or non-petroleum diesel blend that is sold for use in furnaces, boilers, and similar applications and which is commonly or commercially known or sold as heating oil, fuel oil, and similar trade names. The heating oil must be liquid at standard temperature and pressure and contain no more than 2.5% mass solids.

"Jet fuel" for the purpose of this FOA is defined from 40 CFR 80.2 and means any distillate fuel used, intended for use, or made available for use in aircraft.

"Pre-commercial thinnings" for the purpose of this FOA means trees, including unhealthy or diseased trees, removed to reduce stocking to concentrate growth on more desirable, healthy trees, or other vegetative material that is removed to promote tree growth.

"Renewable Biomass" for the purpose of this FOA means each of the following (including any incidental, de minimis contaminants that are impractical to remove and are related to customary feedstock production and transport):

- (1) Planted crops and crop residue.
- (2) Planted trees and tree residue from a tree farm.
- (3) Animal waste material and animal byproducts.
- (4) Slash and pre-commercial thinnings.
- (5) Biomass (organic matter that is available on a renewable or recurring basis) obtained from within 200 feet of buildings and other areas regularly occupied by people, or of public infrastructure, in an area at risk of wildfire.
- (6) Algae.
- (7) Separated yard waste or food waste, including recycled cooking and trap grease.

"Renewable compressed natural gas (renewable CNG)" for the purpose of this FOA means biogas, treated biogas, or RNG that is compressed for use as transportation fuel.

“Renewable Diesel” for the purpose of this FOA is defined means renewable fuel that is not a mono-alkyl ester and that is either:

- (1) A fuel or fuel additive that meets the Grade No. 1-D or No. 2-D specification in ASTM D975 and can be used in an engine designed to operate on conventional diesel fuel; or
- (2) A fuel or fuel additive that is registered under 40 CFR part 79 and can be used in an engine designed to operate using conventional diesel fuel.

“Renewable liquified natural gas (renewable LNG)” for the purpose of this FOA means biogas, treated biogas, or RNG that is liquified (*i.e.*, it is cooled below its boiling point) for use as transportation fuel.

“Renewable natural gas (RNG)” for the purpose of this FOA means a product that meets all the following requirements:

- (1) It is produced from biogas.
- (2) It does not require removal of additional components to be suitable for injection into the natural gas commercial pipeline system.
- (3) It is used to produce renewable fuel.

“Separated Food Waste” for the purpose of this FOA means a feedstock stream consisting of food waste kept separate since generation from other waste materials, and which includes food and beverage production waste and post-consumer food and beverage waste.

“Separated Municipal Solid Waste” for the purpose of this FOA means material remaining after separation actions have been taken to remove recyclable paper, cardboard, plastics, rubber, textiles, metals, and glass from municipal solid waste, and which is composed of both cellulosic and non-cellulosic materials.

“Separated Yard Waste” for the purpose of this FOA means a feedstock stream consisting of yard waste kept separate since generation from other waste materials.

“Slash” for the purpose of this FOA means the residue, including treetops, branches, and bark, left on the ground after logging or accumulating as a result of a storm, fire, delimbing, or other similar disturbance.

“Soapstock” for the purpose of this FOA means an emulsion, or the oil obtained from separation of that emulsion, produced by washing oils listed as a feedstock in an approved RFS pathway with water.

“Sustainable Aviation Fuel” or SAF, for the purpose of this FOA, is defined as a renewable aviation fuel that achieves net greenhouse gas emissions reductions of 50% or greater relative to non-renewable diesel fuel on a life cycle basis. SAF for use must be approved through the

ASTM D4054 process and produced to meet the ASTM D7566 standard specification for aviation turbine fuel containing synthesized hydrocarbons.

“Transportation fuel” for the purpose of this FOA means fuel for use in motor vehicles, motor vehicle engines, nonroad vehicles, or nonroad engines (except fuel for use in ocean-going vessels).

“Treated biogas” for the purpose of this FOA means a product that meets all the following requirements:

- (1) It is produced from biogas.
- (2) It does not require removal of additional components to be suitable for its designated use (e.g., as a biointermediate or to produce biogas-derived renewable fuel).
- (3) It is used in a biogas closed distribution system as biointermediate or to produce biogas-derived renewable fuel.

“Undenatured ethanol” for the purpose of this FOA means a liquid that meets one of the definitions in paragraph (1) of this definition:

- (1)
 - (i) Ethanol that has not been denatured as required in 27 CFR parts 19 through 21.
 - (ii) Specifically denatured alcohol as defined in 27 CFR 21.11.
- (2) Undenatured ethanol is not renewable fuel.

“Unit Operation” for the purposes of this FOA, is a basic process operations involving a physical or chemical transformation.

“Utilizable Biogenic Carbon” or **“Utilizable Carbon”** for the purposes of this FOA, is define as the carbon contained in all the biofuels and coproducts generated from a process. Carbon found in waste streams, or streams consumed as a part of the production process, is not included in this calculation. For instance, CO₂ from a fermentation, light gasses produced from biomass feedstock used for process heat, or char from a catalytic fast pyrolysis process would be considered traditional waste streams, even though there are technologies that can utilize these streams to further reduce the Carbon Index (CI) score and benefit the overall economics of a process. This calculation for utilizable biogenic carbon will be different between technologies and it is up to the Applicant to determine and argue the applicability of their process streams under this definition.

“Waste Carbon Dioxide” for the purpose of this FOA, refers to any waste carbon dioxide (CO₂) produced as a byproduct from fermentation or the combustion of biomass or other biopower processes.