

Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Marine and Hydrokinetic Technology Advancement and Data Dissemination

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0001837

FOA Type: Initial

CFDA Number: 81.087

FOA Issue Date:	4/30/2018	
Informational Webinar:	5/10/2018, 1:00pm ET	
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	5/31/2018, 5:00pm ET	
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	7/17/2018, 5:00pm ET	
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments: 8/22/2018, 5:00		
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	September 2018	
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations	December 2018	

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the Selection.



Table of Contents

I.	ı	Funding Opportunity Description	1
	Α.	Description/Background	1
	В.	Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest	
	C.	Applications Specifically Not of Interest	15
	D.	Authorizing Statutes	16
II.		Award Information	16
	Α.	Award Overview	16
	i	Estimated Funding	
	i	i. Period of Performance	
	i	ii. New Applications Only	
	В.	EERE Funding Agreements	
	i	Cooperative Agreements	
	i	ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs	
III.	ı	Eligibility Information	18
	Α.	Eligible Applicants	15
		Individuals	
		i. Domestic Entities	
		ii. Foreign Entities	
		v. Incorporated Consortia	
		v. Unincorporated Consortia	
	В.	Cost Sharing	
	i	Legal Responsibility	
	i	i. Cost Share Allocation	
	i	ii. Cost Share Types and Allowability	22
	i	v. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	23
	,	v. Cost Share Verification	23
	١	vi. Cost Share Paymentvi.	23
	C.	Compliance Criteria	24
	i	. Compliance Criteria	24
	D.	Responsiveness Criteria	25
	Ε.	Other Eligibility Requirements	25
	i	Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development	
		Centers Included as a Subrecipient	
	F.	Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review	
	G.	Questions Regarding Eligibility	27
IV.	,	Application and Submission Information	27
	Α.	Application Process	27
	i	. Additional Information on EERE Exchange	28
	В.	Application Forms	
	C.	Content and Form of the Concept Paper	29
	i	. Concept Paper Content Requirements	29
	D	Content and Form of the Full Application	31

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-<u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

A.

VI.	A	ward Administration Information	56
E		Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates	55
_	٧.		
	iv		
	iii		
	ii.		
	i.	Overview	
).	Evaluation and Selection Process	
	i.	Program Policy Factors	
C	2.	Other Selection Factors	
	3.	Standards for Application Evaluation	
	iii	·	
	ii.	1!	
	i.	Concept Papers	
A	۸.	Technical Review Criteria	
V.	A	pplication Review Information	
	ix	•••	
	vi		
	vi	, 6	
	vi		
	٧.	Foreign Travel	48
	iv	. Construction	48
	iii	. Performance of Work in the United States	47
	ii.		
	i.	Allowable Costs	46
J		Funding Restrictions	
1.		Intergovernmental Review	
F	1.	Submission Dates and Times	
	3.	Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management	
	:	Post-Award Information Requests	
Е		Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	
	X۷		
	χi	•	
	xi		
	xi		
	xi.		
	х.		
	ix		
	vi	•	
	vi		
	v. vi		
	٧.		
	iv		
	ii. iii		
	i.		
		_ 11 . 10	_

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Award Notices56

	i.	Ineligible Submissions	56
	ii.	Concept Paper Notifications	56
	iii.	Full Application Notifications	56
	iv.	Successful Applicants	57
	٧.	Alternate Selection Determinations	57
	vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	57
В.	Α	dministrative and National Policy Requirements	57
	i.	Registration Requirements	57
	ii.	Award Administrative Requirements	59
	iii.	Foreign National Access to DOE Sites	59
	iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting	59
	٧.	National Policy Requirements	59
	vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	60
	vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications	60
	viii.	Statement of Federal Stewardship	62
	ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement	62
	х.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting	63
	xi.	Intellectual Property Provisions	63
	xii.	Reporting	63
	xiii.	Go/No-Go Review	64
	xiv.	Conference Spending	64
	XV.	UCC Financing Statements	64
VII.	Que	stions/Agency Contacts	65
VIII.	Oth	er Information	
VIII.			65
	F	OA Modificationsnformational Webinar	65
A.	F Ir	OA Modificationsformational Webinar	65 65
A. B.	F Ir G	OA Modifications	65 65 66
A. B. C.	F Ir G	OA Modifications Iformational Webinar Iovernment Right to Reject or Negotiate	
A. B. C. D.	F Ir G C	OA Modificationsformational Webinar	
A. B. C. D. E.	F Ir G C T E	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F.	F Ir G C T E	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F.	F Ir C T E N	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. G.	F Ir G C T E N	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	F Ir G C T E N N	OA Modifications	65
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J.	F Ir G C T E N N R R	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. F. G. H. J.	F Ir G C T E N N R R	OA Modifications	65
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K.	F Ir G C T E N N R R	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K.	F Ir G C T E N N R R R	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K.	F Ir	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K. M	F Irring G C C T E N N N R R T T G i. ii. R C C	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K. L. M	F Irring G C C T E N N N R R T T G i. ii. R C C	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I. M. N. O.	FINITE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	OA Modifications	
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I. J. K. D. C. P. Q.	FINE COLUMN FOR THE C	OA Modifications	65

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-<u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



Appendix	Appendix C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in			
the Unite	he United States8			
1.	Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient	80		
2.	Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States	80		
Appendix	c D - Data Management Plan	82		
Appendix	Appendix E – Metrics			



I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Description/Background

The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) invests in research and development (R&D) as part of the Department of Energy's (DOE) broad portfolio approach to addressing our Nation's energy and environmental challenges. Knowledge generated by EERE early-stage R&D enables U.S. industries, businesses, and entrepreneurs to develop and deploy innovative energy technologies and gives them the competitive edge needed to excel in the rapidly changing global energy economy.

Hydropower and marine and hydrokinetic (MHK) energy generate renewable electricity that supports domestic economic prosperity and energy security while enhancing the reliability and resiliency of the U.S. power grid. EERE's Water Power Technologies Office (WPTO) conducts early-stage research and development to strengthen the body of scientific and engineering knowledge enabling industry to develop new technologies that increase U.S. hydropower and MHK generation.

Marine and hydrokinetic technologies convert the energy of waves, tides, and river and ocean currents into electricity and have the potential to provide millions of Americans with locally sourced, clean, and reliable energy. MHK is also a predictable, forecastable resource with a generation profile complimentary to the seasonal or temporal variations of other resources such as onshore wind and solar, which can enhance its contributions to grid resilience and reliability. MHK technologies also have the potential to provide cost-effective energy for numerous existing maritime markets, including non-grid connected or remote coastal areas, ocean-based sensors, monitoring equipment (for civilian, scientific, industrial, and national security functions), and autonomous vehicle recharging at sea, as well as reducing desalination costs by avoiding the step of generating electricity.

MHK technologies are at an early stage of development due to the fundamental scientific and engineering challenges of generating power from dynamic, low-velocity and high-density waves and currents, while surviving in corrosive ocean environments. These challenges are intensified by high costs and lengthy permitting processes associated with in-water testing. WPTO's early-stage R&D work in MHK focuses on addressing scientific and engineering challenges to enable breakthroughs that have broad, industry-wide benefits.

WPTO's strategy to help catalyze MHK development focuses primarily on technology research and design tools to enable industry to reduce cost and improve performance of MHK technology concepts. This research involves testing proof-of-concept systems in laboratory and ocean settings to understand performance characteristics, identify and mitigate reliability risks, and provide data to inform future R&D to improve early-stage designs across the industry. WPTO is committed to investment in early-stage R&D that enables the domestic MHK industry to advance toward achieving cost competitiveness. WPTO also supports efforts to model and predict the environmental effects of marine energy devices through research that simulates device-ecosystem interactions and enables industry to develop new technologies that more accurately monitor devices in the water. WPTO research generates new data and synthesizes and disseminates existing data that would not otherwise be available to the MHK community, resource agencies, and regulators.

This FOA announces DOE's intent to support MHK R&D projects in three Topic Areas: (1) Early Stage Device Design Research, (2) Controls and Power Take Off (PTO) Design Integration and Testing, and (3) Dissemination of Environmental Data and Analyses to Facilitate the Marine Energy Regulatory Process.

Topic Area 1 (TA 1): Early Stage Device Design Research

The goal of this Topic Area is to provide funding support for early-stage development and evaluation of next generation wave and tidal/current systems. This topic will target high potential prototype scale systems which can be proven through numerical simulations and testing validation. Through various testing scenarios including lab, tank, and open water, projects will demonstrate that devices are capable of achieving high performance with a clear path forward towards testing larger-scale devices in relevant lab or open-water environments. Investments at these scales will advance a technological pipeline towards achieving cost competitiveness in maritime markets (i.e. non-grid), while working toward long-term cost competitiveness at the utility scale.

For TA 1, WPTO anticipates selecting up to 10 awards, ranging from \$500K – \$3.5M for the DOE share. A minimum 20% additional Cost Share is required. The duration of the projects should be proposed by the applicant, though not to exceed 3 years.

<u>Topic Area 2 (TA 2): Controls and Power Take Off (PTO) Design Integration and Testing</u>

This Topic Area focuses on early-stage design of PTO and control systems in parallel. Past experience in controls implementation has shown that the best

results are achieved by designing a PTO in conjunction with a control system. Significant improvements in costs and risks can be achieved by testing a functional PTO with control system in a laboratory setting at a sufficient scale such that test results can be scaled up to predict full scale performance. Design and development of prototype PTO and control systems will be conducted concurrently, and each will inform the other. PTOs will be built with an operational real time control system at a relevant scale in a laboratory or other appropriate testing environment.

For TA 2, WPTO anticipates selecting up to 6 awards ranging from \$750K – \$2.0M for the DOE share. A minimum 20% additional Cost Share is required. The duration of the projects should be proposed by the applicant, though not to exceed 3 years.

<u>Topic Area 3 (TA 3): Dissemination of Environmental Data and Analyses to</u> <u>Facilitate the Marine Energy Regulatory Process</u>

This Topic Area aims to increase regulatory familiarity with (1) the various types of MHK technologies and (2) the current scientific understanding of potential environmental impacts, with the ultimate goal of reducing time and costs for MHK device permitting processes. As the MHK industry is still in the early stages of development, relatively few MHK projects have gone through the entire permitting process. As a result, federal, state, and local regulators are not always familiar with the various MHK technologies, how they interact with the aquatic environment, and the relevant potential environmental risks associated with the technologies. Given limited resources, regulators may make decisions based on limited background information, which can result in a lengthy and costly regulatory and permitting process.

While new research examining environmental concerns accumulates, it is critical that this information continues to be actively and effectively communicated to the regulatory community. This information can be incorporated into the permitting process in order to reduce the burden faced by technology developers looking to test prototype systems. Projects funded under TA 3 will leverage existing research, publications, reports, previous activities, events, and results to propose materials, activities, and methodologies that can ultimately inform and improve the process for permitting and licensing projects.

For TA 3, WPTO anticipates selecting up to 2 awards ranging from \$800K – \$1.6M for the DOE share. No Cost Share is required for this Topic Area. The duration of the projects should be proposed by the applicant, though not to exceed 3 years.



B. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest

Topic Area 1 (TA 1): Early Stage Device Design Research

TA 1 supports the priority to advance MHK technologies that will be ready for future testing and validation in the open ocean at sites such as Pacific Marine Energy Center - South Energy Test Site (PMEC-SETS) for WECs and at other equivalent scale open water test sites for current energy converters (CECs) and tidal energy converters (TECs). TA 1's scope does not imply that technology must test at these locations at the conclusion of Budget Period 2, but rather that the early stage technology will have matured for future open ocean testing. These MHK technologies need to maintain high techno-economic potential, defined as technical feasibility and cost competitiveness for the target market, while the technology is matured during the project duration. Design development and scale testing will decrease system risks and validate numerical performance modeling. Identifying and decreasing system risks, to the extent practical early in the development process, will enable better design choices and management decisions. At the conclusion of the project, the technology and results need to show that the design is ready for future testing at larger scale in an open water testing environment. The desired long term impact of this early-stage R&D investment is to increase the energy capture, reliability, and survivability of MHK systems that enables the domestic MHK industry to advance toward achieving cost competitiveness.

The goals of this Topic Area are to support early-stage research to (1) design high techno-economic potential prototype next-generation wave and tidal/current systems, and (2) mature the readiness of the system designs for future larger scale testing.

Projects must demonstrate that devices are capable of achieving and maintaining techno-economic potential and design readiness by meeting targeted goals relative to the baseline system as proposed by the technology developer and outline a clear path forward towards testing larger-scale devices in relevant openwater environments. During the period of performance, as the proposed technology matures, further innovation will be required to ensure the high technoeconomic potential of the technology is maintained as it is scaled up and tested and sub-systems are integrated into a functional solution.

Applicants must establish a baseline techno-economic potential and design readiness for their system. The application must demonstrate that preliminary design, numerical modeling, and, to the extent practical, scale testing validation

has been completed. This will ensure that the system is ready for Budget Period 1 (BP1) activities. Table 1 (later in this section) provides more information on the scope and requirements for TA 1 awards.

Techno-economic potential of the MHK technologies must be demonstrated in successful applications. The following metrics are required to establish the potential of the technology (see Appendix E for more information):

- Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) and Levelized Cost of Water (LCOW) if applicable*
- Power to weight ratio
- Annual Energy Production (AEP)
- Peak to average absorbed power ratio
- Applicant proposed performance metrics tailored to the technology

*In the case of other technology applications, such as a desalination project, LCOW may be proposed as an additional metric.

Design readiness of the MHK technologies must be demonstrated in the application by a combination of the following readiness indicators:

- Prototype design with initial numerical performance modeling completed
- Hydrodynamic modeling completed
- Power capture modeling completed
- Applicant provided metric or readiness indicators tailored to the technology

Applicants should identify the standards based approach used that will lead to certification and eventual commercialization. They should also identify any long lead materials necessary for BP1 or anticipated to be necessary for BP2.

Budget Period 1 (BP1) (up to 18 Months)

The following is a general overview of the scope of activities in BP1. For a full listing of expected tasks and required deliverables for BP1, see Table 1.

Applicants should outline the tasks required to progress the device concept from an early-stage design/prototype to a larger-scale prototype system design during BP1. Design readiness tasks include:

- Dynamic controls and PTO analysis
- Loads analysis
- Survivability analysis
- Materials analysis
- Structural optimization analysis

The final design will be completed in BP1 to prepare for Budget Period 2 (BP2) procurement, fabrication, and assembly of the larger-scale system that will be tested in a relevant environment. This scaled system must be capable of capturing wave or tidal/current energy and converting it into electricity, drinkable water, or other non-grid product. When practical, full scale representative subsystems will be used. The physical scale of the system to be tested in a wave tank or open water is anticipated to be 1/30th to 1/10th scale; however, the scale should be proposed and justified by the applicant in order to meet Topic Area 1 objectives. In addition, the applicants should identify testing locations (tank and/or open water) for the scaled testing in BP2. At a minimum, an informal agreement should be reached with the testing facility prior to a Go/No-Go decision.

If the applicant is proposing open water testing in BP2, permitting and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) activities will be initiated in BP1 as appropriate to prepare for procurement, fabrication, and testing activities. NEPA activities could include preparation of a Biological Assessment and/or Environmental Assessment for any applicant proposing open water testing.

BP1 will conclude with the delivery of a system design with all critical components integrated in the system when practical. The BP1 design will be a numerical or "paper" design. Upon finalizing the design, a Go/No-Go decision will be made to confirm the MHK technology meets the techno-economic potential and design readiness metrics identified in the application and determine if the awardee is ready to begin activities for prototype device build and testing. The technoeconomic potential and design readiness metrics' performance will be proposed in the application and documented in the Statement of Project Objectives at award. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. More information about the Go/No-Go Review can be found in the table below and in Section VI.B.xiii. Independent expertise will be leveraged to review awardee's calculation of techno-economic potential and design readiness in the BP1 Go/No-Go process prior to moving into BP2. Applicants must describe how they plan to share data with the independent evaluators. Contracts between the National Labs and DOE contain nondisclosure provisions that require the Labs to keep certain data confidential. If an awardee wishes to sign an additional nondisclosure agreement with a Lab, the negotiation should be included as a subtask in the Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO), and the timeframe for negotiation must not exceed 60 days after the award is made.



Budget Period 2 (BP2) (up to 18 Months)

The following is a general overview of the scope of activity in BP2. For a full listing of expected tasks and required deliverables for BP2 see Table 1.

BP2 activities will commence with procurement and system fabrication. Checkout and readiness testing of all applicable sub-systems should be conducted to the extent appropriate to reduce testing risk. As necessary, NEPA and other permitting activities will be completed in BP2 prior to testing. If necessary, the system will be tested upon obtaining a final NEPA determination and permits.

Prototype fabrication, assembly, and testing of the system will provide key results that will enable increased accuracy in modeling of prototype-scale characteristics to calculate techno-economic potential and design readiness. System performance must be measured to calculate techno-economic potential and design readiness in order to determine baseline reliability, maintainability, availability, survivability, and any other necessary measures to reduce risk of the proposed technology as well as validation against the baseline design proposed in the application. Detailed plans for performance measurement (including a listing of the required suite of sensors) and data acquisition will be required.

For the scaled prototype testing in BP2, the applicant must select an appropriate location with a wave or tidal/current climate that matches the proposed scale. For example, if testing at $1/30^{th}$ to $1/10^{th}$ scale, applicants may propose wave tanks, partially sheltered locations, or open water sites with wave or tidal/current climates that are relatively benign and represent a scaled climate appropriate for the device being tested. The applicant should propose a duration of in-water testing for BP2 and provide justification that the duration is adequate to gather system performance data necessary for numerical analysis of the MHK technology.

The BP2 budget should also plan for any maintenance and repair required to operate the device for the test duration.

Finally, decommissioning will be completed in accordance with permitting requirements and transportation and storage of the device should be accounted for in the plan.

At the conclusion of BP2, awardees will develop final reports and deliverables which summarize the achievement of all objectives outlined in the SOPO. The final report should include, but are not limited to, the following objectives:

- Increased certainty of techno-economic potential of the full system
- Assessment of design readiness



- Evaluation of readiness to test in the open ocean at larger scale
- Risk register that indicates which risks have been retired, reduced, and remain open that can only be closed by testing at a larger scale
- Risk mitigation strategies will be included for remaining risks when practical
- Demonstration of refined understanding and predictability of system dynamics in order to facilitate future full scale design
- Quantification of all relevant costs to better estimate LCOE at full scale
- Progression of operational and maintenance experience, including development of strategies to increase reliability, maintainability, survivability, and availability
- Development of a roadmap showing how the maturing MHK technology will comply with existing standards and technical specifications. Conformity to a hierarchy of standards is recommended.

For TA 1, DOE encourages applicants to perform all work within the U.S. Applicants that propose testing activities at international testing centers must justify why the work scope cannot be performed within the U.S. Section IV.J.iii and Appendix C provide more detail and requirements for applications that propose work outside of the U.S.

Tasks, Deliverables, and Duration

Table 1 describes requirements for the tasks, deliverables, and duration of each budget period. The specific tasks, schedule, deliverables, and budget should be proposed and justified by the applicant, while staying within the requirements detailed in Table 1.



Table 1: Topic Area 1 Project Tasks and Deliverables Requirements			
	Tasks to be Performed	Minimum required deliverables	Duration
BP1: Prototype-Scale System Design	 Develop detailed prototype-scale wave or tidal/current system design. System will generate electricity, water, or other non-grid product. Mature design readiness Laboratory testing of any components/subsystems to reduce risks (e.g. PTO under relevant conditions) Conduct numerical modeling to predict performance at wave tank or open water test location and confirm final design techno-economic potential Develop a system fabrication plan with defensible cost estimates (e.g., cost estimates supported with quotes) and assembly plan Develop a preliminary Installation, Operations and Maintenance (IO&M) plan (including securing access to required infrastructure, e.g. ships and ports, if applicable) and testing plan (including measures to baseline system against applicant defined key performance metrics for reliability, maintainability, and availability at a minimum) Develop a risk management plan; capture risks and mitigation or retirement strategies in a risk register Develop a test plan. Confirm wave tank and/or open water test location and availability and perform necessary site and resource characterization tasks If applicable, establish contact with all necessary state and federal permitting and regulatory bodies, develop a plan to obtain required permits and comply with relevant regulations, and begin required permitting processes 	 Project management plan Report describing the final system design with system integration plan. Describe how design readiness has been successfully matured during BP1. Component and subsystems test and verification report Updated performance predictions from numerical simulations Updated techno-economic potential metrics (see Appendix E for more information) Leveled Cost of Energy (LCOE) Power to weight ratio Annual Energy Production (AEP) Peak to average absorbed power ratio Applicant provided performance metric tailored to the technology System fabrication and assembly plans, management plan, and risk register. Projected data uploaded to the MHK Data Repository (mhkdr.openei.org) Test plan for BP2 testing Complete system overview and component overview content models. More information can be found at https://mhkdr.openei.org/models/. If testing in the open ocean is planned for BP2, the following requirements also apply: Preliminary IO&M and testing plan Permitting status report and BP2 permitting plan 	Proposed by applicant, maximum of 18 months
Go/No-Go 1	Degree to which the design readiness has been matured Degree to which the awardee's target techno-economic potential metrics are maintained or achieved Likelihood that the project can be completed on schedule and budget in BP2		2 months, will take place during BP 1 to ensure project continuity
BP2: Fabrication, Testing, and Decommissioning	 As applicable update IO&M and test plan Continue to mature design readiness Complete system procurement, fabrication, and assembly Checkout and testing of all applicable sub-systems as necessary Obtain required permits as needed Initiate testing Conduct activities required for regulatory compliance as needed Test the system and gather adequate system performance data necessary for numerical analysis of the system. Perform a detailed analysis of experimental measurements Recover and decommission system 	 Updated risk management plan and risk register Pre-commissioning (including onsite checkout and testing procedures) plan Final IO&M and testing plan Test report System performance baseline according to applicant defined key metrics for reliability maintainability and availability at a minimum Updated techno-economic potential metrics calculation based on field test data. Final report describing project progress, techno-economic performance metrics, discussion on how successfully design maturity has been increased during BP2, IO&M, testing activities, and decommissioning activities, system performance validation (reliability, maintainability, availability, techno-economic performance), lessons learned, opportunities identified for improving techno-economic performance before advancing to larger scale, and next steps in technology development and commercialization pathway, roadmap showing how the maturing MHK technology will comply with existing standards and technical specifications Upload comprehensive project data to the MHK Data Repository (mhkdr.openei.org) Updated system overview and component overview content models, and complete LCOE content models 	Proposed by applicant, maximum of 18 months



1			
	Fotal		Maximum of 36 months
	•		

Note: No system procurement, fabrication, or testing activities of the BP2 MHK device to be tested may take place during BP1. However benchtop subsystem fabrication and testing is allowable.

<u>Topic Area 2 (TA 2): Controls and Power Take Off (PTO) Design Integration and Testing</u>

TA 2 activities will focus on PTO and control system design, development, and testing. Design and simulation efforts driven by system level requirements will enable the optimization of a control system and PTO. It is intended that the PTO design and controls research will be pursued and developed concurrently, such that advancements to the PTO design will impact developments to the control system and vice versa. Therefore, mature PTOs approaching final design with limited room to incorporate changes, or iterate design parameters as a result of advancements to the control system, are not preferred under this Topic Area. Instead, PTOs ranging from early-stage concepts with few design details, to PTOs where a design exists but there is still room for significant change based on the controls research, are desired. The scale of the prototype PTO and control system should be large enough to allow for results to be accurately scaled up to understand performance of a full scale system. In some cases, full scale or near full scale prototypes may be necessary.

Testing in a controlled environment should be conducted using a realistic wave model as input, driving the test apparatus, emulating a wide range of relevant wave conditions. Applicants must demonstrate that the proposed test facility has the capability and experience to achieve stated goals. For testing in an uncontrolled, in-water environment, the operational PTO along with the device should be at a scale that is sufficient to meet Topic Area 2 goals. It is anticipated that in-water testing cases would be for applications which require smaller scale devices.

For the purposes of this FOA, PTO components are defined as the mechanical and electrical components responsible for converting the captured mechanical power into the electrical power leaving the device (e.g. generators, gearboxes, power electronics, and connectors). Advanced controls are defined as hardware and software solutions that improve energy conversion, reliability, loading and optimize the performance of a device under a range of operating conditions. For example, control system advancements could increase availability by reducing system loads in certain conditions.

There are a number of different ways PTO and controls research in parallel can improve system performance. Considering LCOE as an example, PTO and control system research can target increases in Annual Energy Production (AEP), reduction of Capital Expenditures (CapEx), and/or reduction of Operational Expenditures (OpEx). For instance, focus on load reduction or load shedding could reduce peak to average absorbed power ratio and increase reliability, both of which directly reduce CapEx and OpEx.

Applicants are encouraged to team with an end use partner to define system requirements and integration considerations, where the PTO is directly intended for use in an existing MHK device. Applicants could also propose a crosscutting design to the extent feasible, provided they demonstrate that the PTO would be used in an MHK device ultimately intended for commercial application.

Applicants are required to use a minimum of four metrics, one of which must be LCOE, to evaluate technology advancement and project success. Additional metrics will be proposed by the applicant, allowing for flexibility in technology types, technology applications, and project approaches. Applicants should also briefly justify their choice of metrics to be targeted under the project, as it is intended that these metrics will prove useful to both DOE and the awardee as well as the broader industry. Additional metrics may include, but are not limited to the following metrics (see Appendix E for more information):

- LCOE (required) and Levelized Cost of Water (LCOW) if applicable
- Peak to average absorbed power ratio
- Controllability
- AEP
- Energy capture efficiency
- Load reduction
- Additional metrics may be proposed and justified by the applicant

While control system robustness is not required as one of the three official project metrics, awardees will be required to evaluate robustness and stability and look at sensitivity to effects such as inaccuracy of sensor signals and other challenges.

The project period of performance may be up to three years in duration and should include two budget periods separated by a Go/No-Go decision point.

BP1 activities should focus on the following areas:

- PTO design work
- Numerical model development



- Control system design and modeling
- Co-optimization of PTO and control system design
- Producing a final design
- Planning for BP2 testing including Data Acquisition (DAQ) and sensor requirements and operational capability of controls hardware and software

At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. The Go/No-Go decision point will be similar to a design review where it is demonstrated that the system is robust and risks have been reduced for fabrication and testing of the PTO and control system. Go/No-Go criteria specific to Topic Area 2 will also include but are not limited to the following criteria:

- Design standards and certifications
- Quality of the numerical modeling and control simulation results
- Quality of the final design and potential for fabrication and high performance operation of the PTO
- Likelihood of meeting performance improvement goals according to the four proposed metrics whose values will be recalculated based on the PTO and controls final design and new performance modeling results

For more information about the Go/No-Go Review, see Section VI.B.xiii.

BP2 activities should focus on the following areas:

- PTO fabrication and transportation
- Test planning and preparation including Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) design, ensuring successful operation, control and data recording for PTO performance
- Conducting testing successfully and refining numerical models based on test results in parallel as needed
- Finalizing activities and results to show a path forward and how the knowledge gained through the project leads to readiness for utility scale, open water prototype testing, or in the case of other maritime market applications, demonstrate readiness as appropriate

<u>Topic Area 3 (TA 3): Dissemination of Environmental Data and Analyses to</u> <u>Facilitate the Marine Energy Regulatory Process</u>

TA3 will focus on developing materials, activities, and methodologies to ensure that the most up-to-date scientific understanding of environmental impacts will inform and facilitate federal and state regulatory processes, with the ultimate aim of reducing the time and costs of permitting MHK devices.

WPTO and other organizations around the world have supported research to identify and understand the potential environmental impacts of marine renewable energy. Much of this research was recently compiled in the 2016 Annex IV State of the Science Report: Environmental Effects of Marine Renewable Energy Development Around the World¹, which provides a comprehensive review and synthesis of the state of scientific understanding of multiple potential environmental impacts of marine renewable energy¹.

The International Energy Agency Ocean Energy Systems (IEA-OES) recently published a position paper² that highlights the state of knowledge that drives permitting processes for the MHK industry in most of the OES member nations, and how that scientific knowledge is, or is not, utilized during the processes for permitting projects². The report highlights the fact that despite the growing body of scientific data, the regulatory community continues to perceive MHK deployments as high risk. While there are still unknowns associated with the deployment of multiple marine renewable energy devices in arrays, the report states that risks of some environmental concerns (e.g. underwater noise, energy removal, entanglement, and electromagnetic fields (EMF)) associated with single devices are considered minimal².

Within the U.S. there have been some efforts to make information on environmental impacts more accessible. In addition to disseminating the State of the Science Report, pilot workshops held in 2015 offered members of U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies an opportunity to become more familiar with MHK technologies and the latest research examining potential environmental impacts. The workshop materials³ were well received and participants came to the conclusion that the impacts of small, single deployments are likely minimal and the monitoring requirements for such devices should be adjusted accordingly³. It is critical that existing and new information is actively disseminated to the regulatory community and incorporated into the regulatory process, where appropriate, in order to effectively reduce the burden faced by technology developers looking to test their prototype systems.

Up to two awards will be made under this topic area. Projects with a limited, regional focus will be eligible for up to \$800,000 in funding, while projects with a nation-wide focus will be eligible for up to \$1,600,000. The duration of the projects should be proposed by the applicant, though not to exceed 3 years.

¹ https://tethys.pnnl.gov/publications/state-of-the-science-2016

² https://www.ocean-energy-systems.org/news/oes-publishes-a-position-paper-the-state-of-knowledge-for-environmental-effects/

https://tethys.pnnl.qov/publications/review-environmental-impacts-marine-and-hydrokinetic-projects-inform-regulatory Questions about this FOA? Email MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov

Applications should include detailed information on:

- Specific Challenges: Applicants should identify what they view as the most substantial challenges to having existing environmental information utilized in the permitting process. Consequently, applicants should explain how the proposed approach will address those specific challenges.
- Target Audience: The applicant should identify which specific federal/state/local regulatory agencies will be the target audience for the proposed activities.
- Geographical Region(s): Applicants should identify which geographical region(s) will be targeted for activities and provide rationale for why the regions were chosen.
- o Format: Applicants should provide information on the format of the envisioned activities and deliverables (e.g. in-person meetings, webinars, etc.), and justification as to why the chosen formats are the most efficient or effective methods.
- Content: All activities should strive to increase regulators' familiarity with different MHK device types, how they interact with the marine environment, and the current state of scientific understanding regarding the most common environmental risks of MHK deployments. All applications should detail the specific content and sources of the content they intend to utilize.
- Evaluation Method: Applicants should provide details on the mechanism they intend to use to determine the efficacy of the planned activities, and how the results will be used to refine the methodology.
- **Deliverables/Reference Materials:** A considerable amount of time may pass between when regulators participate in the proposed activities and when they must evaluate and process a MHK application at their agencies. Additionally, staff turnover may results in new individuals joining a permitting process that is underway. As such, succinct, high-quality reference materials are imperative. Applicants should provide a detailed description of any reference materials or any other deliverable that will result from the project, their intended use, and their longevity. At the end of the project period, all created reference materials will be made publicly available on the Tethys database⁴.

TA 3 Proposed Schedule

Projects funded under this topic area will span up to 3 years and should be comprised of at least 2 budget periods, separated by a Go/No-Go Decision point.

Budget Period 1 (BP2) (12-18 months): Extensive planning of activities and execution of at least one targeted activity. Evaluation of the efficacy of the activity and identification of areas for improvement for future activities.

Go/No-Go Decision Point: At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. Go/No-Go criteria specific to Topic Area 3 will also include but are not limited to the following criteria:

- The efficacy of first activities as indicated by the evaluation method
- The proposed plan to address any shortcomings or problems

For more information about the Go/No-Go Review, see Section VI.B.xiii.

Budget Period 2 (BP2) (12-18 months): Includes alteration of any planned activities as determined by an initial assessment and completion of additional activities and deliverables.

Where gaps in expertise of the prime recipient exist, DOE encourages working with Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) and other entities in order to ensure the project team has the most relevant and robust expertise and utilizes the extensive work that has been performed at the FFRDCs to date. These partnerships should be included in the application.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii and Appendix C.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I and II of the FOA
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics)
- Applications that involve lobbying activities
- Applications considering energy conversion technologies that do not extract energy from waves, tides, river currents, or ocean currents



Topic Area 1:

 Applications that propose using TA 1 funds to support or supplement ongoing fabrication or demonstration projects that have received federal funding or commitments of federal funding

Topic Area 2:

 Applications that propose to address environmental/social barriers to open water testing

Topic Area 3:

 Applications that propose to conduct new environmental monitoring or research

D. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute is the Energy Policy Act of 2005, section 931(a)(2)(E)(i) and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA), Section-633 Marine and Hydrokinetic Renewable Energy Research and Development, Public Law 110-140 (Dec. 19, 2007).

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make approximately \$22.3M of Federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making up to 18 awards under this FOA. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$500K and \$3.5M.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

As shown in Table 2 below, for Topic Area 1, Early State Device Design Research, the Program anticipates selecting up to 10 awards, ranging from \$500K - \$3.5M for the DOE share. For Topic Area 2, Controls and PTO Design Integration and Testing, the Program anticipates selecting up to 6 awards ranging from \$750K - \$2.0M for the DOE share. For Topic Area 3,



Dissemination of Environment Data and Analyses to Facilitate the Marine Energy Regulatory Process, WPTO anticipates selecting up to 2 awards ranging from \$800K - \$1.6M for the DOE share. The duration of the projects should be proposed by the applicant, though not to exceed 3 years.

Table 2: Award Overview

Topic Area	Maximum of Awards	Period of Performance (up to)	Federal funding per award (estimated)	Cost Share
Topic 1: Early Stage Device Design Research	10		\$500K-\$3.5M	20%
Topic 2: Controls and Power Take Off Design Integration and Testing	6	3 years	\$750K-\$2.0M	20%
Topic 3: Dissemination of Environmental Data and Analyses to Facilitate the Marine Energy Regulatory Process	2		\$800K-\$1.6M	0%

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE will make a determination to continue the project, re-direct the project, or discontinue funding the project.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.



B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through Cooperative Agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients.

Through Cooperative Agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via Cooperative Agreement. See Section VI.B.ix of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs

In most cases, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are funded independently of the remainder of the Project Team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC Project Team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the Prime Recipient for the project will remain the Prime Recipient for the project.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these initial requirements, it will be considered non-responsive, removed from further evaluation, and ineligible for any award.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Individuals

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.



ii. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular State or territory of the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are NOT eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

iii. Foreign Entities

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the "Individuals" or "Domestic Entities" sections above, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a Prime Recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States to be the Prime Recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the Prime Recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the Prime Recipient on an award). To do so, the Applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement</u>. The applicant



does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a Subrecipient.

iv. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States, please refer to "Domestic Entities" above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in "Foreign Entities" above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

v. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the Prime Recipient/consortium representative. The Prime Recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the Prime Recipient/consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;



- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

B. Cost Sharing

Topic Areas 1 and 2: Cost Share 20%

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the Government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-Federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

Topic Area 3: Cost Share 0%

Cost share is not required for education and information dissemination projects.

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices B and C to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the Prime Recipient, the Prime Recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient's cost share obligation is expressed in the Assistance Agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.



ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each Project Team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/Contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal Program.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same Federal regulations as Federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 & 10 CFR 603.525-555 for additional guidance on cost sharing.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-Federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the Prime Recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, Prime Recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the Prime Recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the Prime Recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the Prime Recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

Concept Papers and Full Applications must meet all Compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions, including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

1. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

2. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and

 The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

3. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.E of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All "Applications Specifically Not of Interest," as described in Section I.C of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a Subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the [Enter Laboratory Name]
Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work
proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary



to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The Prime Recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

Applicants may only submit one Full Application for each topic area of this FOA. If an applicant submits more than one Full Application to the same topic area, EERE will only consider the last timely submission for evaluation. Any other submissions received listing the same applicant for the same topic area will be considered noncompliant and not eligible for further consideration. This limitation does not prohibit an applicant from collaborating on other applications (e.g., as a potential Subrecipient or partner) so long as the entity is only listed as the prime applicant on one Full Application submitted under this FOA.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application. At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/, unless specifically stated otherwise. EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete submissions. EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion. A control number will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all Application documents. You may obtain a control number at any time prior to the Concept Paper deadline.

To obtain a control number:

- Log into EERE Exchange http://EERE-Exchange.energy.gov.
- Locate the announcement number for this FOA.
- Click the "Apply" button.
- Complete the "Concept Paper Details" page.
- Click the "Create Concept Paper" button at the bottom of the page.
- A Control Number will be assigned by EERE Exchange.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise.
- Each must be written in English.



- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies.
 References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement.
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers and Full Applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, and Full Applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.ii of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission <u>PRIOR</u> to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the Application should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (<u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u>). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist Applicants in resolving issues.

Applicants that experience issue with submissions that result in late submissions: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties so severe that they are unable to submit their application by the deadline, the applicant should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist the applicant in resolving all issues (including finalizing submission on behalf of and with the applicant's concurrence). PLEASE NOTE, however, those applicants who are unable to submit their application on time due to their waiting until the last minute when network traffic is at its heaviest to submit their materials will not be able to use this process.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_1
ControlNumber LeadOrganization Project Part 2, etc.

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see Section III of the FOA).



Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description	
Cover Page	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.	
Technology Description	3 pages maximum	 Applicants are required to describe succinctly: The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; The target level of performance for the proposed technology (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application; The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project. 	
Addendum	3 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including: • Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the	



effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities.
Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a "discouraged" notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification posted on EERE Exchange at the close of that phase.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE Exchange to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. (See IV. A. above for information on obtaining a Control Number.)

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III of the FOA).



Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Submission	Components	File Name	
Full	Technical Volume (See Chart in Section	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Technic	
Application	IV.D.2)	alVolume	
(PDF, unless Statement of Project Objectives		ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO	
stated	(Microsoft Word format) (10 page limit)		
otherwise)	SF-424	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424	
	Budget Justification (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget _Justification	
	Summary for Public Release (1 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summa ry	
	Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide	
	Subrecipient Budget Justification, if applicable (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification	
	DOE O 412.1.A FWP for FFRDC, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FWP	
	Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCA uth	
	SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL	
	Foreign Entity and Performance of Work in the United States waiver requests, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver	
	U.S. Manufacturing Plans	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP	
	Data Management Plan	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP	
	Calculation Addendum	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Calculat ion	

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the



maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_1 ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_2, etc.

EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.ii of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber LeadOrganization TechnicalVolume".

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 20 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.ii of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.

Renewable Energy	
Project Overview (This section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	The Project Over Backgro organiza and dev the tech Project organiza improve factors i DOE Imp funding specifica anticipa necessa
Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)	• Relevan descript principle This sec to the g meet sp targets. outcome

The Project Overview should contain the following information:

- Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application.
- Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal.
- DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.

The Technical Description should contain the following information:

 Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project.

- Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results.
- Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state of the art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state of the art/technical baseline if the project is successful.

Workplan and Market Transformation Plan

(This section should constitute approximately 40% of the Technical Volume) The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure, Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:

- Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes.
- Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period.
- Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard work breakdown structure (WBS) for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as "we will then complete a proprietary process" is unacceptable). It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks.
- Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO.
- Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A Go/No-Go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. The Applicant should also provide the specific

	technical criteria to be used to make the Go/No-Go decision. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered "SMART" and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone. • End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. • Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points. • Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team's proposed management plan, including the following: • The overall approach to and organization for managing the work • The roles of each Project Team member • Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among Project Team members • The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices • The approach to project risk management • A description of how project changes will be handled • If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control • How communications will be maintained among Project	
	 Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following: Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product distribution. 	
Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)	 The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information: Describe the Project Team's unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key Subrecipients. 	

- Describe the Project Team's existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project.
- This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives.
- Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project.
- Attach one-page resumes for key participating team members as an appendix. Resumes do not count towards the page limit. Multipage resumes are not allowed.
- Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable.
- Attach letters of commitment from all Subrecipient/third party cost share providers as an appendix. Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit.
- Attach any letters of commitment from partners/end users as an appendix (1 page maximum per letter). Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit.
- For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly:
 - The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant;
 - Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant;
 - How the various efforts will be integrated and managed;
 - Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction;
 - Publication arrangements;
 - Intellectual Property issues; and
 - o Communication plans

iii. Statement of Project Objectives

Applicants are required to complete a Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO). A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 10 pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization SOPO".



iv. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization App424".

v. Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved rate agreement, vendor quotes). Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

vi. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the

Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Summary".

vii.Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. Save the Summary Slide in a single file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization Slide".

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology Summary;
- A description of the impact of the technology;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, Prime Recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

viii. Subrecipient Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification, EERE 335 (i.e., budget justification for each budget year and a cumulative budget) for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

ix. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1, Work Authorization System. DOE Order 412.1 and DOE O 412.1 (Field Work Proposal form) area available at the following link, under "DOE Budget Forms":

https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-admchg1/@@images/file. Save the FWP in a single PDF file using

the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization FWP".

x. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization FFRDCAuth".

xi. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-Federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xii. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable)

1. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.iii, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the



Full Application. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement</u>.

2. Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.K.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement.

xiii. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

As part of the application, applicants to Topic Areas 1 and 2 are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

The weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans during the review and selection process varies based on the particular FOA. Applicants should review Section V.A.ii of this FOA to determine the weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans under this FOA.

A U.S. Manufacturing Plan should contain the following or similar preamble: "If selected for funding, the applicant agrees to the following commitments as a condition of that funding:" and, after the preamble, the plan should include one or more specific and measureable commitments. For example, an applicant may commit particular types of products to be manufactured in the U.S. In addition to or instead of making a commitment tied to a particular product, the applicant may make other types of commitments still beneficial to U.S. manufacturing. An applicant may commit to a particular investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. (i.e., final assembly) or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology and manufacturing. For an applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. manufacturing plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

When an applicant that is a domestic small business, domestic educational institution, or nonprofit organization is selected for an award, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the applicant becomes part of the terms and conditions of the award. The applicant/awardee may request a waiver or modification of the U.S. Manufacturing Plan from DOE upon a showing that the original U.S. Manufacturing Plan is no longer economically feasible.

When an applicant that is a domestic large business is selected for an award, a class patent waiver applies as set forth in Section VIII. L. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of an invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the award will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

For other entity types that are selected for award, please see Section VIII.L regarding U.S. manufacturing commitments.

The following information applies to applicants for Topic Area 3:

EERE requires subject inventions (i.e., inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice under EERE awards) to be substantially manufactured in the United States by Project Teams and their licensees, as described below. The applicant may request a modification or waiver of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement.

1. Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions and Nonprofits Domestic Small businesses (including Small Business concerns), domestic educational institutions, and nonprofits that are Recipients or Subrecipients under EERE funding agreements must require their exclusive licensees to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States for any use or sale in the United States: (1) articles embodying subject inventions, and (2) articles produced through the use of subject inventions. This requirement does not apply to articles that are manufactured for use or sale overseas.

Domestic small businesses, domestic educational institutions and nonprofits must require their assignees to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their exclusive licensees.

These U.S. Manufacturing requirements do not apply to nonexclusive licensees.

2. Large Businesses, Foreign Entities, and State and Local Government Entities

Large businesses and foreign entities that are Recipients or Subrecipients under EERE funding agreements that take title to subject inventions through a patent waiver are required to substantially manufacture the following products in the United States: (1) products embodying subject inventions, and (2) products produced through the use of subject invention(s). This requirement applies to products that are manufactured for use or sale in the United States or overseas.

Large businesses and foreign entities must apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their assignees, licensees, and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity. Large businesses and foreign entities must require their assignees and entities acquiring a controlling interest in the large business or foreign entity to apply the same U.S. Manufacturing requirements to their licensees.

3. FFRDCs

DOE FFRDCs are subject to the U.S. Manufacturing requirements set forth in their Management and Operating Contracts. All other FFRDCs are subject to the U.S. Manufacturing requirements as set forth above, based on their size and for-profit status.

xiv. Data Management Plan

Applicants are required to submit a Data Management Plan with their Full Application. The Data Management Plan is a document that outlines the proposed plan for data sharing or preservation. Submission of a Data Management Plan with the Full Application is required; failure to submit a complete Data Management Plan may result in a determination of non-compliance for your Full Application. Guidance for preparing a Data Management Plan is included in Appendix D of the FOA.



xv. Calculation Addendum (Topic Area 1 and Topic Area 2 only)

The applicant must provide calculations and data to assist in the reviewers' assessment of quantitative claims in the Technical Volume. Descriptions of the calculations, data, and figures are required. Confidence range of calculations should be calculated when applicable. No additional narrative should be included in the Calculation Addendum. Any page with narrative in the Calculation Addendum will be removed prior to being provided to the reviewers.

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will post the Reviewer Comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

F. Post-Award Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to:

- Indirect cost information
- Other budget information
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Environmental Questionnaire

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or Federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the Federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a Federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a Federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a Federal award, the DOE may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable Federal cost principles for more information:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and **only** with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis. Pre-award costs can only be incurred if such costs would be reimbursable under the agreement if incurred after award.

Pre-Award expenditures are made at the Selectee's risk; EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the Selectee anticipated.

1. Pre-Award Costs Related to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute Federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the



environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse costs where the Prime Recipient incurred the costs prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Likewise, if a project is selected for negotiation of award, and the Prime Recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the Prime Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal Funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE Awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The Prime Recipient must flow down this requirement to its Subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the Prime Recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The Prime Recipient is responsible should any work under this Award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of if the work is performed by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.



3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file titled "ControlNumber_PerformanceofWork_Waiver". The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. The rules for property disposition are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316 as amended by 2 CFR 910.360.

vii.Lobbying

Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html) to ensure that non-Federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

viii. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a Federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-Federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict Federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal programs or activities.

ix. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories
- Timesheets or personnel hours report
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period
- Analogous information for some subrecipients
- Other items as required by DOE

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, describes how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.



ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state of the art to the proposed advancement; and
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the
 proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary,
 including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in
 the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed
 work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (30%) Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

 Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

 The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product distribution.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (20%)

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team
 to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of
 success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment
 of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance

provided in the "Department of Energy Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," which is available at:

https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current.

C. Other Selection Factors

Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.



ii. Pre-Selection Interviews

As part of the evaluation and selection process, EERE may invite one or more applicants to participate in Pre-Selection Interviews. Pre-Selection Interviews are distinct from and more formal than pre-selection clarifications (See Section V.D.iii of the FOA). The invited applicant(s) will meet with EERE representatives to provide clarification on the contents of the Full Applications and to provide EERE an opportunity to ask questions regarding the proposed project. The information provided by applicants to EERE through Pre-Selection Interviews contributes to EERE's selection decisions.

EERE will arrange to meet with the invited applicants in person at EERE's offices or a mutually agreed upon location. EERE may also arrange site visits at certain applicants' facilities. In the alternative, EERE may invite certain applicants to participate in a one-on-one conference with EERE via webinar, videoconference, or conference call.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for travel and other expenses relating to the Pre-Selection Interviews, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

EERE may obtain additional information through Pre-Selection Interviews that will be used to make a final selection determination. EERE may select applications for funding and make awards without Pre-Selection Interviews. Participation in Pre-Selection Interviews with EERE does not signify that applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

iii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iv. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

v. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award by September 2018 and making awards by December 2018.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE Exchange.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.J.ii of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.



iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the Prime Recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for Federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to



meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov.

This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. This step is required to apply to this FOA.

The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, <u>the</u> <u>remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to</u> <u>process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.</u>

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called an MPIN are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at https://www.fedconnect.net. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect Ready Set Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



note that Concept Papers, and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents
Submission of an application and supplemental information under this
FOA through electronic systems used by the Department of Energy,
including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized
representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access to DOE Sites

All applicants that ultimately enter into an award resulting from this FOA will be subject to the following requirement concerning foreign national involvement. Upon DOE's request, Prime Recipients must provide information to facilitate DOE's responsibilities associated with foreign national access to DOE sites, information, technologies, and equipment. A foreign national is defined as any person who was born outside the jurisdiction of the United States, is a citizen of a foreign government, and has not been naturalized under U.S. law. If the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients, contractors or vendors under the award, anticipate utilizing a foreign national person in the performance of an award, the Prime Recipient is responsible for providing to the Contracting Officer specific information of the foreign national(s) to satisfy compliance with all of the requirements for access approval.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime Recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier Subrecipients. Prime Recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp.



vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC 4321, et seq.). NEPA requires Federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at http://nepa.energy.gov/.

While NEPA compliance is a Federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the Federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the Prime Recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

- 2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:
 - a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months,
 - b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both forprofit and non-profit organizations.

- **3.** Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:
 - a. It does not and will not require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.
 - b. It does not and will not use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) "These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

- (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
- (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal Federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE Awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports, providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in usual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under Awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the Award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:



- 1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the Project.
- 2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this Award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
- 3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the Project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the Project at that the Go/No-Go decision point(s).
- 4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

x. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each Prime Recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for 10 years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by Prime Recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the Prime Recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xi. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms.

xii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. The checklist can be accessed at https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms.

Recipients will be required to input their results into the relevant Marine and Hydrokinetic Data Repository (MHK_DR) Content Model based on their technology type.



xiii. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding), is contingent on (1) the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) meeting the objectives, milestones, deliverables, and decision point criteria of recipient's approved project and obtaining approval from EERE to continue work on the project; and (3) the submittal of required reports in accordance with the Statement of Project Objectives.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xiv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xv. UCC Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with Federal



Funds, and when the Federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the contracting officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the Recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with Federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the Government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the Federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the contracting officer may direct.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov. Questions must be submitted not later than 3 business days prior to the application due date and time.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: https://eere-exchange.energy.gov. Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA. EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Informational Webinar

EERE will conduct one informational webinar during the FOA process. It will be held after the initial FOA release but before the due date for Concept Papers.

Attendance is not mandatory and will not positively or negatively impact the overall review of any applicant submissions. As the webinar will be open to all applicants who wish to participate, applicants should refrain from asking questions or communicating information that would reveal confidential and/or proprietary information specific to their project. Specific dates for the webinar can be found on the cover page of the FOA.

C. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

D. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

E. Treatment of Application Information

In general, EERE will only use data and other information contained in applications for evaluation purposes, unless such information is generally available to the public or is already the property of the Government.

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA.

The use of protective markings such as "Do Not Publicly Release – Trade Secret" or "Do Not Publicly Release – Confidential Business Information" is encouraged. However, applicants should be aware that the use of protective markings is not dispositive as to whether information will be publicly released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175. (See Section I of this document,



"Notice of Potential Disclosure Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" for additional information regarding the public release of information under the Freedom of Information Act.

Applicants are encouraged to employ protective markings in the following manner:

The cover sheet of the application must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential, and is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged must be marked as follows: "May contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential and exempt from public disclosure."

In addition, each line or paragraph containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential must be enclosed in brackets.

F. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Review and Peer Review, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest and non-disclosure agreements prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

G. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

H. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

I. Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Under the Freedom of Information Act, (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, any information received from the Applicant is considered to be an agency record, and as such, subject to public release under FOIA. The purpose of the FOIA is to afford the public the right to request and receive agency records unless those agency records are protected from disclosure under one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions. Decisions to disclose or withhold information received from the Applicant are based upon the applicability of one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions, not on the existence or nonexistence of protective markings or designations. Only the agency's designated FOIA Officer may determine if information received from the Applicant may be withheld pursuant to one of the nine FOIA exemptions. All FOIA requests received by DOE are processed in accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 1004.

J. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.



K. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

L. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions.
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C.
 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).
- Class Patent Waiver: DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA.
 Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.
- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver
 that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in
 advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an
 advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will
 have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified
 inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE
 within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms
 and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain
 terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.
- Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC): Each applicant is required
 to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the
 U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and
 conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE
 has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the
 modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and

non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. For example, the commitments and enforcement of a U.S. Manufacturing Plan may be tied to subject inventions. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.

M. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

i. Government Use License

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

ii. March-In Rights

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a Prime Recipient, Subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a factfinding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any



adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

N. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

"Limited Rights Data": The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. Government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated ("Protected Data"). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

O. Copyright

The Prime Recipient and Subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the Government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the Government.

P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the Applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The term "personally identifiable information" refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, etc. alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth,



mother's maiden name, etc. (See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf

By way of example, Applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, phone/cell numbers, personal emails and/or SSNs. In short, if the PII is not essential to the application, it should not be in the application.

Q. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a Prime Recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual Compliance Audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of Federal awards during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and sub-recipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.



Appendix A – Cost Share Information

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms "cost sharing" and "cost matching" are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term "cost sharing," as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here "cost matching" for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. Following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Total Project Cost Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus Federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
 Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)
 Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing.



The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE award

- Cash Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment, etc. for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
- 2. In Kind Cost Share encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies, etc. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification (EERE 335). All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification (EERE 335).
- 3. Funds from other Federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC sub-recipients. Non-Federal sources include any source not originally derived from Federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.



4. Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

- (A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the Prime Recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:
 - (1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
 - (2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
 - (3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
 - (4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A–122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations
 - b. Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
 - (5) They are not paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
 - (6) They are provided for in the approved budget.



(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:
 - a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.



- b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
 - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.
- (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
 - a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
 - b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

Appendix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2,000,000 in Federal funds with four tasks requiring different Non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost)
Task 1 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share
\$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)
Task 2 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share
\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (Non-federal share)



The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal	% Federal	\$ Non-Federal	% Non-Federal	Total Project
	Share	Share	Share	Share	Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (Non-federal) Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (Federal)



Appendix C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in the United States

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.iii, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation* as the Prime Recipient requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the Prime Recipient;
- Country of incorporation;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the Prime Recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP;
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment,

so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. ("foreign work");
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
 - How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and Recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.



Appendix D - Data Management Plan

A data management plan ("DMP") explains how data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved or, when justified, explains why data sharing or preservation is not possible or scientifically appropriate.

DMP Requirements

In order for a DMP to be considered acceptable, the DMP must address the following:

At a minimum, the DMP must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.

The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible in accordance with the principles stated above. This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.

The DMP should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed work. In particular, a DMP that explicitly or implicitly commits data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at DOE User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other DOE facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

The DMP must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all laws (i.e., export control laws), and DOE regulations, orders, and policies.



Data Determination for a DMP

The Principal Investigator should determine which data should be the subject of the DMP and, in the DMP, propose which data should be shared and/or preserved in accordance with the DMP Requirements noted above.

For data that will be generated through the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate what types of data should be protected from immediate public disclosure by DOE (referred to as "protected data") and what types of data that DOE should be able to release immediately. Similarly, for data developed outside of the proposed work at private expense that will be used in the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate whether that type of data will be subject to public release or kept confidential (referred to as "limited rights data"). Any use of limited rights data or labeling of data as "protected data" must be consistent with the DMP Requirements noted above.

Suggested Elements for a DMP

The following list of elements for a DMP provides suggestions regarding the data management planning process and the structure of the DMP:

Data Types and Sources: A brief, high-level description of the data to be generated or used through the course of the proposed work and which of these are considered digital research data necessary to validate the research findings or results.

Content and Format: A statement of plans for data and metadata content and format including, where applicable, a description of documentation plans, annotation of relevant software, and the rationale for the selection of appropriate standards. Existing, accepted community standards should be used where possible. Where community standards are missing or inadequate, the DMP could propose alternate strategies for facilitating sharing, and should advise the sponsoring program of any need to develop or generalize standards.

Sharing and Preservation: A description of the plans for data sharing and preservation. This should include, when appropriate: the anticipated means for sharing and the rationale for any restrictions on who may access the data and under what conditions; a timeline for sharing and preservation that addresses both the minimum length of time the data will be available and any anticipated delay to data access after research findings are published; any special requirements for data sharing, for example, proprietary software needed to access or interpret data, applicable policies, provisions, and licenses for re-use and re-distribution, and for the production of derivatives, including guidance for how data and data products should be cited; any resources and capabilities (equipment, connections,



systems, software, expertise, etc.) requested in the research proposal that are needed to meet the stated goals for sharing and preservation (this could reference the relevant section of the associated research proposal and budget request); and whether/where the data will be preserved after direct project funding ends and any plans for the transfer of responsibilities for sharing and preservation.

Protection: A statement of plans, where appropriate and necessary, to protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; and avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness.

Rationale: A discussion of the rationale or justification for the proposed data management plan including, for example, the potential impact of the data within the immediate field and in other fields, and any broader societal impact.

Additional Guidance

In determining which data should be shared and preserved, researchers must consider the data needed to validate research findings as described in the Requirements, and are encouraged to consider the potential benefits of their data to their own fields of research, fields other than their own, and society at large.

DMPs should reflect relevant standards and community best practices and make use of community accepted repositories whenever practicable.

Costs associated with the scope of work and resources articulated in a DMP may be included in the proposed research budget as permitted by the applicable cost principles.

To improve the discoverability of and attribution for datasets created and used in the course of research, EERE encourages the citation of publicly available datasets within the reference section of publications, and the identification of datasets with persistent identifiers such as Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs). In most cases, EERE can provide DOIs free of charge for data resulting from DOE-funded research through its Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) DataID Service.

EERE's Digital Data Management principles can be found at: <u>EERE Digital Data Management |</u>
Department of Energy



Definitions

Data Preservation: Data preservation means providing for the usability of data beyond the lifetime of the research activity that generated them.

Data Sharing: Data sharing means making data available to people other than those who have generated them. Examples of data sharing range from bilateral communications with colleagues, to providing free, unrestricted access to anyone through, for example, a webbased platform.

Digital Research Data: The term digital data encompasses a wide variety of information stored in digital form including: experimental, observational, and simulation data; codes, software and algorithms; text; numeric information; images; video; audio; and associated metadata. It also encompasses information in a variety of different forms including raw, processed, and analyzed data, published and archived data.

Research Data: The recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings, but not any of the following: preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer reviews, or communications with colleagues. This 'recorded' material excludes physical objects (e.g., laboratory samples). Research data also do not include:

- (A) Trade secrets, commercial information, materials necessary to be held confidential by a researcher until they are published, or similar information which is protected under law; and
- (B) Personnel and medical information and similar information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, such as information that could be used to identify a particular person in a research study."

Validate: In the context of DMPs, validate means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses; comparing and contrasting the results against those of a new experiment or analyses; or by some other means.



Appendix E – Metrics

Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE)

LCOE is the level of sales revenue per megawatt-hour (MWh) of grid-tied electricity production needed for an electricity-generating venture to "break even" in the sense that the project covers all capital and operating expenses and satisfies a minimum rate of return for investors over the project's lifetime

Please see "DOE LCOE Reporting Guidance" for more information at http://openei.org/community/files/doe lcoe reporting guidance 2015 10 09.docx

Levelized Cost of Water (LCOW)

LCOW is the total system cost per water output. Similar to LCOE, LCOW is meant to be a standard cost metric used to evaluate all clean water producing technologies in a market. Can be used for utility scale, or distributed markets, with the competitive thresholds varying based on market conditions.

Power to weight ratio (PWR)

Power to Weight Ratio (PWR) is defined as the ratio of effective power to weight in air of the device (see eqn. below). Weight is a cost indicator for the capital costs of the device structure.

Rated Capacity x Capacity Factor
Weight in Air

Rated Capacity expected power that the system is designed to produce [kW]

ratio of the actual power produced at a site to the power produced by

Capacity Factor the device if operating at rated capacity, over a given time (typically

one year)

Weight in Air

[kg]

Annual Energy Production (AEP)

Annual energy production per installed capacity (MWh/MW/year=hours/year)

$$AEP = CFx365x24$$

CF: Capacity factor, averaged over typical year (%). Note: must be consistent with the estimated Initial capital cost (\$) in the LCOE calculation.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>MHKFOA@ee.doe.gov</u>
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



Peak to average power ratio

 $Peak to average power = \frac{peak absorbed power}{average absorbed power}$

peak absorbed power peak of mechanical power absorbed (before conversion to

electrical power) [kW]; this can be the statistical measure of

the peak power, fitted to a distribution rather than the

absolute peak measured in testing

average absorbed power average mechanical power absorbed (before conversion to

electrical power) [kW]